1 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001 Email william.flynn@amtrak.com Tel 202-906-3963



July 1, 2021

The Honorable Pete Buttigieg U.S. Secretary of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Ave, SE Washington, DC 20590

#### Dear Secretary Buttigieg:

The *Metrics and Minimum Standards for Intercity Passenger Rail Service* final rule set forth at 49 C.F.R. Part 273 and published by the Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA") on November 16, 2020 (the "Final Rule"), introduces a certified schedule metric that requires Amtrak to report to the FRA the number of certified Amtrak schedules, uncertified schedules, and disputed schedules, by train, route, and host railroad.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published Amtrak train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule. The customer OTP metric is the percentage of all customers who arrive at their detraining point no later than fifteen (15) minutes after their published scheduled arrival time, reported by train and by route, and the customer OTP standard is eighty (80) percent for any two consecutive calendar quarters. See 49 C.F.R. 273.5(a)(1) & (2).

As required by the Final Rule, Amtrak and Metro-North Commuter Railroad Company (MNRR) are transmitting this joint letter reporting the following schedules that remain uncertified as of June 30, 2021, as agreement has not been reached on whether the schedules are aligned with the customer OTP metric and standard:

- 1. Acela Trains 2150, 2151, 2153, 2155, 2159, 2160, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2167, 2168, 2171, 2173, 2175, 2190, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2275 and 2290
- 2. Adirondack Trains 68 and 69
- 3. Ethan Allen Express Trains 290, 291, 292, 293, 295 and 296
- 4. Maple Leaf Trains 63 and 64
- 5. New York Albany Trains 230, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 250, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 259, 260 and 261
- 6. New York Niagara Falls Trains 280, 281, 283, 284 and 288



- 7. Northeast Regional Trains 132, 135, 136, 137, 139, 140, 141, 143, 146, 148, 149, 150, 161, 162, 163, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 172, 175, 177, 178, 179, 190
- 8. Richmond/Newport News/Norfolk Trains 65, 66, 67, 82, 86, 95, 96, 157, 174 and 194
- 9. Roanoke Trains 171 and 176
- 10. Vermonter Trains 54, 55, 56 and 57.

Please note that the following four (4) schedules were certified since the June 1, 2021, letter was transmitted for these train numbers: Acela Trains 2153, 2170 and 2172, and Northeast Regional Train 173.

Exhibit A details Amtrak's position regarding the components of the train schedules upon which Amtrak and MNRR have not yet agreed, signed by me.

Exhibit B details MNRR's position regarding the components of the train schedules upon which Amtrak and MNRR have not yet agreed, signed by MNRR Senior Vice President of Operations, Justin Vonashek, acting on behalf of MNRR President Catherine Rinaldi.

Amtrak and MNRR continue to meet regularly and hope to come to an agreement on the certification of all schedules. Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this transmittal.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn
Chief Executive Officer

#### Attachments

cc: The Honorable Martin J. Oberman, Chairman, Surface Transportation Board

The Honorable Peter A. DeFazio, Chair, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sam Graves, Ranking Member, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Maria Cantwell, Chair, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Roger Wicker, Ranking Member, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate



The Honorable Rosa L. DeLauro, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kay Granger, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Patrick Leahy, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Richard Shelby, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Don Beyer, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Richard Blumenthal, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Cory Booker, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Jamaal Bowman, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Brendan Boyle, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Anthony Brown, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ben Cardin, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Tom Carper, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Bob Casey, U.S. Senate

The Honorable David Cicilline, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Yvette Clark, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ben Cline, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Gerry Connolly, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chris Coons, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Joe Courtney, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Madeleine Dean, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Antonio Delgado, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Adriano Espaillat, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Dwight Evans, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Brian Fitzpatrick, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kirsten Gillibrand, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Bob Good, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Morgan Griffith, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Andy Harris, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Maggie Hassan, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Jahana Hayes, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Brian Higgins, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jim Himes, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Steny Hoyer, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chris Jacobs, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mondaire Jones, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tim Kaine, U.S. Senate

The Honorable John Katko, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ann McLane Kuster, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jim Langevin, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Larson, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Elaine Luria, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Stephen Lynch, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Nicole Malliotakis, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Carolyn Maloney, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sean Patrick Maloney, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ed Markey, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Donald McEachin, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable James McGovern, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Gregory W. Meeks, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bob Menendez, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Grace Meng, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kweisi Mfume, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Joseph Morelle, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chris Murphy, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Jerrold Nadler, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Richard Neal, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Donald Norcross, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Frank Pallone, Jr., U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Donald M. Payne, Jr., U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ayanna Pressley, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jamie Raskin, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jack Reed, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Kathleen Rice, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lisa Blunt Rochester, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable C. A. Dutch Ruppersberger, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bernie Sanders, U.S. Senate

The Honorable John P. Sarbanes, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mary Gay Scanlon, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chuck Schumer, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Bobby Scott, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jeanne Shaheen, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Albio Sires, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Christopher H. Smith, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Abigail Spanberger, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Elise Stefanik, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Thomas Suozzi, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Claudia Tenney, U.S. House of Representatives



The Honorable Paul Tonko, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Pat Toomey, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Ritchie Torres, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chris Van Hollen, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Nydia Velazquez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mark Warner, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Elizabeth Warren, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Bonnie Watson Coleman, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Peter Welch, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jennifer Wexton, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sheldon Whitehouse, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Rob Wittman, U.S. House of Representatives

#### Exhibit A

Amtrak's position regarding the disagreed upon components of the train schedules.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule. In the June 1 letter, Amtrak provided its analytical framework used to review each schedule and advised that these criteria were included in our December 18, 2020 schedule certification proposal to Metro-North Commuter Railroad (MNRR). The framework includes four criteria: (1) Prior Customer OTP Schedule Modifications, (2) Strong Historical Performance, (3) Recovery Time-Ridership Correlation and (4) Recovery Time Distributed to Passenger Benefit. The "Strong Historical Performance" criteria states that while certification directly relates to the schedule, not actual performance, if a train has regularly exceeded the minimum standard 80% customer OTP, Amtrak deems that schedule to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.

Several meetings were held with MNRR staff since we sent the June 1 letter to further discuss schedule certification. MNRR continued to advise that they would not certify schedules for trains that were not currently running. Amtrak agreed to postpone the discussion of those schedules until July. During a meeting with MNRR on June 21, 2021, Amtrak presented Table 1 below, showing which trains currently running but not certified meet the standard using the quarterly customer OTP performance for FY21 (Note: 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter performance was only based upon April and May as the June results were not yet concluded). 69 out of 73 trains (95%) currently meet the standard. This Exhibit A was also provided to MNRR on June 23, 2021.

Amtrak looks forward to continuing to work with MNRR to certify the following schedules.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn
Chief Executive Officer

Amtrak

| Table 1 | : Amtrak Custom | er OTP Results by |                       | ncertified Trains ( | Currently Operating |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Train   | 1st-Qtr         | 2nd-Qtr           | 3rd-Qtr<br>(thru May) | <b>Meets Rule</b>   | YTD                 |
| 54      | 90%             | 91%               | 97%                   | YES                 | 93%                 |
| 55      | 97%             | 96%               | 100%                  | YES                 | 97%                 |
| 56      | 97%             | 94%               | 93%                   | YES                 | 95%                 |
| 57      | 100%            | 98%               | 93%                   | YES                 | 97%                 |
| 63      | 90%             | 95%               | 90%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 64      | 82%             | 86%               | 79%                   | YES                 | 83%                 |
| 65      | 87%             | 79%               | 62%                   | NO                  | 75%                 |
| 66      | 94%             | 94%               | 85%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 67      | 89%             | 89%               | 90%                   | YES                 | 89%                 |
| 82      | 89%             | 100%              | 100%                  | YES                 | 96%                 |
| 95      | 89%             | 85%               | 83%                   | YES                 | 86%                 |
| 96      | 89%             | 89%               | 86%                   | YES                 | 88%                 |
| 135     | 90%             | 82%               | 89%                   | YES                 | 87%                 |
| 137     | 97%             | 93%               | 81%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 139     | 84%             | 93%               | 88%                   | YES                 | 89%                 |
| 140     | 91%             | 93%               | 95%                   | YES                 | 93%                 |
| 141     | 91%             | 88%               | 92%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 143     | 97%             | 94%               | 93%                   | YES                 | 94%                 |
| 146     | 94%             | 95%               | 94%                   | YES                 | 94%                 |
| 148     | 96%             | 96%               | 92%                   | YES                 | 94%                 |
| 150     | 91%             | 100%              | 84%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 157     | 91%             | 84%               | 96%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 161     | 93%             | 89%               | 89%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 162     | 100%            | 82%               | 93%                   | YES                 | 92%                 |
| 166     | 95%             | 97%               | 74%                   | YES                 | 88%                 |
| 167     | 93%             | 77%               | 86%                   | YES                 | 85%                 |
| 168     | 95%             | 97%               | 83%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 169     | 84%             | 94%               | 86%                   | YES                 | 88%                 |
| 170     | 92%             | 93%               | 94%                   | YES                 | 93%                 |
| 171     | 91%             | 90%               | 82%                   | YES                 | 88%                 |
| 172     | 89%             | 87%               | 92%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 174     | 89%             | 91%               | 90%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 175     | 93%             | 88%               | 89%                   | YES                 | 90%                 |
| 176     | 86%             | 90%               | 86%                   | YES                 | 87%                 |
| 178     | 99%             | 99%               | 89%                   | YES                 | 95%                 |
| 179     | 96%             | 97%               | 100%                  | YES                 | 96%                 |
| 190     | 90%             | 96%               | 88%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 194     | 92%             | 84%               | 73%                   | YES                 | 83%                 |
| 232     | 90%             | 93%               | 97%                   | YES                 | 93%                 |
| 233     | 71%             | 92%               | 85%                   | YES                 | 82%                 |
| 234     | 98%             | 95%               | 100%                  | YES                 | 98%                 |
| 236     | 88%             | 94%               | 92%                   | YES                 | 91%                 |
| 237     | 83%             | 93%               | 91%                   | YES                 | 89%                 |

| Table 1 | Table 1: Amtrak Customer OTP Results by Train for MNRR Uncertified Trains Currently Operating |         |                       |            |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|---|---------|-----------------------|------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Train   | 1st-Qtr   | 2nd-Qtr | 3rd-Qtr<br>(thru May) | Meets Rule | YTD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 238     | 98%   | 98%     | 96%                   | YES        | 97% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 241     | 97%   | 99%     | 90%                   | YES        | 95% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 243     | 98%   | 100%    | 85%                   | YES        | 93% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 244     | 91%   | 96%     | 100%                  | YES        | 96% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250     | 98%   | 92%     | 99%                   | YES        | 97% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 253     | 100%  | 92%     | 100%                  | YES        | 97% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 259     | 92%   | 99%     | 89%                   | YES        | 93% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 260     | 96%   | 85%     | 91%                   | YES        | 90% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 280     | 98%   | 100%    | 96%                   | YES        | 98% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 281     | 39%   | 68%     | 65%                   | NO         | 57% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 283     | 64%   | 77%     | 71%                   | NO         | 70% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 284     | 66%   | 89%     | 93%                   | YES        | 82% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 290     | 93%   | 98%     | 97%                   | YES        | 96% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 291     | 88%   | 91%     | 96%                   | YES        | 92% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 292     | 97%   | 97%     | 93%                   | YES        | 96% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2154    | 85%   | 75%     | 82%                   | YES        | 81% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2155    | 80%   | 75%     | 70%                   | NO         | 74% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2163    | 90%   | 83%     | 80%                   | YES        | 84% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2167    | 75%   | 90%     | 100%                  | YES        | 83% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2173    | 96%   | 99%     | 95%                   | YES        | 96% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2249    | 100%  | 99%     | 93%                   | YES        | 97% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2250    | 89%   | 83%     | 96%                   | YES        | 90% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2251    | 93%   | 90%     | 80%                   | YES        | 87% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2252    | 93%   | 92%     | 92%                   | YES        | 92% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2253    | 92%   | 86%     | 86%                   | YES        | 88% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2254    | 97%   | 89%     | 87%                   | YES        | 90% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2255    | 98%   | 86%     | 78%                   | YES        | 86% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2256    | 92%   | 96%     | 74%                   | YES        | 86% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2257    | 99%   | 96%     | 91%                   | YES        | 94% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2259    | 97%   | 91%     | 95%                   | YES        | 94% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### **Exhibit B**

Metro-North Commuter Railroad Company's ("Metro-North") position on the disagreed-upon components of Amtrak's train schedules.

Metro-North, a New York State public benefit corporation and subsidiary of the Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("MTA"), operates the second-busiest commuter railroad in the country. Pre-COVID, Metro-North operated 713 weekday revenue trains carrying an average of 289,000 weekday riders. Pursuant to certain Trackage Rights Agreements between Metro-North and Amtrak, Amtrak operates over Metro-North's New Haven and Hudson Lines (Metro-North's "territory"). Amtrak enters Metro-North's New Haven Line territory in New Haven, CT and leaves the New Haven Line in New Rochelle, NY, continuing on to Penn Station in midtown Manhattan. Amtrak enters Metro-North's Hudson Line territory in Poughkeepsie, NY and exits near Riverdale in the Bronx, NY, again continuing on to Penn Station.

The Metrics and Minimum Standards for Intercity Passenger Rail Service, 49 C.F.R. Part 273, published by the Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA") on November 16, 2020 (the "Final Rule") defines a "certified schedule" as a published train schedule for Amtrak trains that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the Customer On-Time Performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule. To ensure Amtrak's train schedules meet the Customer OTP metric and standard, Amtrak's schedules must provide trains with sufficient running time while in Metro-North's territory. Amtrak's trains traverse long distances; Metro-North has no control over events outside of its territory and must account for such unknowns in its review and certification of Amtrak's schedules. As such, the "host running time" metric, also established by the Final Rule, which compares a train's actual running time with the scheduled running time between the first and final reporting points for a host railroad, is important and must be accurately calculated **prior to certification** to demonstrate the schedule adjustments necessary for Amtrak trains to have enough time to traverse Metro-North's territory and successfully meet the Customer OTP metric and standard.

Almost all of the schedules Amtrak has asked Metro-North to certify were set prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, and several of these pre-COVID trains have not been operating through the pandemic. Additionally, many schedules Amtrak has requested Metro-North to certify have not been provided by Amtrak to Metro-North for review. It remains Metro-North's position that certifying schedules (1) for Amtrak trains that have not been operating for over a year and/or (2) have not been reviewed or adjusted to account for pre- and post-COVID-19 realities and ridership changes is premature. **Therefore, Metro-North will not certify train schedules that are not currently running and have no projected effective date.** A schedule can only be certified when the parties are fully aware of the conditions the train will be operating in, which may change. Similarly, any future adjustments Amtrak seeks to make to previously certified schedules may invalidate Metro-North's certifications and require re-review and re-certification.

After discussions with Amtrak in June, Metro-North is continuing to use the framework outlined below to analyze Amtrak's schedules to determine whether the Amtrak schedules meet the Customer OTP metric and standard and can be certified. Based on this, Amtrak and Metro-North have been able to certify four (4) additional train schedules since the June 1, 2021 letter.

1. Does the Amtrak train currently operate? Due to COVID-19, in Spring 2020 Amtrak reduced the number of trains operating on Metro-North's territory. Amtrak has returned a few trains to service, but many pre-COVID trains remain suspended. Metro-North is unaware of Amtrak's plans to resume suspended services.

2. Does Metro-North have a schedule for this Amtrak train? Train schedules provided to Metro-North of currently operating trains since May 2020 and proposed for July 2021 service restoration have been reviewed for certification. Train schedules not provided to Metro-North or that have been suspended since May 2020 were not analyzed for certification as Metro-North has no schedule to reference.

3. What actions has Metro-North taken? Metro-North has either analyzed schedules that Amtrak has provided to determine whether they can be certified, or Metro-North is awaiting a schedule proposal from Amtrak to review for certification.

4. What is the definition of Metro-North's calculated running time for Amtrak trains on Metro-North territory? This is Metro-North's calculated schedule of pure running time, station stops, acceleration, braking, diverting movements and recovery time for Amtrak trains on Metro-North territory in order for the schedule to be reliable and certifiable.

5. What is the definition of Amtrak's current running time? This is the current Amtrak schedule for the Amtrak train on Metro-North's territory.

6. What is the definition of running-time deficiency? This is the delta between Metro-North's calculated running times and the current Amtrak schedule.

The attached Table 1 lists each Amtrak train that Metro-North has not certified to date based on the framework and analysis identified above. The columns for Table 1 conform to the above steps.

Metro-North remains committed to working with Amtrak to certify its schedules and ensure its customers have the best possible ridership experience, and looks forward to continuing to work with Amtrak to resolve these differences.

Very truly yours,

Justin Vonashek

Senior Vice President - Operations, Metro-North

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action<br>Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 2150  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 70   | 3                              |
| 2151  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2154  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 68   | 7                              |
| 2155  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 68   | 5                              |
| 2159  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 69   | 4                              |
| 2160  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2163  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 70   | 3                              |
| 2164  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2165  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2167  | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2168  | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2169  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2171  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2173  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2175  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2190  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2249  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 71   | 2                              |
| 2250  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 71   | 2                              |
| 2251  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 73   | 2                              |
| 2252  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2253  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 72   | 3                              |
| 2254  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 70   | 3                              |
| 2255  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2256  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2257  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 72   | 1                              |
| 2258  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2259  | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 71   | 4                              |
| 2260  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2261  | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2275  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 2290  | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 68    | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action<br>Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 69    | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 290   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 291   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 292   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action<br>Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 293   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 295   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 296   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 63    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 64    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 71   | 4                              |
| 230   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 232   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 70   | 3                              |
| 233   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 234   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 68   | 5                              |
| 235   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 236   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 237   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 67   | 6                              |
| 238   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 241   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 242   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 243   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 244   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 68   | 5                              |
| 245   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 250   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 252   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 253   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 254   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 255   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 256   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 259   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 260   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 261   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 280   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 68   | 5                              |
| 281   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 73   | 68   | 5                              |
| 283   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 284   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 288   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 132   | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule         |  |  |                                |
| 135   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification     | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 136   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification     | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 137   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification     | 79   | 71   | 8                              |
| 139   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification     | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 140   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification     | 79   | 75   | 4                              |

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action<br>Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 141   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 75   | 4                              |
| 143   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 146   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 77   | 73   | 4                              |
| 148   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 149   | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 150   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 74   | 5                              |
| 161   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 162   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 163   | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 166   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 167   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 74   | 5                              |
| 168   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 169   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 170   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 172   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 175   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 73   | 2                              |
| 177   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 75   | 4                              |
| 178   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 179   | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 190   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 77   | 2                              |
| 65    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 72   | 7                              |
| 66    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 67    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 72   | 7                              |
| 82    | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 86    | No  | No   | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 95    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 75   | 70   | 5                              |
| 96    | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 74   | 5                              |
| 157   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 78   | 1                              |
| 174   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 194   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |
| 171   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 77   | 74   | 3                              |
| 176   | Yes   | Yes  | Review for Certification        | 79   | 76   | 3                              |

| Train | (1) Train is Currently<br>Operating as of May<br>2021 | (2) Schedule Provided to<br>Metro-North between<br>May 2020 and May 2021 | (3) Metro-North Action<br>Taken | (4) MNR-Calculated<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (5) Current Amtrak<br>Running Time (Minutes) | (6) Running Time<br>Deficiency |
|-------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 54    | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 55    | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 56    | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |
| 57    | No  | Yes  | Waiting for Schedule            |  |  |                                |

#### **AMTRAK**

1 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001 Email william.flynn@amtrak.com Tel 202-906-3963



July 1, 2021

The Honorable Pete Buttigieg U.S. Secretary of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Ave, SE Washington, DC 20590

### Dear Secretary Buttigieg:

The *Metrics and Minimum Standards for Intercity Passenger Rail Service* final rule published by the Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA") on November 16, 2020 (the "Final Rule"), introduces a certified schedule metric that requires the FRA to report the number of certified Amtrak schedules, uncertified schedules, and disputed schedules, by train, route, and host railroad.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published Amtrak train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Final Rule, Amtrak and New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) are transmitting this letter regarding the following schedules that remain uncertified as of June 30, 2021, as agreement has not been reached on whether the schedule is aligned to customer OTP:

#### 1. Southwest Chief Trains 3 and 4.

Exhibit A details Amtrak's position regarding the components of the train schedules which are disagreed upon, signed by me.

Exhibit B details NMDOT's position regarding the components of the train schedules which are disagreed upon, signed by NMDOT Cabinet Secretary Michael Sandoval.



Amtrak and NMDOT continue to meet regularly and hope to come to an agreement on the certification of all schedules no later than September 30, 2021. Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this transmittal.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn Chief Executive Officer

#### Attachments

cc: The Honorable Martin J. Oberman, Chairman, Surface Transportation Board

The Honorable Peter A. DeFazio, Chair, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sam Graves, Ranking Member, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Maria Cantwell, Chair, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Roger Wicker, Ranking Member, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Rosa L. DeLauro, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kay Granger, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Patrick Leahy, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Richard Shelby, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Pete Aguilar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Nanette Diaz Barragán, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Karen Bass, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Michael Bennett, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Roy Blunt, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Ken Buck, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Cheri Bustos, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ken Calvert, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sean Casten, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Judy Chu, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Emanuel Cleaver, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lou Correa, U.S. House of Representatives



The Honorable Sharice Davids, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Danny Davis, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tammy Duckworth, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Dick Durbin, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Joni Ernst, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Ron Estes, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Teresa Leger Fernandez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bill Foster, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jesús García, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jimmy Gomez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Paul Gosar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chuck Grassley, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Josh Hawley, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Martin Heinrich, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Yvette Herrell, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Hickenlooper, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Mark Kelly, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Robin Kelly, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Young Kim, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Adam Kinzinger, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Darin LaHood, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jake LaTurner, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ted Lieu, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ben Ray Luján, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Tracey Mann, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Roger Marshall, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Mariannette Miller-Meeks, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jerry Moran, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Marie Newman, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jay Obernolte, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tom O'Halleran, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Alex Padilla, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Mike Quigley, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bobby Rush, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Linda T. Sánchez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Janice Schakowsky, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Adam Schiff, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kyrsten Sinema, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Mark Takano, U.S. House of Representatives

# The Honorable Pete Buttigieg July 1, 2021 Page 4



The Honorable Norma Torres, U.S. House of Representatives The Honorable Lauren Underwood, U.S. House of Representatives The Honorable Maxine Waters, U.S. House of Representatives

#### Exhibit A

Amtrak's position regarding the disagreed upon components of the train schedules.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule. In order to determine whether a train schedule is aligned with the customer OTP metric, Amtrak developed an analytical framework to review each schedule and its alignment with the customer OTP metric. Fundamentally, the analytical framework confirms whether the schedule works to minimize passenger delay while giving riders the greatest opportunity to arrive at their destinations on-time. Several criteria, listed below, were rigorously analyzed to determine whether the schedule was appropriately aligned to the customer OTP metric.

- 1. Prior Customer OTP Schedule Modifications. If Amtrak and the host railroad previously agreed to modify the schedule to align with customer OTP, and no significant changes in passenger deboarding patterns were evident since that agreement, that schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 2. Strong Historical Performance. While certification directly relates to the schedule, not actual performance, if a train has regularly exceeded the minimum standard 80% customer OTP, that schedule was deemed to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 3. Recovery Time-Ridership Correlation. Amtrak performed a regression analysis for each schedule to calculate the correlation between the placement of recovery time in the schedule and the number of detraining riders at each station. If the regression result was strong (0.5 or more), the train schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 4. Recovery Time Distributed to Passenger Benefit. Amtrak developed a measurement of the schedule's recovery time distribution to determine whether that time is effectively placed to help passengers. If the recovery time is placed in advance of detraining passengers to help them arrive on-time, then, based on a consistent calculation for all trains, the schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.

Table 1 lists each uncertified train schedule by service and notes which criteria were met for each schedule that led to Amtrak's determination that the schedule is aligned with customer OTP. Amtrak looks forward to continuing to work with New Mexico Department of Transportation to certify the following schedules.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn Chief Executive Officer

Amtrak

| Table 1: Amt | rak Sched | lule Alignment Analy | sis Results by Train |                      |                   |                   |                         |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|              |           |                      | 1. Prior Customer    |                      | 3. Recovery Time- | 4. Recovery Time  |                         |
|              |           | Train Origin-        | OTP Schedule         | 2. Strong Historical | Ridership         | Distributed to    | Assessment based on     |
| Service      | Train     | Destination          | Modifications        | Performance          | Correlation       | Passenger Benefit | Criteria                |
| Southwest    | 3         | Chicago-Los          | Yes                  |                      | $\sqrt{}$         | $\sqrt{}$         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Chief        |           | Angeles              |                      |                      |                   |                   |                         |
| Southwest    | 4         | Chicago-Los          | Yes                  |                      |                   | $\sqrt{}$         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Chief        |           | Angeles              |                      |                      |                   |                   |                         |

#### Exhibit B

## NMDOT's position regarding Southwest Chief schedule certification

The Southwest Chief operates one train daily in each direction between Chicago and Los Angeles, a distance of 2,265 miles according to Amtrak's published schedules. The NMDOT-owned railroad, New Mexico Rail Runner Express, which operates using the reporting mark NMRX, is the host railroad for 80 miles of the Southwest Chief route located near its midpoint. The Southwest Chief includes two station stops on NMRX, at Albuquerque and Lamy. The eastbound Southwest Chief has scheduled station arrivals at Albuquerque and Lamy at 11:20 AM and 1:02 PM, respectively. The westbound Southwest Chief has scheduled station arrivals at Lamy and Albuquerque at 1:58 PM and 3:29 PM, respectively. Amtrak's published schedules also incorporate recovery time and time to service the trains at Albuquerque.

The Southwest Chief shares 57 miles of its route on NMRX with New Mexico Rail Runner Express, the commuter railroad connecting the cities of Belen, Albuquerque, and Santa Fe. Late arrivals of the Southwest Chief on NMRX territory often result in conflicts between the Southwest Chief and Rail Runner evening peak service. These conflicts result either in lengthy delays for 1,000 Rail Runner commuters returning from work in the evening if the Southwest Chief is given priority, or to financial penalties for NMRX if the Southwest Chief is held until an operational window opens up.

On January 5, 2021, after receiving a written request from Amtrak to certify the Southwest Chief schedule in accordance with Part 237, NMDOT responded in writing that it would like to meet to discuss Amtrak's Southwest Chief schedule before providing such a letter. NMDOT did not receive a response to, or acknowledgement of, that request until May 14, 2021. This lack of response precluded having any discussions with Amtrak related to the certification of the Southwest Chief schedule in advance of the May 17, 2021 deadline for certification identified in Part 273. NMDOT and Amtrak have since held several meetings, beginning on May 24, 2021, and both parties are making progress towards reaching a point where NMDOT can certify the Southwest Chief schedules.

The delayed response from Amtrak to NMDOT's request for discussions is the reason certification of the Southwest Chief schedule in advance of the May 17, 2021 deadline did not occur. Language in Part 273 stating that certifying Amtrak's schedule will preclude later decertification of the schedule was the reason for NMDOT to not certify the schedule until it has had a chance to have these discussions. Additionally, NMDOT understands that BNSF, which is the host railroad for all but about 100 miles of the Southwest Chief, has not only also declined to certify the Southwest Chief schedule but is disputing the schedule under Part 273. Certifying the Southwest Chief schedule while the nature of the dispute between BNSF and Amtrak over the schedule is not known may not be in NMDOT's interest.

NMDOT does have concerns with the schedule and the Part 273 metrics that it desires to discuss with Amtrak before certification. Below, NMDOT lists several concerns with the Southwest Chief schedule that it is discussing with Amtrak.

 NMDOT has no control over whether trains arrive on-time at the two Southwest Chief stations on the NMDOT-owned railroad, which is a key factor in determining Customer OTP, the primary metric in the rulemaking. The Southwest Chief route is 2,265 miles long, with only 80 miles of it on NMDOT-owned track situated near the midpoint of the route. Albuquerque has the fourth highest number of alightings among Southwest Chief stations, accounting for just over ten

- percent of all passengers prior to the pandemic. Amtrak has suggested some modifications to the arrival and departure times at Albuquerque that may improve Customer OTP at Albuquerque, which NMDOT is evaluating.
- NMDOT believes the host running time metric is of limited value in evaluating NMDOT's performance in hosting the Southwest Chief due to the inclusion in the schedule of recovery time and train servicing in Albuquerque. Recovery time and train servicing time are included in the host running time metric. Data provided by Amtrak for the host running time metric indicates that the average host running time on NMRX is well below the scheduled running time on NMRX. Trains that arrive late for their entry onto NMDOT track will perform better under this metric than trains that arrive in time, spending less than their scheduled time on NMRX, unless additional delays occur while the Southwest Chief is within NMRX territory.
- Late arrivals of the Southwest Chief on NMRX territory, which are not the fault of NMRX, often
  directly conflict with scheduled Rail Runner evening commuter service. This conflict results
  either in delays for approximately 1,000 Rail Runner commuters returning from work, or in
  financial penalties for NMRX if the Southwest Chief is held until an operational window opens
  up.

Sincerely,

Michael Sandoval (Jun 30, 2021 12:32 MDT)

Michael Sandoval Cabinet Secretary

# Amtrak-NMDOT Uncertified Trains - Exhibit B (July 2021)

Final Audit Report

2021-06-30

Created

2021-06-30

By:

David Harris (davidc.harris@state.nm.us)

Status:

Signed

Transaction ID:

CBJCHBCAABAA2edr2MXKs46PMa-BKU4WwbFjlF37QeSv

# "Amtrak-NMDOT Uncertified Trains - Exhibit B (July 2021)" History

- Document created by David Harris (davidc.harris@state.nm.us) 2021-06-30 4:39:19 PM GMT- IP address: 172.58.109.249
- Document emailed to Michael Sandoval (michael.sandoval1@state.nm.us) for signature 2021-06-30 4:40:02 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Michael Sandoval (michael.sandoval1@state.nm.us) 2021-06-30 6:30:17 PM GMT- IP address: 73.242.240.34
- Document e-signed by Michael Sandoval (michael.sandoval1@state.nm.us)

  Signature Date: 2021-06-30 6:32:01 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 73.242.240.34
- Agreement completed. 2021-06-30 - 6:32:01 PM GMT

1 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20001 Email william.flynn@amtrak.com Tel 202-906-3963





July 1, 2021

The Honorable Pete Buttigieg U.S. Secretary of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Ave, SE Washington, DC 20590

#### Dear Secretary Buttigieg:

The *Metrics and Minimum Standards for Intercity Passenger Rail Service* final rule published by the Federal Railroad Administration ("FRA") on November 16, 2020 (the "Final Rule"), introduces a certified schedule metric that requires the FRA to report the number of certified Amtrak schedules, uncertified schedules, and disputed schedules, by train, route, and host railroad.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published Amtrak train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Final Rule, Amtrak and Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA) are transmitting this letter regarding the following schedules that remain uncertified as of June 30, 2021, as agreement has not been reached on whether the schedule is aligned to customer OTP:

- 1. Coast Starlight Trains 11 and 14
- 2. Pacific Surfliner Trains 561, 562, 564, 565, 566, 569, 572, 573, 578, 579, 580, 583, 584, 590, 591, 593, 595, 759, 763, 767, 768, 774, 777, 782, 785, 792, 796, 1564, 1565, 1566, 1569, 1572, 1573, 1584, 1590, 1591, 1761 and 1767
- 3. Southwest Chief Trains 3 and 4
- 4. Sunset Limited Trains 1 and 2.

Exhibit A details Amtrak's position regarding the components of the train schedules which are disagreed upon, signed by me.

A supplemental exhibit providing SCRRA's position regarding the components of the train schedules which are disagreed upon was requested by Amtrak but has thus far not been received for incorporation into the monthly joint letter prescribed by the Final Rule.



SCRRA has advised that they will reply to Amtrak regarding certification once they have completed their review. Amtrak and SCRRA hope to come to an agreement on the certification of all schedules by no later than September 30, 2021. Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the information provided in this transmittal.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn

Chief Executive Officer

#### Attachment

cc: The Honorable Martin J. Oberman, Chairman, Surface Transportation Board

The Honorable Peter A. DeFazio, Chair, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sam Graves, Ranking Member, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Maria Cantwell, Chair, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Roger Wicker, Ranking Member, Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Rosa L. DeLauro, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kay Granger, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Patrick Leahy, Chair, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Richard Shelby, Ranking Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Pete Aguilar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Brian Babin, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Nanette Diaz Barragán, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Karen Bass, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Michael Bennett, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Cliff Bentz, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ami Bera, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Andy Biggs, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Earl Blumenauer, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Roy Blunt, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Suzanne Bonamici, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Julia Brownley, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ken Buck, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Cheri Bustos, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ken Calvert, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Salud Carbajal, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tony Cárdenas, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Troy Carter, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bill Cassidy, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Sean Casten, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Joaquin Castro, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Judy Chu, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Emanuel Cleaver, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Cornyn, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Lou Correa, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Dan Crenshaw, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ted Cruz, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Henry Cuellar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sharice Davids, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Danny Davis, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Suzan DelBene, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mark DeSaulnier, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lloyd Doggett, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tammy Duckworth, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Dick Durbin, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Joni Ernst, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Veronica Escobar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Anna Eshoo, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ron Estes, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Teresa Leger Fernandez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lizzie Fletcher, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bill Foster, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ruben Gallego, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Garamendi, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mike Garcia, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sylvia Garcia, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jesús García, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jimmy Gomez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tony Gonzales, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Paul Gosar, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chuck Grassley, U.S. Senate



The Honorable Garret Graves, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Al Green, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Raúl Grijalva, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Josh Hawley, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Martin Heinrich, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Yvette Herrell, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jaime Herrera Beutler, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Hickenlooper, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Clay Higgins, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Darrell Issa, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sarah Jacobs, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Pramila Jayapal, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mark Kelly, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Robin Kelly, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Kennedy, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Ro Khanna, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Derek Kilmer, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Young Kim, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Adam Kinzinger, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Darin LaHood, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Doug LaMalfa, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jake LaTurner, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Barbara Lee, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Sheila Jackson Lee, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mike Levin, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ted Lieu, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ben Ray Luján, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Tracey Mann, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Roger Marshall, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Doris Matsui, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Michael McCaul, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jeff Merkley, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Mariannette Miller-Meeks, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jerry Moran, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Patty Murray, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Grace Napolitano, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Troy Nehls, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Marie Newman, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Jay Obernolte, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Tom O'Halleran, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Alex Padilla, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Jimmy Panetta, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Scott Peters, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Katie Porter, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mike Quigley, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Chip Roy, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Raul Ruiz, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bobby Rush, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Linda T. Sánchez, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Steve Scalise, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Janice Schakowsky, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Adam Schiff, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kurt Schrader, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Brad Sherman, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Kyrsten Sinema, U.S. Senate

The Honorable Adam Smith, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Greg Stanton, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Michelle Steel, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Marilyn Strickland, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mark Takano, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Mike Thompson, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Norma Torres, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Lauren Underwood, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Juan Vargas, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Maxine Waters, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Randy Weber, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ron Wyden, U.S. Senate

#### Exhibit A

Amtrak's position regarding the disagreed upon components of the train schedules.

The Final Rule defines a "certified schedule" as a published train schedule that Amtrak and the host railroad jointly certify is aligned with the customer on-time performance ("OTP") metric and standard set forth in the Final Rule. In order to determine whether a train schedule is aligned with the customer OTP metric, Amtrak developed an analytical framework to review each schedule and its alignment with the customer OTP metric. Fundamentally, the analytical framework confirms whether the schedule works to minimize passenger delay while giving riders the greatest opportunity to arrive at their destinations on-time. Several criteria, listed below, were rigorously analyzed to determine whether the schedule was appropriately aligned to the customer OTP metric.

- 1. **Prior Customer OTP Schedule Modifications.** If Amtrak and the host railroad previously agreed to modify the schedule to align with customer OTP, and no significant changes in passenger deboarding patterns were evident since that agreement, that schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 2. **Strong Historical Performance.** While certification directly relates to the schedule, not actual performance, if a train has regularly exceeded the minimum standard 80% customer OTP, that schedule was deemed to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 3. **Recovery Time-Ridership Correlation.** Amtrak performed a regression analysis for each schedule to calculate the correlation between the placement of recovery time in the schedule and the number of detraining riders at each station. If the regression result was strong (0.5 or more), the train schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.
- 4. **Recovery Time Distributed to Passenger Benefit.** Amtrak developed a measurement of the schedule's recovery time distribution to determine whether that time is effectively placed to help passengers. If the recovery time is placed in advance of detraining passengers to help them arrive on-time, then, based on a consistent calculation for all trains, the schedule was determined to be aligned with the customer OTP metric.

Table 1 lists each uncertified train schedule by service and notes which criteria were met for each schedule that led to Amtrak's determination that the schedule is aligned with customer OTP. Amtrak looks forward to continuing to work with Southern California Regional Rail Authority to certify the following schedules.

Sincerely,

William J. Flynn

Chief Executive Officer

Amtrak

| Service            | Train | dule Alignment Analys  Train Origin-  Destination | 1. Prior Customer OTP Schedule Modifications | 2. Strong Historical Performance | 3. Recovery Time-<br>Ridership<br>Correlation | 4. Recovery Time Distributed to Passenger Benefit | Assessment based on<br>Criteria |
|--------------------|-------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Coast              | 11    | Seattle-Los Angeles                               |  | V                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Starlight          |       |   |  |                                  |   | 1   |                                 |
| Coast<br>Starlight | 14    | Seattle-Los Angeles                               |  |                                  |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Pacific            | 561   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | √   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 562   | Los Angeles-San                                   | V  | √                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 564   | Los Angeles-San                                   | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   |   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 565   | San Diego-Los                                     | V  | √                                | V   |   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 566   | Los Angeles-San                                   | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 569   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    |                                  |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 572   | Los Angeles-San                                   |  | √                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 573   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 578   | Los Angeles-San                                   | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 579   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 580   | Los Angeles-San                                   | V  | √                                |   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 583   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   | V   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 584   | Los Angeles-San                                   | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   |   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 590   | Los Angeles-San                                   | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                | V   |   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Diego   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |
| Pacific            | 591   | San Diego-Los                                     | $\sqrt{}$                                    | √                                |   |   | Aligned to Customer OTP         |
| Surfliner          |       | Angeles   |  |                                  |   |   |                                 |

| Pacific   | 593  | San Diego-Los      | $\sqrt{}$    | $\sqrt{}$ | V         | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
|-----------|------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 595  | San Diego-Los      | $\sqrt{}$    | $\sqrt{}$ | V         |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 759  | Los Angeles-Goleta | $\sqrt{}$    | $\sqrt{}$ | V         | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 763  | San Diego-Goleta   | $\sqrt{}$    | $\sqrt{}$ |           |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 767  | San Diego-Los      | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 768  | Goleta-San Diego   | $\sqrt{}$    | $\sqrt{}$ | V         |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 774  | San Luis Obispo-   | $\sqrt{}$    |           | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | San Diego          |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 777  | Goleta-San Diego   | $\sqrt{}$    | V         |           |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 782  | Goleta-San Diego   | $\sqrt{}$    | V         | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 785  | San Diego-Goleta   | V            | V         | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 792  | Goleta-San Diego   | V            | V         |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 796  | Goleta-San Diego   | $\sqrt{}$    | V         |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                    |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1564 | Los Angeles-San    | $\sqrt{}$    | V         | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Diego              |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1565 | San Diego-Los      | $\sqrt{}$    | V         | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1566 | Los Angeles-San    | $\sqrt{}$    | V         |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Diego              |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1569 | San Diego-Los      | <b>V</b>     |           |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1572 | Los Angeles-San    | V            |           |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Diego              |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1573 | San Diego-Los      | V            | V         | V         | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles            |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1584 | Los Angeles-San    | $\sqrt{}$    | V         | V         |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Diego              |              |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1590 | Los Angeles-San    | V            | V         | V         |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Diego              |              |           |           |           |                         |

| Pacific   | 1591 | San Diego-Los    | $\sqrt{}$ | V         |           | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
|-----------|------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Surfliner |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1761 | San Diego-Goleta | V         |           |           |           | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      |                  |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Pacific   | 1767 | San Diego-Los    | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | $\sqrt{}$ | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Surfliner |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Southwest | 3    | Chicago-Los      | V         |           | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Chief     |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Southwest | 4    | Chicago-Los      | V         |           |           | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Chief     |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Sunset    | 1    | New Orleans-Los  |           |           | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Limited   |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |
| Sunset    | 2    | New Orleans-Los  |           |           | V         | V         | Aligned to Customer OTP |
| Limited   |      | Angeles          |           |           |           |           |                         |