

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	PURPOSE OF THIS FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	1-1
1.2	PROJECT AND PROJECT SPONSOR	1-1
1.3	PROJECT AREA.....	1-2
1.4	PROJECT SETTING.....	1-5
1.5	UNION STATION HISTORY	1-5
1.6	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1-7
1.7	LEAD AGENCY FOR THE PROJECT	1-9
1.8	COOPERATING AGENCIES.....	1-9
1.9	SUMMARY OF THE NEPA PROCESS FOR THE PROJECT.....	1-10
1.9.1	<i>Draft Environmental Impact Statement</i>	<i>1-10</i>
1.9.2	<i>Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement</i>	<i>1-11</i>
1.9.3	<i>Final Environmental Impact Statement.....</i>	<i>1-11</i>
2	PURPOSE AND NEED	2-1
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.2	WASHINGTON UNION STATION TODAY	2-2
2.2.1	<i>Structures</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.2	<i>Mix of Uses</i>	<i>2-2</i>
2.2.3	<i>Tracks and Platforms.....</i>	<i>2-5</i>
2.2.4	<i>Vehicular Parking.....</i>	<i>2-5</i>
2.2.5	<i>Bus Parking and Operations</i>	<i>2-6</i>
2.2.6	<i>Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities and Operations.....</i>	<i>2-6</i>
2.2.7	<i>Vehicular Access and Circulation</i>	<i>2-6</i>
2.2.8	<i>Transportation.....</i>	<i>2-7</i>
2.3	PURPOSE AND NEED STATEMENT	2-7
2.4	PROJECT NEED	2-8
2.4.1	<i>Station Facilities and Operations.....</i>	<i>2-8</i>
2.4.2	<i>Intermodal Travel</i>	<i>2-11</i>
2.4.3	<i>Economic Viability</i>	<i>2-13</i>
3	ALTERNATIVES.....	3-1
3.1	SUMMARY OF ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT AND SCREENING PROCESS THROUGH THE DEIS	3-1
3.1.1	<i>Identification of Project Elements.....</i>	<i>3-3</i>
3.1.2	<i>Concept Development</i>	<i>3-4</i>
3.1.3	<i>Concept Screening</i>	<i>3-6</i>
3.1.4	<i>Concept Refinement</i>	<i>3-7</i>
3.1.5	<i>Alternatives Refinement</i>	<i>3-8</i>
3.1.6	<i>Further Alternatives Refinement</i>	<i>3-10</i>
3.2	DEVELOPMENT OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	3-12
3.2.1	<i>Comments on the 2020 DEIS</i>	<i>3-12</i>

3.2.2	<i>Post-DEIS Refinements</i>	3-13
3.3	COMMENTS ON THE SDEIS AND CHANGES MADE TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	3-21
3.4	DESCRIPTION OF THE NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	3-22
3.4.1	<i>Continuing Conditions at WUS</i>	3-22
3.4.2	<i>Projected Increases in Ridership and Levels of Service</i>	3-23
3.4.3	<i>Near-term Station and Track Improvements at WUS</i>	3-24
3.4.4	<i>Transportation Projects within the Project Area</i>	3-25
3.4.5	<i>Private Air Rights Development</i>	3-26
3.5	DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	3-29
4	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4-1
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2	NATURAL ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS	4-2
4.2.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-2
4.2.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-3
4.2.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-3
4.2.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-3
4.3	WATER RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY	4-5
4.3.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-5
4.3.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-7
4.3.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-7
4.3.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-7
4.4	SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.....	4-11
4.4.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-12
4.4.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-13
4.4.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-14
4.4.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-14
4.5	TRANSPORTATION	4-17
4.5.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-17
4.5.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-18
4.5.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-21
4.5.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-21
4.6	AIR QUALITY	4-36
4.6.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-37
4.6.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-39
4.6.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-39
4.6.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-39
4.7	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND RESILIENCE.....	4-41
4.7.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-42
4.7.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-43
4.7.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-43
4.7.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-43
4.8	ENERGY RESOURCES	4-46
4.8.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-46
4.8.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-47

4.8.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-47
4.8.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-47
4.9	LAND USE, LAND PLANNING AND PROPERTY	4-49
4.9.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-49
4.9.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-50
4.9.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-52
4.9.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-52
4.10	NOISE AND VIBRATION	4-56
4.10.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-56
4.10.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-57
4.10.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-60
4.10.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-65
4.11	AESTHETICS AND VISUAL QUALITY	4-70
4.11.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-70
4.11.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-71
4.11.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-73
4.11.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-73
4.12	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-79
4.12.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-79
4.12.2	<i>Section 106 Consultation</i>	4-80
4.12.3	<i>Study Area</i>	4-81
4.12.4	<i>Methodology</i>	4-81
4.12.5	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-83
4.13	PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS	4-87
4.13.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-87
4.13.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-88
4.13.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-88
4.13.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-88
4.14	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS	4-90
4.14.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-91
4.14.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-91
4.14.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-91
4.14.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-91
4.15	PUBLIC SAFETY AND SECURITY	4-97
4.15.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-97
4.15.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-97
4.15.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-98
4.15.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-98
4.15.5	<i>Security</i>	4-103
4.15.6	<i>Traffic Restrictions</i>	4-104
4.16	PUBLIC HEALTH, ELDERLY, AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	4-104
4.16.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-104
4.16.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-105
4.16.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-105
4.16.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-107

4.17	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4-108
4.17.1	<i>Regulatory Context and Guidance</i>	4-108
4.17.2	<i>Study Area</i>	4-110
4.17.3	<i>Methodology</i>	4-110
4.17.4	<i>Existing Conditions</i>	4-110
5	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5-1
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.1.1	<i>Definitions</i>	5-1
5.1.2	<i>Format for Evaluating Impacts in this FEIS</i>	5-3
5.2	NATURAL ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS	5-4
5.2.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-4
5.2.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-4
5.2.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-5
5.2.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-6
5.3	WATER RESOURCES AND WATER QUALITY	5-6
5.3.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-6
5.3.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-7
5.3.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-13
5.3.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-20
5.4	SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	5-21
5.4.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-22
5.4.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-22
5.4.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-26
5.4.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-31
5.5	TRANSPORTATION	5-32
5.5.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-32
5.5.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-35
5.5.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-54
5.5.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-80
5.6	AIR QUALITY	5-81
5.6.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-82
5.6.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-84
5.6.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-86
5.6.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-91
5.7	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS AND RESILIENCE	5-92
5.7.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-92
5.7.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-93
5.7.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-97
5.7.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-101
5.8	ENERGY RESOURCES	5-102
5.8.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-102
5.8.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-103
5.8.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-106
5.8.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-110

5.9	LAND USE, LAND PLANNING, AND PROPERTY	5-111
5.9.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-111
5.9.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-112
5.9.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-117
5.9.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-127
5.10	NOISE AND VIBRATION	5-128
5.10.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-129
5.10.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-134
5.10.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-137
5.10.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-153
5.11	AESTHETICS AND VISUAL QUALITY	5-154
5.11.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-154
5.11.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-156
5.11.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-158
5.11.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-161
5.12	CULTURAL RESOURCES	5-162
5.12.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-164
5.12.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-166
5.12.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-171
5.12.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-181
5.13	PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS	5-186
5.13.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-186
5.13.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-186
5.13.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-188
5.13.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-190
5.14	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS	5-190
5.14.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-190
5.14.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-191
5.14.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-197
5.14.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-203
5.15	PUBLIC SAFETY AND SECURITY	5-204
5.15.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-204
5.15.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-205
5.15.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-206
5.15.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-209
5.16	PUBLIC HEALTH, ELDERLY AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.....	5-210
5.16.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-210
5.16.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-211
5.16.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-213
5.16.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-216
5.17	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	5-217
5.17.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-218
5.17.2	<i>Impacts of the No-Action Alternative</i>	5-219
5.17.3	<i>Impacts of the Preferred Alternative</i>	5-224
5.17.4	<i>Summary of Impacts</i>	5-234

5.18	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-235
5.18.1	<i>Methodology</i>	5-235
5.18.2	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Natural Ecological Systems</i>	5-235
5.18.3	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Water Resources and Water Quality</i>	5-236
5.18.4	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Solid Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials</i>	5-238
5.18.5	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Transportation</i>	5-239
5.18.6	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Air Quality</i>	5-239
5.18.7	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Resilience</i>	5-239
5.18.8	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Energy Resources</i>	5-240
5.18.9	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Land Use, Land Planning, and Property</i>	5-241
5.18.10	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Noise and Vibration</i>	5-241
5.18.11	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Quality</i>	5-242
5.18.12	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Cultural Resources</i>	5-242
5.18.13	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Parks and Recreation Areas</i>	5-242
5.18.14	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Social and Economic Conditions</i>	5-243
5.18.15	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Public Safety and Security</i>	5-244
5.18.16	<i>Cumulative Impacts on Public Health, Elderly, and Persons with Disabilities</i>	5-245
5.19	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	5-245
5.19.1	<i>Summary Comparison of Operational Impacts</i>	5-251
5.19.2	<i>Construction Impacts</i>	5-253
5.20	COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES.....	5-253
5.20.1	<i>Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources</i>	5-254
5.20.2	<i>Relationship Between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity</i>	5-254
6	FINAL SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION	6-1
6.1	INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2	SECTION 4(F) APPLICABILITY.....	6-1
6.2.1	<i>Introduction</i>	6-1
6.2.2	<i>Use of Section 4(f) Properties</i>	6-2
6.3	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED.....	6-3
6.4	ACTION ALTERNATIVES.....	6-4
6.5	SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES	6-5
6.5.1	<i>Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges</i>	6-7
6.5.2	<i>Historic Properties</i>	6-8
6.5.3	<i>Archaeological Resources</i>	6-10
6.6	SECTION 4(F) FINDINGS.....	6-11
6.6.1	<i>Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuges</i>	6-11
6.6.2	<i>Historic Properties</i>	6-14
6.7	AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS.....	6-19
6.8	LEAST OVERALL HARM ANALYSIS.....	6-20
6.8.1	<i>Ability to Mitigate</i>	6-20
6.8.2	<i>Relative Severity of Remaining Harm</i>	6-22
6.8.3	<i>Relative Significance of Each Property</i>	6-22
6.8.4	<i>Views of OWJ</i>	6-22

6.8.5	<i>Degree to Which Alternatives Meet the Purpose and Need</i>	6-23
6.8.6	<i>Magnitude of Adverse Impacts to Resources Not Protected by Section 4(f)</i>	6-23
6.8.7	<i>Substantial Differences in Costs</i>	6-23
6.8.8	<i>Determination</i>	6-23
6.9	MINIMIZATION AND MITIGATION OF HARM	6-24
6.10	COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION	6-26
6.10.1	<i>Public Comments on the Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation</i>	6-26
6.10.2	<i>Coordination with the OWJ</i>	6-27
6.10.3	<i>Coordination with Cooperating Agencies</i>	6-27
6.10.4	<i>Section 106 Consultation</i>	6-28
6.11	SECTION 4(F) DETERMINATION	6-28
7	MITIGATION MEASURES, PROJECT COMMITMENTS, AND PERMITS	7-1
7.1	MITIGATION MEASURES AND PROJECT COMMITMENTS	7-1
7.2	PERMITS AND KEY REGULATORY PROCESSES	7-1
8	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION	8-1
8.1	SCOPING	8-1
8.1.1	<i>Pre-Scoping</i>	8-1
8.1.2	<i>Scoping</i>	8-2
8.2	COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES	8-3
8.2.1	<i>Cooperating Agencies</i>	8-4
8.2.2	<i>Participating Agencies</i>	8-4
8.3	AGENCY COORDINATION THROUGH DEIS PUBLICATION	8-4
8.3.1	<i>Cooperating Agencies</i>	8-4
8.3.2	<i>Participating Agencies</i>	8-5
8.4	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT THROUGH DEIS PUBLICATION	8-6
8.4.1	<i>Public Meetings</i>	8-6
8.4.2	<i>Key Constituents for the Engagement Process</i>	8-7
8.4.3	<i>Additional Pre-DEIS Public and Stakeholder Engagement</i>	8-8
8.5	DEIS PUBLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING.....	8-10
8.5.1	<i>Notice of Availability and Commenting Period Extension</i>	8-10
8.5.2	<i>Distribution</i>	8-10
8.5.3	<i>Cooperating and Participating Agency Meeting</i>	8-10
8.5.4	<i>Public Hearing on the DEIS</i>	8-11
8.6	SUMMARY OF PUBLIC AND AGENCY COMMENTS ON THE DEIS	8-11
8.6.1	<i>DEIS Commenters</i>	8-11
8.6.2	<i>Summary of Comments on the DEIS</i>	8-13
8.7	COORDINATION DURING POST-DEIS NEPA PAUSE	8-16
8.8	COORDINATION AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT DURING THE PREPARATION OF THE SDEIS	8-17
8.8.1	<i>Cooperating Agency Coordination</i>	8-17
8.8.2	<i>Public Involvement During Preparation of the SDEIS</i>	8-18
8.8.3	<i>Pop-up Events</i>	8-20
8.9	SDEIS PUBLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING.....	8-23
8.9.1	<i>Notice of Availability</i>	8-23

8.9.2	<i>Distribution</i>	8-23
8.9.3	<i>Public Hearings on the SDEIS</i>	8-24
8.10	SUMMARY OF PUBLIC AND AGENCY COMMENTS ON THE SDEIS.....	8-25
8.10.1	<i>SDEIS Commenters</i>	8-25
8.10.2	<i>Summary of Comments on the SDEIS</i>	8-26
8.11	NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT SECTION 106 CONSULTATION.....	8-29
9	DISTRIBUTION OF THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/RECORD OF DECISION	9-1
9.1	STAKEHOLDER AND GENERAL PUBLIC.....	9-1
9.2	PROJECT SPONSOR.....	9-1
9.3	ELECTED OFFICIALS.....	9-1
9.3.1	<i>Federal</i>	9-1
9.3.2	<i>District</i>	9-1
9.4	NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES.....	9-2
9.5	FEDERAL AGENCIES.....	9-2
9.6	DISTRICT AND STATE AGENCIES.....	9-3
9.7	REGIONAL AGENCIES.....	9-3
9.8	RAIL AND TRANSIT OPERATORS.....	9-3
9.9	ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES.....	9-4
10	REFERENCES	10-1
11	GLOSSARY	11-1
12	PREPARERS	12-1
12.1	FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION, LEAD AGENCY.....	12-1
12.2	BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON, PROGRAM CONSULTANT FOR FRA.....	12-1
12.3	CONSULTANT, VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC., EIS.....	12-1
12.4	CONSULTANT, BEYER BLINDER BELLE, WUS EXPANSION PROJECT LEAD, SECTION 106 LEAD.....	12-2

List of Tables

Table 1-1.	Current Controlling Interests in the Project Area.....	1-2
Table 2-1.	Mix of Uses at WUS.....	2-2
Table 2-2.	Track and Platform Uses at WUS.....	2-5
Table 3-1.	Preliminary Concepts Retained for Screening (July 2016).....	3-5
Table 3-2.	Preliminary Alternatives (August 2017).....	3-8
Table 3-3.	DEIS Action Alternatives.....	3-11
Table 3-4.	Purpose and Need Assessment.....	3-17
Table 3-5.	Comparison of Alternative A-C and Alternative F.....	3-19
Table 3-6.	Passenger and Train Volumes by Service in the No-Action Alternative.....	3-24
Table 3-7.	Station and Track Improvement Projects Included in the No-Action Alternative.....	3-24
Table 3-8.	Estimated Allocation Scenarios for the Private Air Rights Development.....	3-28

Table 3-9. Construction Phases and Durations 3-32

Table 4-1. Stormwater Retention Volume for the Project Area 4-10

Table 4-2. Study Intersections 4-20

Table 4-3. MARC Commuter Rail Average Daily Ridership at WUS by Route and Service Day 4-24

Table 4-4. MARC Train Operations, Points of Service, and Trips 4-24

Table 4-5. VRE Commuter Rail Average Weekday Ridership at WUS by Route and Direction 4-25

Table 4-6. WUS Peak Hour Ridership by Red Line Segment 4-26

Table 4-7. Metrobus and DC Circulator Average Ridership by Route and Service Day 4-28

Table 4-8. AM and Peak Hour Bicycle Activity, 2015 Counts and 2017 Estimates 4-32

Table 4-9. 2022 Background Air Quality Concentrations (McMillan Reservoir Monitoring Location) 4-41

Table 4-10. FTA Land Use Categories and Noise Metrics for Impact Assessment 4-63

Table 4-11. Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results 4-67

Table 4-12. Key Street View Descriptions 4-77

Table 4-13. Agencies and Organizations Participating in the Section 106 Consultation Process 4-80

Table 4-14. Architectural Cultural Resources within the Area of Potential Effects 4-83

Table 4-15. Parks and Recreation Areas within the Study Area 4-90

Table 4-16. Local Study Area and District Population by Age (2020) 4-93

Table 4-17. Local Study Area and District Population by Race (2020) 4-94

Table 4-18. Low-Income Households 4-112

Table 5-1. Summary of Impacts on Natural Ecological Systems 5-6

Table 5-2. No-Action Alternative Estimated Wastewater Generation Increases 5-9

Table 5-3 Preferred Alternative Estimated Changes in Wastewater Generation 5-15

Table 5-4. Summary of Impacts on Water Resources and Water Quality 5-20

Table 5-5. Quantitative Estimates of Direct and Indirect Impacts on Water Resources
 and Water Quality 5-21

Table 5-6. Private Air Right Development Solid Waste Generation in the no-Action Alternative 5-23

Table 5-7. Change in Municipal Solid Waste Generation at WUS in the Preferred Alternative 5-26

Table 5-8. Change in Private Air Rights Solid Waste Generation in the Preferred Alternative 5-27

Table 5-9. Summary of Impacts on Waste and Hazardous Materials 5-31

Table 5-10. Quantitative Estimates of Impacts on Municipal Waste 5-31

Table 5-11. Daily Train Service and Total Ridership in the No-Action Alternative 5-36

Table 5-12. Peak-hour WUS-related Metrorail Activity, No-Action Alternative 5-37

Table 5-13. Peak-hour Bus Trips in the No-Action Alternative 5-39

Table 5-14. Pedestrian Volumes in the No-Action Alternative 5-40

Table 5-15. Peak-hour Bicycle Trips in the No-Action Alternative 5-41

Table 5-16. Combined Peak-hour City and Commuter Bus Ridership in the No-Action Alternative 5-42

Table 5-17. Bus Routes Over Capacity 5-43

Table 5-18. AM Peak-hour Traffic Volumes in the No-Action Alternative 5-46

Table 5-19. PM Peak-hour Traffic Volumes in the No-Action Alternative 5-46

Table 5-20. Levels of Service and Delays in the No-Action Alternative 5-48

Table 5-21. Intersections with Queue Increases Greater than 150 Feet in the No-Action Alternative... 5-51

Table 5-22. Daily Intercity and Commuter Train Volumes in the Preferred Alternative 5-55

Table 5-23. Peak-hour WUS-related Metrorail Activity in the Preferred Alternative 5-56

Table 5-24. Pedestrian Volumes in the Preferred Alternative..... 5-60

Table 5-25. Peak-hour Bicycle Trips in the Preferred Alternative 5-61

Table 5-26. Combined Peak-hour City and Commuter Bus Ridership in the Preferred Alternative..... 5-62

Table 5-27. AM Peak-hour Traffic Volumes in the Preferred Alternative..... 5-65

Table 5-28. PM Peak-hour Traffic Volumes in the Preferred Alternative 5-65

Table 5-29. Trip Distribution by Access Point and Trip Type in the Preferred Alternative 5-68

Table 5-30. Intersections with Failing LOS or Improvements from LOS F
 in the Preferred Alternative..... 5-71

Table 5-31. Intersections with Delay Increases > 5 seconds in the Preferred Alternative 5-71

Table 5-32. Intersections with Queue Increases Greater than 150 Feet in the Preferred Alternative ... 5-72

Table 5-33. Summary of Preferred Alternative Traffic Impacts..... 5-73

Table 5-34. Potential Daily Train Cancellations and Alterations during Construction
 of the Preferred Alternative..... 5-75

Table 5-35. Summary of Impacts on Transportation 5-80

Table 5-36. Mesoscale Emission Inventory in the No-Action Alternative (Tons per Year) 5-85

Table 5-37. Mesoscale Inventory of NO_x and VOC Emissions
 in the Preferred Alternative (Tons per Year) 5-87

Table 5-38. Mesoscale Inventory of CO and PM Emissions
 in the Preferred Alternative (Tons per Year) 5-88

Table 5-39. Construction Emissions of NO_x and VOC per Phase
 for the Preferred Alternative (Tons per Year)..... 5-90

Table 5-40. Annual Construction CO and PM Emissions per Phase for the Preferred Alternative..... 5-91

Table 5-41. Combined Operational and Construction Annual NO_x and VOC Emissions
 for the Preferred Alternative (Tons per Year)..... 5-91

Table 5-42. Summary of Impacts on Air Quality 5-92

Table 5-43. Total Estimated Changes in Annual CO₂ Emissions in the No-Action Alternative 5-95

Table 5-44. Potential Impacts of Climate Change..... 5-96

Table 5-45. Preferred Alternative Stationary Source CO₂ Emissions - WUS..... 5-98

Table 5-46. Preferred Alternative Stationary Source CO₂ Emissions -
 Private Air Rights Development..... 5-98

Table 5-47. Total Estimated Changes in Annual CO₂ Emissions in the Preferred Alternative 5-99

Table 5-48. Construction CO₂ Emissions (Metric Tons/Year) in the Preferred Alternative 5-101

Table 5-49. Summary of Impacts on GHG and Resilience..... 5-102

Table 5-50. Estimated Annual Energy Use of Private Air Rights Development
 in the No-Action Alternative 5-104

Table 5-51. Estimated Additional Annual Diesel Consumption from Rail Operations
 in the No-Action Alternative 5-105

Table 5-52. Estimated Change in Annual Gasoline Consumption in the No-Action Alternative 5-105

Table 5-53. Estimated Change in Annual Energy Use in the Preferred Alternative..... 5-107

Table 5-54. Estimated Additional Annual Diesel Consumption from Rail Operations
 in the Preferred Alternative 5-108

Table 5-55. Estimated Annual Energy Use of Potential Federal Air-rights Development
 in the Preferred Alternative 5-109

Table 5-56. Estimated Increase in Annual Gasoline Consumption from WUS-Related Traffic
 in the Preferred Alternative 5-109

Table 5-57. Estimated Annual Diesel Consumption per Construction Phase 5-110

Table 5-58. Summary of Impacts on Energy 5-110

Table 5-59. Quantitative Estimates of Direct and Indirect Energy Impacts (kBTUs per Year) 5-111

Table 5-60. Impacts of the No-Action Alternative on Community Planning 5-114

Table 5-61. Impacts of the Preferred Alternative on Community Planning 5-120

Table 5-62. Summary of Impacts on Land Use, Land Planning, and Property 5-128

Table 5-63. FTA General Ground-Borne Vibration Impact Criteria 5-131

Table 5-64. FTA Criteria for Potential Structural Damage 5-134

Table 5-65. Summary of Impacts on Noise and Vibration 5-153

Table 5-66. Direct Operational Visual Impacts in the No-Action Alternative 5-156

Table 5-67. Visual Construction Impacts in the No-Action Alternative 5-158

Table 5-68. Direct Operational Visual Impacts in the Preferred Alternative 5-158

Table 5-69. Indirect Operational Visual Impacts in the Preferred Alternative 5-159

Table 5-70. Visual Construction Impacts in the Preferred Alternative 5-160

Table 5-71. Summary of Operational Visual Impacts 5-161

Table 5-72. Intensity of Visual Impacts on Cultural Resources 5-165

Table 5-73. Existing and No-Action Alternative LOS at Study Intersections
 in or adjacent to the Capitol Hill Historic District 5-169

Table 5-74. Existing and Preferred Alternative LOS at Study Intersections
 in or adjacent to the Capitol Hill Historic District 5-175

Table 5-75. Summary of Impacts on Cultural Resources above Negligible 5-181

Table 5-76. Summary of Impacts on Parks and Recreation Areas 5-190

Table 5-77. Summary of Socioeconomic Impacts 5-203

Table 5-78. Summary of Impacts on Safety and Security 5-209

Table 5-79. Summary of impacts on Health and Mobility 5-217

Table 5-80. Traffic Impacts of EJ Concern in the No-Action Alternative 5-221

Table 5-81. Total EJ Population near Adversely Affected Intersections
 in the No-Action Alternative 5-222

Table 5-82. Traffic Impacts on Intersections of EJ Concern in the Preferred Alternative 5-226

Table 5-83. Total EJ Population near Adversely Affected Intersections in the Preferred Alternative... 5-228

Table 5-84. Comparison of Alternatives 5-245

Table 6-1. Section 4(f) Parks and Recreation Areas within the Study Area 6-7

Table 6-2. Section 4(f) Historic Properties 6-8

Table 6-3. Summary of Use Analysis: Public Parks and Recreation Areas in the Preferred Alternative.. 6-11

Table 6-4. Historic Properties with No Adverse Effect Finding under Section 106 6-14

Table 7-1. Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures, and Project Commitments..... 7-2
 Table 7-2. Permits and Key Regulatory Processes for the Preferred Alternative 7-1
 Table 8-1. Key Meetings with Cooperating Agencies Through DEIS Publication 8-4
 Table 8-2. Key Meetings with Participating Agencies Through DEIS Publication 8-5
 Table 8-3. Public Meetings Held Between Scoping and DEIS Publication 8-6
 Table 8-4. CCC Meetings Through DEIS Publication 8-8
 Table 8-5. Additional Pre-DEIS Public and Stakeholder Engagement..... 8-8
 Table 8-6. Federal, District, State, and Regional Agencies that Commented on the DEIS 8-12
 Table 8-7. Private and Public Organizations that Commented on the DEIS 8-12
 Table 8-8. Agency Meetings and Presentations During the NEPA Pause 8-16
 Table 8-9. Cooperating Agency Meetings During the Preparation of the SDEIS 8-17
 Table 8-10. Members of the EJ-Focused CCC 8-18
 Table 8-11. Summary of Pop-up Events..... 8-20
 Table 8-12. Federal, District, State, and Regional Agencies that Commented on the SDEIS..... 8-25
 Table 8-13. Private and Public Organizations that Commented on the SDEIS 8-25
 Table 8-14. Key Section 106 Consultation Steps..... 8-30

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Washington Union Station Expansion Project Area 1-3
 Figure 1-2. Current Controlling Interests in the Project Area 1-4
 Figure 1-3. Neighborhoods Adjacent to WUS 1-6
 Figure 2-1. WUS Layout..... 2-4
 Figure 2-2. Amtrak, MARC, and VRE Daily Ridership Projections 2-10
 Figure 3-1. Concept and Alternative Development and Screening Process Through DEIS..... 3-2
 Figure 3-2. Illustration of the Preferred Alternative 3-29
 Figure 4-1. Natural Ecological Systems Study Area 4-4
 Figure 4-2. Water Resources and Water Quality Study Area..... 4-8
 Figure 4-3. Transportation Local Study Area 4-19
 Figure 4-4. Fiscal Year 2015 Amtrak Average Daily Ridership at WUS by Hour and Service Day 4-23
 Figure 4-5. Existing Levels of Service at Study Intersections 4-33
 Figure 4-6. Air Quality Regional Study Area..... 4-40
 Figure 4-7. Resilience Local Study Area 4-44
 Figure 4-8. Energy Local Study Area 4-48
 Figure 4-9. Land Use, Planning, and Property Local and Regional Study Areas 4-51
 Figure 4-10. Local Study Area Land Uses 4-53
 Figure 4-11. Operational Noise and Vibration Local Study Area 4-58
 Figure 4-12. Construction Noise and Vibration Study Areas 4-59
 Figure 4-13. Typical Lmax for Transit Sources and Non-Transit Sources..... 4-61

Figure 4-14. Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels 4-62

Figure 4-15. Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations 4-64

Figure 4-16. Long-Term Noise Measurements, Site N11 4-69

Figure 4-17. Long-Term Noise Measurements, Site N19 4-70

Figure 4-18. Aesthetics and Visual Quality Study Area 4-72

Figure 4-19. Views of the Project Area 4-74

Figure 4-20. Cultural Resources within the APE 4-82

Figure 4-21. Potential for Archaeological Resources within the Project Area
 (Washington Union Station Historic Preservation Plan) 4-86

Figure 4-22. Parks and Recreation Areas Study Area 4-89

Figure 4-23. Socioeconomic Local Study Area 4-92

Figure 4-24. Public Safety and Security Local Study Area 4-99

Figure 4-25. Public Safety and Security Regional Study Area 4-100

Figure 4-26. Emergency Response Resources 4-101

Figure 4-27. Public Health, Elderly, and Persons with Disabilities Local Study Area 4-106

Figure 4-28. Distribution of Minority populations in the Local Study Area 4-111

Figure 4-29. Distribution of Low-Income Households 4-113

Figure 5-1. Intersection Peak-Hour LOS in the No-Action Alternative 5-50

Figure 5-2. Preferred Alternative Levels of Service at Peak Hour 5-70

Figure 5-3. Approximate Footprint of Private Air Rights Impacts 5-119

Figure 5-4. FTA Noise Impact Increase Criteria 5-130

Figure 5-5. FTA Project Noise Impact Criteria (Applied to Long-term Construction) 5-133

Figure 5-6. Noise Levels, No-Action Alternative 5-135

Figure 5-7. Preferred Alternative Operational Noise Levels 5-138

Figure 5-8. Comparison of Preferred Alternative and No-Action Alternative
 Operational Noise Levels 5-140

Figure 5-9. Operational Noise Impacts of Preferred Alternative 5-141

Figure 5-10. Support of Excavation Noise Impacts for the Preferred Alternative 5-143

Figure 5-11. Start of Excavation Noise Impacts (All Truck Scenario) 5-146

Figure 5-12. Start of Excavation Noise Impacts (Work Train Scenario) 5-147

Figure 5-13. End of Excavation Noise Impacts (All Truck Scenario) 5-149

Figure 5-14. End of Excavation Noise Impacts (Work Train Scenario) 5-150

Figure 5-15. Construction Vibration Impacts 5-152

Figure 5-16. Street Views and Viewsheds 5-155

Figure 5-17. Area of Potential Effects 5-163

Figure 5-18. Distribution of Traffic Impacts in the Preferred Alternative 5-227

Figure 6-1. Section 4(f) Protected Properties 6-6

List of Appendices

Appendix F1	Multimodal Refinement Report
Appendix F2	Description of the Preferred Alternative
Appendix F3a	Comments on the DEIS
Appendix F3b	Comments on the SDEIS
Appendix F3c	Responses to Comments on the DEIS and SDEIS
Appendix F4	Programmatic Agreement
Appendix F5	Aesthetics and Visual Quality: Visual Assessment
Appendix F6	United States Fish and Wildlife Service Correspondence

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACM	Asbestos-containing materials
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ACS	American Community Survey
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
AM	Morning
Amtrak	National Railroad Passenger Corporation
ANC	Advisory Neighborhood Commission
AOC	Architect of the Capitol
APD	Amtrak Police Department
APE	Area of Potential Effects
AST	Aboveground storage tank
BID	Business Improvement District
CBRNE	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive
CCC	Community Communications Committee
CESQG	Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator
CFA	Commission of Fine Arts
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CHRS	Capitol Hill Restoration Society
CH-US	Congress Heights to WUS
CH ₄	Methane
CID	Community Improvement District
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
CWA	Clean Water Act
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow

CTR	Comprehensive Transportation Review
CLRP	Constrained Long-Range Transportation Plan
COVID	Corona Virus Disease
cy	Cubic Yards
DASH	Driving Alexandria Safely Home
dBA	A-weighted Decibel
DC	District of Columbia
DCBAC	District of Columbia Bicycle Advisory Council
DCI	District Inventory of Historic Sites
DCDGS	District of Columbia Department of General Services
DCMR	District of Columbia Municipal Regulations
DCOP	District of Columbia Office of Planning
DDFHV	District Department of For-hire Vehicles
DOB	District of Columbia Department of Buildings
DDOT	District Department of Transportation
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DHCD	District of Columbia Department of Housing and Community Development
DOE	United States Department of Energy
DOEE	District Department of Energy and Environment
DOI	United States Department of the Interior
DMPED	Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EIA	United States Energy Information Administration
EISA	Energy Independence and Security Act
EJ	Environmental Justice
EMCS	Emergency Management and Corporate Security
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
EUI	Energy Use Intensity
EV	Electric Vehicle

FAR	Floor Area Ratio
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FLUM	Future Land Use Map
FPS	Federal Protective Services
FR	Federal Register
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GAR	Green Area Ratio
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GIS	Geographic Information System
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
gpd	Gallons per Day
gpm	Gallons per Minute
GPO	Government Publishing Office
GSA	General Services Administration
GT-US	Georgetown to WUS
HAER	Historic American Engineering Record
HHS	Health and Human Services
IMPLAN	Impact Analysis for Planning
HSEMA	Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency
HSMS	H Street Main Street
In/s	Inches per Second
JDAC	Join Development and Adjacent Construction
kBTU	Kilo British Thermal Unit
Lbs	Pounds
Ldn	Day-night Average Sound Level
Leq	Energy-average Level
Lmax	Maximum A-weighted Level

LCT	Loudon County Transit
LOD	Limit of Disturbance
LOS	Level of Service
MARC	Maryland Area Regional Commuter
MBT	Metropolitan Branch Trail
MD	Maryland
MGD	Million Gallons per Day
MOCRS	Mayor's Office of Community Relations and Services
MPD	Metropolitan Police Department
MSAT	Mobil Source Air Toxics
MSRF	Midday Storage Replacement Facility
MTA	Maryland Transit Administration
MWCOG	Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
MWh	Megawatts Hours
N ₂ O	Nitrous Oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAMA	National Mall and Memorial Parks
NM	National Mall
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NB	Northbound
NCPC	National Capital Planning Commission
NE	Northeast
NEBT	Northeast Boundary Tunnel
NEC	Northeast Corridor
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NIHL	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss
NOI	Notice of Intent
NoMA	North of Massachusetts Avenue

NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPS	National Park Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NTHP	National Trust for Historic Preservation
NW	Northwest
O ₃	Ozone
OHM	Oil and hazardous materials
OPA	Oil Pollution Act
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OWJ	Official with Jurisdiction
PA	Programmatic Agreement
PAH	Poly-aromatic Hydrocarbon
PBS	Public Building Service
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PDR	Production, Distribution, Repair
PL	Public Law
PM	Afternoon / Evening
PM _{2.5}	Particulate Matter Sized 2.5 Micrometers or Less
PM ₁₀	Particulate Matter Sized 10 Micrometers or Less
PMP	Performance Monitoring Plan
PSA	Police Service Areas
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REA	Railway Express Agency
ROD	Record of Decision
SAOE	Supplemental Assessment of Effects
SB	Southbound
SDEIS	Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
SE	Southeast
SEL	Sound Exposure Level

SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SMP	Soil Management Plan
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SOE	Support of Excavation
SO _x	Sulfur Oxides
SPCC	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure
SW	Southwest
SWRv	Stormwater Retention Volume
The District	Washington, DC
The Project	Washington Union Station Expansion Project
TNC	Transportation Networking Companies
TNM	Traffic Noise Model
TPB	Transportation Planning Board
TPH-DRO	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Diesel Range Organics
tpy	Tons per Year
TSA	Transportation Security Administration
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TVRA	Threat, Vulnerability, and Risk Assessment
U.S.	United States
ULSD	Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel
U.S.C.	United States Code
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
USI	Union Station Investco, LLC
USN	Union Station North Zoning District
USPG	Union Station Parking Garage
USPS	United States Postal Service
USRC	Union Station Redevelopment Corporation
VA	Virginia
VAZ	Visual Access Zone

VBIED	Vehicle-Borne Improvised Explosive Device
V/C	Volume to Capacity
VdB	Vibration Level
VMT	Vehicle-Miles Traveled
VSQG	Very Small Quantity Generator
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
VRE	Virginia Railway Express
WMATA	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
WUS	Washington Union Station
WV	West Virginia