

- <u>California HSR Fresno to Bakersfield</u>
- Draft Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Final Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Draft General Conformity Determination Fresno to Bakersfield

California HSR – Fresno to Bakersfield

Environmental Impact Statement

The Fresno to Bakersfield section of the 800-mile California High Speed Rail (HSR) system is approximately 114 miles long. The alignment alternatives travel from the city of Fresno south through the south central San Joaquin Valley to the city of Bakersfield. Stations will be in the cities of Fresno, where it will connect with the northern sections of the HSR System, and Bakersfield, where it will connect with the southern sections of the HSR System. An additional station for the Kings/Tulare area has been identified. In addition, sites for a heavy maintenance facility for assembly, testing, and commissioning of trains, train inspection and service, and train overhaul are evaluated in the Fresno to Bakersfield Section.

FRA jointly with the California High-Speed Rail Authority prepared a Tier 2, project-level Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the Fresno to Bakersfield section of the HSR system. FRA and the California High-Speed Rail Authority began the development of the EIR/EIS in October 2009 [Fresno to Bakersfield Notice of Intent]. Through the High-Speed Intercity Passenger Rail Program, FRA is providing funding to construct an initial Central Valley section from Madera County to Bakersfield (Kern County) California. A portion of the alignment analyzed in this EIR/EIS is part of that construction project in the Central Valley.

Previous FRA decisions were made about the Fresno to Bakersfield section of the HSR system in the Tier 1 <u>California High-Speed Rail Program EIR/EIS</u> and are incorporated into this project-level EIR/EIS. FRA and the California High-Speed Rail Authority prepared and circulated a Fresno to Bakersfield HSR Project <u>Draft EIR/EIS</u>, a Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (<u>Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS</u>), and a <u>Final EIR/EIS</u>.

Based on the analysis of the Project's potential environmental effects (both adverse and beneficial) in the Environmental Documents and substantive agency and public comments, FRA selected portions of the BNSF Alternative with the Kings/Tulare – East Station in combination with the Corcoran Bypass, Allensworth Bypass, Bakersfield Hybrid, and the Bakersfield Hybrid Station.

- <u>Record of Decision (ROD)</u>
- <u>ROD Appendices</u>
- Final Air Quality Conformity Determination

The following list includes a timeline of major milestones in the Fresno to Bakersfield environmental review process:



- Prepared scope of environmental review of Merced to Fresno high-speed rail project:
 - Draft Scoping Report June 2010
- Developed and assessed alternatives and design options to be included in this project's EIR/EIS process:
 - Supplemental Alternatives Analysis September 2010
 - Preliminary Alternatives Analysis June 2010
- Circulate project Draft EIR/EIS August 2011
- Public Hearings on Draft EIR/EIS September 2011
- Circulate project Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS July 2012
- Public Hearings on Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS August 2012
- Final EIR/EIS April 2014
- Record of Decision approves Fresno to Bakersfield HSR project June 2014

For more information see the <u>California High-Speed Rail Authority website</u>.



- <u>California HSR Fresno to Bakersfield</u>
- Draft Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Final Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Draft General Conformity Determination Fresno to Bakersfield

Draft Environmental Impact Statement -Fresno to Bakersfield

California HSR – Fresno to Bakersfield

The Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) describes the Project's purpose and need, identifies all reasonable alternatives including track alignments, stations, and heavy maintenance facilities as well as the no action alternative, describes the affected environment, analyzes the potential environmental impacts of all the reasonable alternatives and the no action alternative, and identifies appropriate mitigation measures to minimize the potential environmental impacts.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers served as a cooperating agency on this Draft EIR/EIS. The Corps is the agency responsible for issuing permits for discharge of dredged or fill material within Waters of the U. S. [Fresno to Bakersfield U.S. Army Corps 404 Notice, Attachment]

The highlights of the Fresno to Bakersfield Draft EIR/EIS [Fresno to Bakersfield Highlights] explains the alternatives under consideration, provides a summary of key findings, and next steps in the environmental process and project implementation. A link to the Draft EIR/EIS detailed project summary is provided below.

Public Hearings on the Draft EIR/EIS were held:

- In Fresno on September 20, 2011, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Fresno Convention Center (848 M Street, Fresno, CA 93721)
- In Hanford on September 21, 2011, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Civic Auditorium (400 N. Douty Street, Hanford, CA 93230)
- In Bakersfield on September 22, 2011, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Beale Memorial Library (701 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield,CA 93301)

Fresno to Bakersfield Draft EIR/EIS documents for download:

The following documents are available in Adobe Acrobat PDF Format, which is available for download at no cost on the Internet. (Note: many of these files are very large and could take up to several minutes to download.)



Volume I: Report	
Document	Size
Signature Page	226 kb
Preface	60 kb
Summary	780 kb
1.0 Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	539 kb
2.0 Alternatives	8.3 MB
3.0 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Mitigation Measures	
3.1 Introduction	230 kb
3.2 Transportation	2.9 MB
3.3 Air Quality and Global Climate Change	1.2 MB
3.4 Noise and Vibration	2.7 MB
3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference	440 kb
3.6 Public Utilities and Energy	1.5 MB
3.7 Biological Resources and Wetlands	3.7 MB
3.8 Hydrology and Water Resources	2.8 MB
3.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	4.1 MB
3.10 Hazardous Materials and Wastes	1.3 MB
3.11 Safety and Security	985 kb
3.12 Socioeconomics, Communities, and Environmental Justice	1.9 MB
3.13 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	2.2 MB
3.14 Agricultural Lands	3.0 MB
3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	1.2 MB
3.16 Aesthetics and Visual Resources	10.9 MB
3.17 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	2.1 MB
3.18 Regional Growth	297 kb
3.19 Cumulative Impacts	296 kb
4.0 Draft Section 4(f)/6(f) Evaluation	2.1 MB
5.0 Project Costs and Operations	118 kb



6.0 CEQA/NEPA Decision Process and Other Considerations	60 kb
7.0 Public and Agency Involvement	260 kb
8.0 EIR/EIS Distribution	44 kb
9.0 List of Preparers	46 kb
10.0 References/Sources Used in Document Preparation	365 kb
11.0 Glossary of Terms	198 kb
<u>12.0 Index</u>	51 kb
13.0 Acronyms and Abbreviations	110 kb
Volume II: Technical Appendices	
Document	Size
2-A Road Crossings	196 kb
2-B Railroad Crossings	165 kb
2-C Operations and Service Plan Summary	642 kb
3.1-A Parcels Within HST Footprint	66.9 MB
3.4-A Noise and Vibration	563 kb
3.5-A Technical Study: Pre-Construction Electromagnetic Measurement Survey of 10 Locations Alor	1g 25.7 MB
the Fresno to Bakersfield Section	
3.6-A Existing Plus Project Conditions Energy Analysis	76 kb
3.6-B Technical Memorandum: Water Usage Analysis for CHST Fresno to Bakersfield Section	1.9 MB
3.6-C Energy Analysis Memorandum	156 kb
3.7-A Special-Status Species and Observed Habitats	2.1 MB
3.7-B Comparison of Impacts on Biological Resources by Alternative	152 kb
3.11-A Safety and Security Data	81 kb
3.11-B Airport Obstructions	65 kb
3.12-A Residential, Business, and Mobile Home Relocation Assistance Brochures	1.5 MB
3.13-A Land Use Plans, Goals, and Policies	167 kb
3.17-A Programmatic Agreement	824 kb
3.19-A Planned and Potential Projects and Plans	1.0 MB



5-A Operating Cost Memorandum	361 kb	
Volume III: Alignments and Other Plans		
Document	Size	
Section A: Alignment Plans, Part 1 of 2	82.6 MB	
Section B: Alignment Plans, Part 2 of 2	79.2 MB	
Section C: Roadway and Grade Separation Plans, Part 1 of 2	135 MB	
Section D: Roadway and Grade Separation Plans, Part 2 of 2	71.9 MB	
Section E: Station Plans	6.8 MB	



- <u>California HSR Fresno to Bakersfield</u>
- Draft Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Final Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Draft General Conformity Determination Fresno to Bakersfield

Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement

California HSR – Fresno to Bakersfield

FRA is circulating for public review the project-level Fresno to Bakersfield High-Speed Rail (HSR) Project Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report/Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Revised DEIR/ Supplemental DEIS). In response to stakeholder, agency, and public feedback on the HSR alignment alternatives, FRA determined it would revise the Draft EIR/EIS that was released in August 2011 to include additional route and station options. The Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS for the Fresno Bakersfield section includes new alignment alternatives and station locations west of Hanford, an additional alternative through Bakersfield, and refinements to the existing Fresno to Bakersfield alternative alignments. The Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS includes the development of preliminary engineering designs and the identification and analysis of the potential environmental effects associated with the construction, operation, and maintenance of the HSR system, including track structures, ancillary facilities, and stations.

The Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS has incorporated changes to address comments received during the 2011 Draft EIR/EIS public comment period. Changes from the August 2011 Draft EIR/EIS are shaded in grey to facilitate public and agency review. Public comments are being accepted on the Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS from July 20, 2012 to October 19, 2012. The public comment period was extended an additional 30 days. The Final EIR/EIS, which is anticipated for release in early 2013, will provide responses to comments from both public comment periods.

The Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS presents the Project's purpose and need, identifies all reasonable alternatives including track alignments, stations, and heavy maintenance facilities as well as the no action alternative, describes the affected environment, analyzes the potential environmental impacts of all the reasonable alternatives and the no action alternative, and identifies appropriate mitigation measures to minimize the potential environmental impacts.

<u>Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS Federal Notice of Availability</u>

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers served as a cooperating agency on this Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS. The Corps is the agency responsible for issuing permits for discharge of dredged or fill material within Waters of the U.S. [Fresno to Bakersfield U.S. Army Corps 404 Notice , Attachment]



Public Hearings

Public Hearings on the Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS will be held:

- In Bakersfield on August 27, 2012, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Beale Memorial Library (701 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301)
- In Hanford on August 28, 2012, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Hanford Fraternal Hall (1015 N. 10th Avenue, Hanford, CA 93230)
- In Fresno on August 29, 2012, from 3 pm to 8 pm at the Fresno Convention Center, Exhibit Hall III (848 M Street, Fresno, CA 93721)

Comments

Please provide your comments to the California High-Speed Rail Authority by September 20, 2012.

- **Regular Mail** Fresno to Bakersfield Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS Comment 770 L Street, Suite 800 Sacramento, CA 95814
- Email
 <u>Fresno Bakersfield@hsr.ca.gov</u>
 Subject line: Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS Comment
- Website See <u>official comment box</u> on California High-Speed Rail Authority website

Fresno to Bakersfield Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS documents for download:

The following documents are available in Adobe Acrobat PDF Format, which is available for download at no cost on the Internet. (Note: many of these files are very large and could take up to several minutes to download.) Technical appendices that are part of the project record for the Fresno to Bakersfield EIS are available on the California High-Speed Rail Authority website. Parts of the Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS that have changed from the August 2011 Draft EIR/EIS are shaded in grey to facilitate public and agency review.

*I*n the preliminary Section 4(f)/6(f) evaluation, a potential resource was inadvertently reported to be within the "footprint" of disturbance for the BNSF Alternative through Bakersfield. In fact, this resource is located approximately 400 feet south of the BNSF Alternative, within the footprint of disturbance of the Bakersfield South Alternative. Because of the importance of the Section 4(f) determination, the preliminary 4(f)/6(f) evaluation contained in Chapter 4.0 of the Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS has been corrected to indicate that the affected potential resource in Bakersfield would be impacted by the Bakersfield South Alternative. The copies of the Revised Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS available at public locations in the project area are being updated to include the corrected Chapter 4.0.



Overview Documents	
Document	Size
<u>Brochure</u>	
Executive Summary	
Spanish Brochure	
Spanish Summary	
Volume I (Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS)	
Document	Size
<u>Cover Volume I</u>	1,231 KB
Title Page Volume I	14 KB
Signature Page	46 KB
Fact Sheet	73 KB
Preface	64 KB
Table of Contents Volume I	198 KB
Summary	2,126 KB
1.0 Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives	1,439 KB
2.0 Alternatives	8,661 KB
3.1 Introduction	206 KB
3.2 Transportation	27,779 KB
3.3 Air Quality and Global Climate Change	2,728 KB
3.4 Noise and Vibration	12,318 KB
3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference	416 KB
3.6 Public Utilities and Energy	1,609
3.7 Biological Resources and Wetlands	2,521 KB
3.8 Hydrology and Water Resources	1,734 KB
3.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity	3,810 KB



3.10 Hazardous Materials and Wastes	955 KB
3.11 Safety and Security	1,114 KB
3.12 Socioeconomics, Communities, and Environmental Justice	2,069 KB
3.13 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development	9,834 KB
3.14 Agricultural Lands	4,078 КВ
3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space	6,871 KB
3.16 Aesthetics and Visual Resources	14,523 КВ
3.17 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3,301 КВ
3.18 Regional Growth	347 KB
3.19 Cumulative Impacts	402 KB
4.0 Draft Section 4(f)-6(f) Evaluation	402 KB
5.0 Project Costs and Operations	185 KB
5.0 Other CEQA-NEPA Considerations	80 KB
7.0 Public and Agency Involvement	374 КВ
8.0 EIR-EIS Distribution	100 KB
9.0 List of Preparers	88 KB
10.0 References and Sources Used in Document Preparation	503 KB
11.0 Glossary of Terms	200 KB
12.0 Index	72 KB
13.0 Acronyms and Abbreviations	137 КВ

Volume II

Document	Size
<u>Cover Volume II</u>	1,296 KB
<u>Title Page Volume II</u>	22 KB
Table of Contents Volume II	21 KB



1-A Revised 2012 Business Plan	768 KB
2-A Road Crossings	417 KB
2-B Railroad Crossings	489 KB
2-C Operations and Service Plan Summary	1,496 KB
2-D Applicable Design Standards	118 KB
3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint	61,456 KB
3.4-A Noise and Vibration	2,082 KB
3.5-A Technical Study: Pre-Construction Electromagnetic Measurement Survey	26,334 KB
3.6-A Existing Plus Project Conditions Energy Analysis	85 KB
3.6-B Water Usage Analysis Technical Memorandum	7,207 KB
3.6-C Energy Analysis Memorandum	135 KB
3.7-A Special-Status Species and Observed Habitats	2,014 KB
3.7-B Comparison of Impacts on Biological Resources by Alternative	276 KB
3.8-A Water Bodies Crossed by Fresno to Bakersfield Section Alternatives	37 KB
3.11-A Safety and Security Data	54 KB
3.11-B Airport Obstructions	272 KB
3.12-A Residential, Business, and Mobile Home Relocation Assistance Brochures	1,637 KB
3.12-B Effects on School District Funding and Transportation Bus Routes	3,390 КВ
3.12-C Children's Health and Safety Risk Assessment	283 KB
3.13-A Land Use Plans, Goals, and Policies	324 KB
3.14-A Results and Findings of Land Evaluation and Site Assessment	163 KB
3.14-B Effects on Confined Animal Agriculture	31,704 KB
3.14-C High Speed Train Noise Disturbance on Grazing Lands	980 KB
3.17-A Programmatic Agreement	882 KB
3.19-A Planned and Potential Projects and Plans	5,647



3.19-B Planned and Potential Transportation Projects	6,119 KB
5-A Operating Cost Memorandum	95 KB
Volume III	
Document	Size
Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2	49,668 KB
Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2	39,231 KB
Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2	34,734 KB
Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2	21,916 KB
Section E Station Plans	16,722 KB



- <u>California HSR Fresno to Bakersfield</u>
- Draft Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Final Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Draft General Conformity Determination Fresno to Bakersfield

Final Environmental Impact Statement -Fresno to Bakersfield

California HSR – Fresno to Bakersfield

FRA issued the Fresno to Bakersfield High-Speed Rail (HSR) Project Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIR/EIS). In response to stakeholder, agency, and public feedback on the HSR alignment alternatives, the Final EIR/EIS includes the revised preliminary engineering designs, and the identification and analysis of the potential environmental effects associated with the construction, operation, and maintenance of the HSR system, including track structures, ancillary facilities, and stations.

The Final EIS incorporated changes to address comments received during the 2011 Draft EIR/EIS and 2012 Revised DEIR/Supplemental DEIS public comment periods. Changes compared to the July 2012 Revised Draft EIR/ Supplemental Draft EIS are shaded in grey to facilitate public and agency review.

The Final EIR/EIS presents the Project's purpose and need, identifies all reasonable alternatives including track alignments, stations, and heavy maintenance facilities as well as the no action alternative, describes the affected environment, analyzes the potential environmental impacts of all the reasonable alternatives and the no action alternative, and identifies appropriate mitigation measures to minimize the potential environmental impacts. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Surface Transportation Board served as cooperating agencies on this Final EIR/EIS.

Documents for download:

The files are in Adobe Acrobat PDF Format which is available for download at no cost on the Internet. (*Note: many of these files are very large and could take up to several minutes to download*). Copies of the Fresno to Bakersfield Final EIR/EIS are also available on CD-ROM upon request by calling (866) 761-7755. More information is available on the Authority's Webpage for the Fresno to Bakersfield EIR/EIS.

Volume I: Report

- <u>Cover Volume I</u>
- <u>Title Page Volume I</u>
- Signature Page
- Fact Sheet



- <u>Preface</u>
- <u>Table of Contents Volume I</u>
- <u>Summary</u>
- <u>Chapter 1 Project Purpose, Need, and Objectives</u>
- <u>Chapter 2 Alternatives</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.1 Introduction</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.2 Transportation</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.3 Air Quality and Global Climate Change</u>
- Chapter 3.4 Noise and Vibration
- Chapter 3.5 Electromagnetic Fields and Electromagnetic Interference
- <u>Chapter 3.6 Public Utilities and Energy</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.7 Biological Resources and Wetlands</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.8 Hydrology and Water Resources</u>
- Chapter 3.9 Geology, Soils, and Seismicity
- <u>Chapter 3.10 Hazardous Materials and Wastes</u>
- Chapter 3.11 Safety and Security
- Chapter 3.12 Socioeconomics, Communities, and Environmental Justice
- Chapter 3.13 Station Planning, Land Use, and Development
- Chapter 3.14 Agricultural Lands
- Chapter 3.15 Parks, Recreation, and Open Space
- <u>Chapter 3.16 Aesthetics and Visual Resources</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.17 Cultural and Paleontological Resources</u>
- <u>Chapter 3.18 Regional Growth</u>
- Chapter 3.19 Cumulative Impacts
- <u>Chapter 4.0 Draft Section 4(f)-6(f) Evaluations</u>
- <u>Chapter 5.0 Project Costs and Operations</u>
- Chapter 6.0 Other CEQA-NEPA Considerations
- Chapter 7.0 Preferred Alternative
- <u>Chapter 8.0 Public and Agency Involvement</u>
- <u>Chapter 9.0 EIR-EIS Distribution</u>
- Chapter 10.0 List of Preparers
- Chapter 11.0 References and Sources Used in Document Preparation
- Chapter 12.0 Glossary of Terms
- <u>Chapter 13.0 Index</u>
- <u>Chapter 14.0 Acronyms and Abbreviation</u>

Volume II: Technical Appendices

- <u>Cover Volume II</u>
- <u>Title Page Volume II</u>
- <u>1-A Business Plans</u>
- <u>2-A Road Crossings</u>
- <u>2-B Railroad Crossings</u>
- <u>2-C Operations and Service Plan Summary</u>
- <u>2-D Applicable Design Standards</u>
- <u>2-E Summary of Requirements for Operations and Maintenance Facilities</u>
- 2-F Potential Interim Service on the Initial Construction Segment



- 3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint
 - <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 1-90</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 91-150</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 151-250</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 251-300</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 301-380</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 381-460</u>
 - o <u>3.1-A Parcels within HST Footprint Part 461-558</u>
- <u>3.3-A Potential Impact from Induced Wind</u>
- <u>3.3-B Draft Federal General Conformity Determination</u>
- <u>3.4-A Noise and Vibration</u>
- <u>3.5-A Technical Study: Pre-Construction Electromagnetic Measurement Survey</u>
- <u>3.6-A Existing Plus Project Conditions Energy Analysis</u>
- <u>3.6-B Water Usage Analysis Technical Memorandum</u>
- <u>3.6-C Energy Analysis Memorandum</u>
- <u>3.7-A Special-Status Species and Observed Habitats</u>
- <u>3.7-B Comparison of Impacts on Biological Resources By Alternative</u>
- 3.7-C Watershed Evaluation Report
 - o <u>3.7-C Watershed Evaluation Report Part 1 of 4</u>
 - o <u>3.7-C Watershed Evaluation Report Part 2 of 4</u>
 - o <u>3.7-C Watershed Evaluation Report Part 3 of 4</u>
 - o <u>3.7-C Watershed Evaluation Report Part 4 of 4</u>
- <u>3.8-A Water Bodies Crossed by Fresno to Bakersfield Section Alternatives</u>
- <u>3.8-B Summary of Hydraulic Modeling</u>
- <u>3.11-A Safety and Security Data</u>
- <u>3.11-B Airport Obstructions</u>
- <u>3.12-A Residential, Business, and Mobile Home Relocation Assistance Brochures</u>
- <u>3.12-B Effects On School District Funding and Transportation Bus Routes</u>
- <u>3.12-C Children's Health and Safety Risk Assessment</u>
- <u>3.13-A Land Use Plans, Goals, and Policies</u>
- <u>3.14-A Results and Findings of Land Evaluation and Site Assessment</u>
- <u>3.14-B Effects on Confined Animal Agriculture</u>
- <u>3.14-C High Speed Train Noise Disturbance on Grazing Lands</u>
- <u>3.17-A Programmatic Agreement</u>
- <u>3.19-A Planned and Potential Projects and Plans</u>
- <u>3.19-B Planned and Potential Transportation Projects</u>
- <u>5-A Operating Cost Memorandum</u>

Volume III: Alignment Plans

- Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2
 - o Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 File 1 of 6
 - o Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 File 2 of 6
 - Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 File 3 of 6
 - o Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 File 4 of 6
 - o Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 File 5 of 6



• Section A Alignment Plans Part 1 of 2 - File 6 of 6

• Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2

- o Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2 File 1 of 5
- o <u>Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2 File 2 of 5</u>
- Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2 File 3 of 5
- Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2 File 4 of 5
- o <u>Section B Alignment Plans Part 2 of 2 File 5 of 5</u>

• Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2

- o Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2 File 1 of 5
- o Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2 File 2 of 5
- o Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2 File 3 of 5
- o Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2 File 4 of 5
- o Section C Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 1 of 2 File 5 of 5

• Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2

- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 1 of 6
- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 2 of 6
- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 3 of 6
- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 4 of 6
- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 5 of 6
- o Section D Roadway and Grade Separation Plans Part 2 of 2 -File 6 of 6

• Section E Station Plans

• Section F High Speed Train Structure Plans 1 of 2

- o Section F High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 1 of 2 File 1 of 4
- Section F High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 1 of 2 File 2 of 4
- Section F High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 1 of 2 File 3 of 4
- Section F High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 1 of 2 File 4 of 4

• Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans 2 of 2

- o Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 2 of 2 File 1 of 5
- o Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 2 of 2 File 2 of 5
- o Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 2 of 2 File 3 of 5
- Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 2 of 2 File 4 of 5
- Section G High Speed Train Structure Plans Part 2 of 2 File 5 of 5

Section H Roadway Structure Plans

- o Section H Roadway Structure Plans Part 1 of 2
- o Section H Roadway Structure Plans Part 2 of 2
- Section I Sound Barrier Plans
 - Section I Sound Barrier Plans Part 1 of 2



• Section I Sound Barrier Plans Part 2 of 2

Volume IV

- <u>Cover Volume IV</u>
- Volume IV Title Page
- <u>Volume IV Table of Contents</u>
- Chapter 15, 16, and 17 Introduction, Standard Responses, and Respuestas Estándar
- <u>Chapter 18 Response to Comments from Federal Agencies</u>
- <u>Chapter 19 Response to Comments from State Agencies</u>
- Chapter 20 Response to Comments from Local Agencies
 - o Chapter 20 Response to Comments from Local Agencies Part 1 of 2
 - <u>Chapter 20 Response to Comments from Local Agencies Part 2 of 2</u>
- Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations A-Q
 - <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations A-Q Part 1 of</u> <u>2</u>
 - <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations A-Q Part 2 of</u>
 <u>2</u>
- Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R
 - o Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 1 of 8
 - o Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 2 of 8
 - o <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 3 of 8</u>
 - o Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 4 of 8
 - o <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 5 of 8</u>
 - o <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 6 of 8</u>
 - o <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 7 of 8</u>
 - o <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations R Part 8 of 8</u>
- <u>Chapter 21 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations S-Z</u>
- Chapter 22 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name A-C
- <u>Chapter 23 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name D-F</u>
- <u>Chapter 24 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name G-I</u>
- <u>Chapter 25 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name K-M</u>
- <u>Chapter 26 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name N-R</u>
- Chapter 27 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name S-U
- <u>Chapter 28 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name V-Z</u>
- Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings
 - <u>Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-23-2011</u>
 - o Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-24-2011
 - Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-25-2011
 - Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-30-2011
 - Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 9-20-2011
 - Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 9-21-2011
 - <u>Chapter 29 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 9-22-2011</u>
- Chapter 30 Response to Comments from Federal Agencies Post Comment Period
- <u>Chapter 31 Response to Comments from State Agencies Post Comment Period</u>
- <u>Chapter 32 Response to Comments from Local Agencies Post Comment Period</u>
- <u>Chapter 33 References</u>



Volume V

- <u>Cover Volume V</u>
- Volume V Title Page
- Volume V Table of Contents
- Chapter 34, 35, and 36 Introduction, Standard Responses, and Respuestas Estándar
- <u>Chapter 37 Response to Comments from Federal Agencies</u>
- <u>Chapter 38 Response to Comments from State Agencies</u>
- Chapter 39 Response to Comments from Local Agencies
 - o Chapter 39 Response to Comments from Local Agencies A-J
 - o Chapter 39 Response to Comments from Local Agencies K-Z
- Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations
 - <u>Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations Part 1 of 5</u>
 - <u>Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations Part 2 of 5</u>
 - Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations Part 3 of 5
 - <u>Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations Part 4 of 5</u>
 - Chapter 40 Response to Comments from Businesses and Organizations Part 5 of 5
- <u>Chapter 41 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name A-C</u>
- <u>Chapter 42 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name D-F</u>
- <u>Chapter 43 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name G-</u>
- <u>Chapter 44 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name K-M</u>
- Chapter 45 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name N-R
- Chapter 46 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name S-U
- <u>Chapter 47 Response to Comments from Individuals Last Name V-Z</u>
- Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings
 - <u>Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-13-2012</u>
 - o Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-14-2012
 - o Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-15-2012
 - o <u>Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-27-2012</u>
 - o Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-28-2012
 - o <u>Chapter 48 Response to Comments from Public Meetings and Hearings 8-29-2012</u>
- <u>Chapter 49 Response to Comments from State Agencies Post Comment Period</u>
- <u>Chapter 50 Response to Comments from Local Agencies Post Comment Period</u>
- Chapter 51 Post Comment Period Submissions
- <u>Chapter 52 References</u>

Volume VI

- VV6-01 Chapters 53 and 54 Letters Inadvertently Omitted from Volumes IV & V and Errata
- V6-02 Chapter 54 Letters Inadvertently Omitted from Volumes IV & V and Errata
- V6-03 Chapter 54 Letters Inadvertently Omitted From Volumes IV & V and Errata
- V6-04 Chapter 54 Letters Inadvertently Omitted From Volumes IV & V and Errata
- <u>V6-05 Chapter 54 Letters Inadvertently Omitted From Volumes IV & V and Errata</u>
- <u>V6-06 Chapters 54 and 55 Letters Inadvertently Omitted From Volumes IV & V and Errata</u>



- <u>California HSR Fresno to Bakersfield</u>
- Draft Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement
- Final Environmental Impact Statement Fresno to Bakersfield
- Draft General Conformity Determination Fresno to Bakersfield

Draft General Conformity Determination

Pursuant to the Clean Air Act Section 176(c) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's implementing regulations (40 C.F.R Part 93, subpart B), FRA published a <u>Draft Federal General</u> <u>Conformity Determination</u> for public review and comment. The intent of the Clean Air Act's General Conformity requirement is to prevent the air quality impacts of a proposed federal project from causing or contributing to new violations of the air quality standards, exacerbating existing violations, or interfering with the purpose of the applicable implementation plan.

This draft general conformity determination documents FRA's finding that the Fresno to Bakersfield Project complies with the General Conformity rule and that it conforms to the purposes of the area's approved State Implementation Plan and is consistent with all applicable requirements. The conformity determination was made based on the project design feature and mitigation measures that were described in the Final EIR/EIS. Conformity is demonstrated herein as follows:

- The operation of the Project would result in a reduction of regional emissions of all applicable air pollutants and would not cause a localized exceedance of an air quality standard; and
- While emissions generated during the construction of the Project would exceed General Conformity thresholds for two pollutants, these emission increases would be off-set through a Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) with the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD).