

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration

TREAD METAL BUILDUP ON RAILWAY WHEELS -**Dynamometer Simulation**

Office of Research and Development Washington, D.C. 20590

DOT/FRA/ORD-

April 2003 Draft Report This document is available to the U.S. public through the National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

Disclaimer: This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of the report.

5. 2° 5

| ······································ | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | Form approved | |
| | | OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
| Public reporting burden for this collection searching existing data sources, gather comments regarding this burden estime Washington Headquarters Services, Dir 22202-4302, and to the Office of Manage 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave black) | on of information is estimated to a ring and maintaining the data ne ate or any other aspect of this co rectorate for Information Operation ement and Budget, Paperwork Red ank) 2. REPORT DA April 2003 | Pereage 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing eded, and completing and reviewing the collection of inform llection of information, including suggestions for reducing thi s and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, A luction Project (0702-0288), Washington, D.C. 20503 Test Report | instructions, ation. Send s burden to rlington, VA DVERED |
| 4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | |
| Trand Motel Duildur on Deilusu I | Wheele Demonstrate 0: 1 | 3. FUNDING NUMBERS | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | Dynamometer Simul | DTFR53-93-C-00001 | |
| Joseph Kristan and Dan Stone | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION | NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E | S) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION BEPORT NUMBERS | |
| Transportation Technology Cen P.O. Box 11130 Pueblo, CO 81001 | ter, Inc. | | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING A | GENGY NAME(S) AND ADD | RESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING | AGENCY |
| Federal Railroad Administration Office of Research and Develop 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20590 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | iment | | |
| | V STATEMENT | | |
| This document is available th | rough National Technical | Information | |
| Service, Springfield, VA 22161 | | | |
| IS. ABSTRACT | | | · ···································· |
| Recent dynamometer tests on a con- testing at TTC. Additionally, the b magnetized. A dynamometer test n both typical and abnormal brake fu No metal pickup was observed on combination, do not appear to be s | nposition metal shoe with a hi rake shoes and wheels that dev natrix was created. The matrix inction as well as water spray any of the test wheels. The ufficient in them to produce w | gh metal powder content developed tread metal pick u reloped tread metal buildup during these tests became a included a range of brake application pressures to en n an attempt to influence the resultant friction. refore, brake shoe force, moisture, and magnetism a heel built-up tread based on the dynamometer tests co | ip during iulate lone, or ir nducted. |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS | | 15. NUMBER | OF |
| Tread Metal Buildup Dynamometer Railroad Wheel | | PAGES | |
| | | 16. PRICE C | ODE |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATIO | N OF |
| UNCLASSIFIED | UNCLASSIFIED | UNCLASSIFIED SAR | |
| NSN 7540-01-280-5500 | | Standard Form 25 Prescribed by At | 8 (Rec. 2-89) SI/NISO Std. |

Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures

| Symbol | When You Know | Multiply by | To Find | Symbol |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | <u>LENGTH</u> | | • |
| in ft yd mi | inches feet yards miles | *2.50 . 30.00 0.90 1.60 | centimeters centimeters meters kilometers | cm cm m km |
| : | | AREA | | |
| ın² ft² yd² mi² | square inches square feet square yards square miles acres | 6.50 0.09 0.80 2.60 0.40 | square centimeters square meters square meters square kilometers hectares | cm² m² m² km² ha |
| | 1 | ASS (weigh | ıt) | |
| oz Ib | ounces pounds short tons (2000 lb) | 28.00 0.45 0.90 | grams kilograms tonnes | g kg t |
| , 1 1 | | VOLUME | | |
| tsp Tbsp fl oz c pt qt gal ft ³ yd ³ | teaspoons tablespoons fluid ounces cups pints quarts gallons cubic feet cubic yards | 5.00 15.00 30.00 0.24 0.47 0.95 3.80 0.03 0.76 | milliliters milliliters milliliters liters liters liters cubic meters cubic meters cubic meters | ៣! ៣! ៣ ³ ៣ ³ |
| | IE | MPERATUR | E (exact) | |
| ۰F | Fahrenheit temperature | 5/9 (after subtracting 32) | Celsius temperature | ·c |



METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

.

Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures

| Symbol | When You 'Know | Multiply by | To.Find | Symbol | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | LENGTH | | | |
| mm cm m m km | millimeters centimeters meters meters kilometers | 0.04 0.40 3.30 1.10 0.60 | inches inches feet yards miles | in in ft yd mi | |
| | | AREA | | | |
| cm² m² km² ha | square centim. square meters square kilom. hectares (10,000 m²) | 0.16 1.20 0.40 2.50 | square inches square yards square miles acres | in² yd² mi² | |
| | 4 | AASS (weig | iht) | | |
| 9 kg t | grams kilograms tonnes (1000 kg | 0.035 2.2 3) 1.1 | ounces pounds short tons | oz Ib | |
| | | VOLUME | | | |
| ml l l m ³ m ³ | milliliters liters liters liters cubic meters cubic meters | 0.03 2.10 1.06 0.26 36.00 1.30 | fluid ounces pints quarts gallons cubic leet cubic yards | fl oz pt qt gal ft ³ yd ⁹ | |
| TEMPERATURE (exact) | | | | | |
| ·c | Celsius' temperature | 9/5 (then add 32 | Fahrenheit temperature | 'F | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | |

* 1 in. = 2.54 cm (exactly)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There is a limited history of documented information on the formation of wheel tread metal buildup. The occurrence of tread metal buildup in service is infrequent. However, its presence can influence the performance and safety of the vehicle.

Recent dynamometer tests on a composition shoe with a high metal powder content developed slight tread metal pick up during testing at Transportation Technology Center, Pueblo, Colorado. Further, the brake shoes and wheels that developed the slight buildup became magnetized.

Thus, building on the available knowledge about tread metal buildup, a dynamometer test matrix was created. The matrix included a range of brake application pressures to emulate both typical and abnormal brake function as well as water spray in an attempt to influence the resultant friction.

No metal pickup was observed on any of the test wheels during this testing even though two high metal content brake shoes were included in the testing. Thus, even the slight metal buildup appears to be from metal transferred to the wheel tread, because under similar conditions the slight tread metal formation could not be reproduced. Therefore, brake shoe forces and moisture do not appear to be sufficient to produce wheel tread buildup based on the dynamometer tests conducted.

From these tests, it appears that the mechanisms that produce substantial tread buildup are possibly caused or catalyzed by wheel/rail contact and sliding. Wheel slides cannot be performed as part of the current dynamometer capabilities. Thus, additional research should likely address the sliding of the wheel on the rail as part of full-scale trials.

Valuable information was gained in the unsuccessful attempt to produce thread metal buildup through dynamometer testing. This information along with previous data has been critical in narrowing the likely sources of this defect. However, additional investigation is required to determine the source of the metal and the mechanism by which it is transferred to the wheel tread from the source.

ii

Ĵ.

Table of Contents

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | .1 |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.0 | OBJECTIVE | .2 |
| 3.0 | FIELD OBSERVATIONS & PRELIMINARY INTERPRETATION | .2 |
| 4.0 | DYNAMOMETER TESTS | .3 |
| | 4.1 Test Matrix | .4 |
| | 4.2 Dynamometer Test Results | .5 |
| 5.0 | DISCUSSION | .6 |
| | 5.1 Additional Tread Metal Build-Up Theories | .6 |
| | 5.2 Suggested Future Research | .6 |
| Referer | nces | .7 |
| Append | lix: Data for Wheels Removed from Service | |

٦

1.0 INTRODUCTION

There is a limited history of documented information on the formation of wheel tread metal buildup. The occurrence of tread metal buildup in service is infrequent. However, its presence can influence the performance and safety of the vehicle as is obvious for the wheel shown in Figure 1.

In the early 1980s, the Association of American Railroads performed an on full-scale track brake shoe performance test at the Transportation Test Center (TTC), Pueblo, Colorado, that showed built-up tread to be associated with wet conditions.¹ Further, all of the different types of shoes in the brake shoe performance test had metal pick up, but each associated wheel did not necessarily pick up metal. Metal pick up seemed to be associated with hydroplaning (or at least a drop in the apparent coefficient of friction.) There was also no correlation between wheel location (R1, L2, etc.) and the presence of metal buildup.

In another study, Tse and Steets reported that the built-up tread problem could be attributed to malfunctioning quick service valves or high pressure spool valves, which caused the brake cylinder pressure to rise to high levels.² Additionally, studies by Canadian National have shown increased metal pick up during winter months.³

Recent dynamometer tests on a composition metal shoe with a high metal powder content developed tread metal pick up during testing at TTC. Additionally, the brake shoes and wheels that developed tread metal buildup during these tests became magnetized.

Thus, building on the available knowledge about tread metal buildup, a dynamometer test matrix was created. The matrix included a range of brake application pressures to emulate both typical and abnormal brake function as well as water spray in an attempt to influence the resultant friction.



Figure 1. Built-up Metal on the Tread of a Wheel

2.0 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this research was to produce tread metal buildup on wheels tested with Transportation Technology Center, Inc.'s dynamometer, while simulating conditions by which it forms in service. In an attempt to simulate these conditions, a test matrix was created that included a range of brake pressures to simulate proper and improper brake operation. Additionally, a water spray was added to the wheel intended to influence the friction between the wheel and brake shoe to emulate wet conditions.

The ability to produce tread metal buildup in the laboratory during dynamometer tests was intended to allow modifications to equipment and/or train handling to reduce the occurrence of this phenomena in service. The conditions under which tread metal buildup have been consistently observed to occur in service include rain and/or snow at times in combination with improper brake operation.

3.0 FIELD OBSERVATIONS AND PRELIMINARY INTERPRETATION

To characterize wheels that developed built-up treads in service with those that were removed without surface damage, 12 wheels were examined June 5, 2002, by Progress Rail Service, Corp. in Sydney, Nebraska. Tread profile, magnetism, surface roughness, and hardness were measured at various locations around the circumference of each wheel. The results of these measurements are shown in Table 1 and detailed in the appendix.

Tread profile appears to have no effect on the formation of built-up treads. Built-up treads typically have developed a level of magnetism that is an order of magnitude higher than wheels without metal pick up. Further, the level of magnetism appears to increase with increasing tread metal buildup. Wheels with built-up treads have a higher surface roughness. This may be associated with the fact that metal picked up on the brake shoe surface probably occurs before transfer to the tread. The metal imbedded on the surface of the shoe is probably the cause of the roughened tread. It is speculated that the rough tread surface may facilitate the transfer of metal to the wheel. The tread hardness is, in all cases, harder than the specified 321-363 Bhn of new Class C wheels due to work hardening from wheel/rail contact. The higher hardness of the investigated wheels is consistent between both the built-up tread and undamaged wheels tested as Table 1 shows.

| Wheel Number | Built-up Tread? | Maximum Magnetism, Gauss | Tread Profile | Average Hardness, Bhn | Average Surface Roughness, µ inches |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 07534 | No | 6.0 | Normal | 519 | 25 |
| 07598 | No | 6.3 | 2 mm hollow | 505 | 43 |
| 12222 | No | 4.9 | 2 mm hollow | 460 | 28 |
| 15489 | No | 7.1 | 3 mm hollow | 512 | 44 |
| 50469 | No | 7.3 | 2 mm hollow | 501 | 169 |
| 53168 | No | 7.3 | Spalled | 430 | 78 |
| Ave | rage | 6.5 | | 488 | 64.5 |
| 49933 | Slight | 3.9 | 2 mm hollow | 538 | 186 |
| 51124 | Moderate | 7.5 | Normal | 556 | 68 |
| Ave | rage | 5.7 | | 547 | 127 |
| 10988 | Heavy | 36.5 | Normal | 494 | 310 |
| 10989 | Heavy | 12.6 | Slight wear | 487 | 401 |
| 14073 | Heavy | 44.5 | Normal | 430 | 434 |
| 38299 | Heavy | 22.0 | Normal | 428 | 372 |
| Ave | rage | 28.9 | | 460 | 379 |

| Table 1. | Field Observations of Magnetism, Tread Profile, Tread Surface Roughness, and |
|----------|--|
| | Tread Hardness of Wheels with and without Tread Metal Buildup |

Tread Buildup Classification:

Slight = Less than 1-inch circumference: very minimal thin formation on wheel tread. Moderate = Less than 3 inches: beginning of formations that could influence wheel performance. Heavy = More than 3 inches: extremely large formations that would influence wheel performance.

4.0 DYNAMOMETER TESTS

A series of dynamometer tests were conducted to determine if tread buildup could be induced under a matrix of varying conditions of brake shoe force and moisture. Moisture was controlled and applied by a spray system that was capable of producing a fine spray at rates up to 800 ml/min. Figure 2 shows the spray system.



Figure 2. Dynamometer Spray Apparatus

4.1 Test Matrix

Fourteen dynamometer tests were performed, as Table 2 shows. Tests 1 through 7 were conducted at a constant speed of 20 mph with brake shoe forces varying between each of the tests from 400 to 10,000 pounds. Tests 8 and 10 were conducted at 20 mph with a 925-pound brake shoe force for 20 minutes followed by 20 mph with a 1,450-pound brake shoe force for 20 minutes and ended with a series of light braking stop tests from 80, 60, 40, and 20 mph using a 3,298-pound brake shoe force. These tests were designed in an attempt to produce metal pickup on the shoe and then subsequently deposit it on the wheel, as this may be a mechanism of occurrence. Test 9 was conducted at 80 mph with a 925-pound brake shoe force for 20 minutes followed by 80 mph with a 1,450-pound brake shoe force for 20 minutes, with a series of light braking stop tests from 80, 60, 40, and 20 mph using a 2,000-pound brake shoe force. Tests 11 through 14 were conducted at a constant speed of 20 mph using a variety of different brake shoes. Tests 13 and 14 employed test wheels that were pre-magnetized. The tests were again designed to produce metal pickup in the shoe with subsequent deposit on the wheel tread. Tests 6 through 14 also employed test wheels using a load of 7,500 pounds in an attempt to roughen the wheel tread surface.

| Test Number | Brake Shoe Force (lb) | Test Time (min) | Water Flow Rate (ml/min) | Rail Wheel Load (ib) | Brake Shoe | Metal Pick Up |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 10000 | 4 | 800 | 0 | H4 | No |
| 2 | 6000 | 20 | 800 | 0 | H4 | No |
| 3 | 4000 | 30 | 800 | 0 | H4 | No |
| 4 | 2000 | 50 | 800 | 0 | H4 | No |
| 5 | 800 | 105 | 800 | 0 | H4 | No |
| 6 | 800 | 96 | 800 | 7500 | H4 | No |
| 7 | 400 | 120 | 800 | 7500 | H4 | No |
| 8 | 925 1450 | 45 45 | 0 0 | 7500 7500 | High Abrasion | No |
| 9 | 925 1450 | 45 45 | 0 0 | 7500 7500 | High Metal Content A | No |
| 10 | 925 1450 | 45 45 | 0 0 | 7500 7500 | High Metal Content B | No |
| 11 | 1450 | 60 | 0 | 7500 | H4 with Metal Pick up | No |
| 12 | 1450 | 60 | 0 | 7500 | H4 removed From service | No |
| 13* | 1450 | 60 | 0 | 7500 | H4 with Metal Pick up | No |
| 14* | 1450 | 60 | 0 | 7500 | H4 removed From service | No |

Table 2. Built-up Tread Matrix. *Test wheels pre-magnetized

4.2 Dynamometer Test Results

No metal pickup was observed on any of the test wheels during this testing even though two high metal content brake shoes were included in the testing. Thus, even the slight metal buildup appears to be from metal transferred to the wheel tread, because under similar conditions the slight tread metal formation could not be reproduced. Therefore, brake shoe forces and moisture do not appear to be sufficient to produce wheel tread buildup based on the dynamometer tests conducted.

From these tests, it appears that the mechanisms that produce substantial tread buildup are possibly caused or catalyzed by wheel/rail contact and sliding. Wheel slides cannot be performed as part of the current dynamometer capabilities. Thus, additional research should likely address the sliding of the wheel on the rail as part of full-scale trials.

5.0 **DISCUSSION**

Examination of wheels that have formed metal buildup in service indicates the following:

- 1. Tread profile does not appear to be a factor in the formation of tread metal buildup.
- 2. Wheels with tread metal buildup have developed a surface roughness. However, it is unknown if this is a precursor of metal buildup or the result of brake shoe metal buildup.
- 3. Wheels with tread metal buildup have become magnetized. However, as with the case of surface roughness, it is unknown if this is a precursor of metal buildup or the result of brake shoe metal buildup. Further, the level of magnetism appears to increase with increasing tread metal buildup.
- 4. Dynamometer tests indicate that high brake shoe force and moisture are likely not sufficient to cause tread metal buildup.

5.1 Additional Tread Metal Build-Up Theories

A study of tread metal buildup was done at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC) during the 1980s that surmised that the metal pickup was, in fact, an alloy steel that was formed in situ, using iron oxides from the lining and wear debris from the rail and wheel.⁴ Carbonaceous material from the brake shoe binder resin and rubber particles provided the energy for this alloy formation. It was found that, after only two snow brake applications of 1 minute duration each, smelted alloy steel formed as thick as 10 millimeters. A sample of the metal pickup material was mounted to prepare a metallographic sample of the longitudinal or lateral cross section. The "grain" path is totally inconsistent with the possible rubbing paths. Elemental mapping of the metal pickup material showed that it had a composition that was different from the rail, the wheel, or the iron particles in the brake linings. However, other analyses of built-up material have shown the composition of wheel or rail steel. It is difficult to imagine that such a reduction proposed by the research at UIUC would produce a steel composition and not a higher carbon "pig iron" composition.

5.2 Suggested Future Research

Valuable information was gained in the unsuccessful attempt to produce tread metal buildup through dynamometer testing. This information along with previous data has been critical in narrowing the likely sources of this defect. However, additional investigation is required to determine the source of the metal and the mechanism by which it is transferred to the wheel tread from the source. It is obvious that the mechanism is more complicated than can be reproduced on the dynamometer using water spray and varying brake shoe forces and wheel speeds. Thus, if additional trials were to be conducted in the attempt to intentionally produce metal buildup on the wheel tread, track tests would likely be required with moisture added to the rail to allow significant wheel slip. The sliding of the wheel on the rail and the subsequent metal removal and wheel profile change are possibly contributing to the tread buildup mechanism and should be addressed in order to clarify this phenomenon.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, G. B. W. P. Manos, and N. G. Wilson. "Brake Shoe Performance Test II," Association of American Railroads Research Report R-565A, December 1983.
- Tse, Y. H. and P. G. Steets. "Wheel Impact Detection Cost Savings and Safety through Management by Prevention," *Rail Transportation – 1996*, RTD Vol. 12, ASME, (New York, 1996) pp. 1-10.
- 3. W. Blevins, "CN Wheel Spalling and Shelling," *Proceedings of the 91st Air Brake Association Technical Conference*, (Chicago, 1999) pp. 98-102.
- 4. Personal communication with Harry Wettencamp, Prof. Emeritus, UIUC, October 2002.

Ï

ゴート ーノ

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\}$

Second Second

) \downarrow

 $\left(\right)$

APPENDIX

Data for Wheels Removed from Service

Wheel 07534 No Tread Damage







F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 07534 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 056 | 053 | 029 |
| 45 degrees | 027 | 039 | 018 |
| 90 degrees | 033 | 024 | 035 |
| 135 degrees | 098 | 075 | 039 |
| 180 degrees | 027 | 051 | 043 |
| 225 degrees | 026 | 023 | 058 |
| 270 degrees | 066 | 024 | 021 |
| 315 degrees | 019 | 040 | 025 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 522 | 540 | 511 |
| 45 degrees | 526 | 529 | 444 |
| 90 degrees | 503 | 511 | 535 |
| 135 degrees | 383 | 487 | 471 |
| 180 degrees | 430 | 577 | 492 |
| 225 degrees | 427 | 510 | 404 |
| 270 degrees | 424 | 467 | 529 |
| 315 degrees | 531 | 531 | 501 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #07534 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +1.3 = 3.845 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.1 to +0.1 = 4.290 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.1 to +0.8 = 4.9135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.6 = 3.9180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.1 to +1.9=5.0225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.7 to +1.1 = 3.8270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.4 to +0.3 = 3.7315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.6 to +0.7 = 3.3The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -4.1 to +1.9 or 6 gauss.







Wheel 07598 No Tread Damage







F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 07598 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 150 | 043 | 047 |
| 45 degrees | 128 | 091 | 026 |
| 90 degrees | 122 | 045 | 026 |
| 135 degrees | 114 | 041 | 039 |
| 180 degrees | 112 | 024 | 023 |
| 225 degrees | 144 | 034 | 025 |
| 270 degrees | 106 | 018 | 026 |
| 315 degrees | 107 | 048 | 040 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 529 | 520 | 538 |
| 45 degrees | 540 | 548 | 526 |
| 90 degrees | 494 | 466 | 458 |
| 135 degrees | 456 | 518 | 463 |
| 180 degrees | 518 | 533 | 515 |
| 225 degrees | 497 | 436 | 482 |
| 270 degrees | 555 | 503 | 585 |
| 315 degrees | 439 | 520 | 459 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #07598 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.8 to +0.4 = 2.245 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +0.5 = 3.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.2 to +0.6 = 3.8135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.9 to +1.5 = 3.4180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +1.0= 4.3225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.5 to +0.6 = 3.8270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.6 to +1.5 = 6.1315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.6 to +1.5 = 6.1315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.9 to +1.7 = 4.6The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -4.6 to +1.7 or 6.3 gauss.







Tip to Flange



Wheel 10988 Built Up Tread



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 10988 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 274 | 331 | 335 |
| 45 degrees | 652 | 273 | 223 |
| 90 degrees | 205 | 546 | 205 |
| 135 degrees | 290 | 170 | 181 |
| 180 degrees | 234 | 168 | 158 |
| 225 degrees | 170 | 225 | 133 |
| 270 degrees | 276 | 687 | 593 |
| 315 degrees | 199 | 085 | 175 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 647 | 497 | 438 |
| 45 degrees | 317 | 674 | 471 |
| 90 degrees | 520 | 557 | 484 |
| 135 degrees | 427 | 354 | 463 |
| 180 degrees | 472 | 554 | 435 |
| 225 degrees | 313 | 250 | 286 |
| 270 degrees | 387 | 542 | 321 |
| 315 degrees | 517 | 529 | 339 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #10988 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.5 to +3.0 = 6.545 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.0 to +4.0 = 5.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.0 to +7.0 = 11.0135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -28.0 to +8.5 = 36.5180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -26.0 to +0.0 = 26.0225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.0 to +0.0 = 5.0270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -9.0 to +0.0 = 6.1315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -9.0 to +0.0 = 6.1315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -8.0 to +0.0 = 8.0The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -28.0 to +8.5 or 36.5 gauss.






Wheel 10989 Built Up Tread



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 10989 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 761 | 497 | 282 |
| 45 degrees | 530 | 1091 | 311 |
| 90 degrees | 238 | 392 | 184 |
| 135 degrees | 231 | 290 | 213 |
| 180 degrees | 187 | 258 | 149 |
| 225 degrees | 232 | 212 | 196 |
| 270 degrees | 271 | 211 | 815 |
| 315 degrees | 317 | 261 | 154 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 371 | 632 | 460. |
| 45 degrees | 365 | 304 | 517 |
| 90 degrees | 337 | 410 | 461 |
| 135 degrees | 489 | 517 | - 503 |
| 180 degrees | 477 | 517 | 391 |
| 225 degrees | 643 | 577 | 524 |
| 270 degrees | 472 | 367 | 463 |
| 315 degrees | 489 | 573 | 496 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #12222 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +1.5 = 4.845 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +0.6 = 3.190 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.8 = 4.1135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.2 to +1.0 = 3.2180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.0 to +0.4 = 3.4225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.9 to +0.7 = 3.6270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.4 to +0.2 = 3.6315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.8 to +1.5 = 4.3The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -3.4 to +1.5 or 4.9 gauss.









Wheel 12222 Slight Metal Build Up



Wheel #12222 a "No tread damage" wheel at Progress Wheel Shop

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 12222 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 107 | 022 | 077 |
| 45 degrees | 022 | 022 | 027 |
| 90 degrees | 099 | 020 | 038 |
| 135 degrees | 086 | 049 | 068 |
| 180 degrees | 079 | 017 | 024 |
| 225 degrees | 100 | 022 | 043 |
| 270 degrees | 096 | 041 | 030 |
| 315 degrees | 128 | 030 | 053 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 589 | 452 | 494 |
| 45 degrees | 531 | 487 | 497 |
| 90 degrees | 513 | 407 | 561 |
| 135 degrees | 463 | 438 | 415 |
| 180 degrees | 533 | 405 | 455 |
| 225 degrees | 518 | 453 | 464 |
| 270 degrees | 464 | 511 | 417 |
| 315 degrees | 520 | 527 | 571 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #12222 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +1.5 = 4.845 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +0.6 = 3.190 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.8 = 4.1135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.2 to +1.0 = 3.2180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.0 to +0.4=3.4225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.9 to +0.7 = 3.6270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.4 to +0.2 = 3.6315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.8 to +1.5 = 4.3The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -3.4 to +1.5 or 4.9 gauss.







Wheel 14073 Built Up Tread



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 14073 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 318 | 203 | 142 |
| 45 degrees | 250 | 360 | 216 |
| 90 degrees | 212 | 427 | 506 |
| 135 degrees | 1089 | 339 | 341 |
| 180 degrees | 678 | 441 | 826 |
| 225 degrees | 156 | 991 | 164 |
| 270 degrees | 229 | 282 | 243 |
| 315 degrees | 159 | 429 | 178 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 538 | 492 | 474 |
| 45 degrees | 538 | 405 | 471 |
| 90 degrees | 610 | 450 | 461 |
| 135 degrees | 293 | 393 | 430 |
| 180 degrees | 318 | 552 | 439 |
| 225 degrees | 222 | 387 | 435 |
| 270 degrees | 503 | 432 | 389 |
| 315 degrees | 496 | 335 | 486 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #15489 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.4 to +1.0 = 6.445 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.2 to +0.8 = 4.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.7 to +1.7 = 5.4135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.5 = 3.8180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.9 to +0.5 = 5.0225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.7 to +0.8 = 12.0270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.7 to +1.0 = 5.7315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.0 to +1.2 = 6.2The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -5.4 to +1.7 or 7.1 gauss.

June 5, 2002 Progress Wheel Shop, Sidney, NE 0 to 135 degree readings Outer Rim to Tread Face Tread Face Ń m Ŷ Tread Ŋ Face to Flange Tip Flange Tip to Flange Rim ±25 425

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST

GAUSSMETER READINGS OF WHEEL #14073 (on axle #6767)





Wheel 15489 Thermal Cracks on Tread





F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 15489 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 091 | 026 | 067 |
| 45 degrees | 038 | 042 | 084 |
| 90 degrees | 025 | 053 | 028 |
| 135 degrees | 025 | 016 | 028 |
| 180 degrees | 052 | 022 | 032 |
| 225 degrees | 026 | 024 | 078 |
| 270 degrees | 026 | 041 | 045 |
| 315 degrees | 033 | 028 | 036 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | .479 | 506 | 542 |
| 45 degrees | 513 | 602 | 643 |
| 90 degrees | 411 | 453 | 538 |
| 135 degrees | 421 | 510 | 587 |
| 180 degrees | 474 | 522 | 550 |
| 225 degrees | 486 | 448 | 577 |
| 270 degrees | 472 | 484 | 464 |
| 315 degrees | 554 | 569 | 577 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #15489 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.4 to +1.0 = 6.445 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.2 to +0.8 = 4.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.7 to +1.7 = 5.4135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.5 = 3.8180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.9 to +0.5 = 5.0225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.7 to +0.8 = 12.0270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.7 to +1.0 = 5.7315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.0 to +1.2 = 6.2The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -5.4 to +1.7 or 7.1 gauss.







Wheel 38299 Tread Metal Build Up

i

Wheel #38299 a tread damaged wheel at Progress Wheel Shop



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 38299 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 5, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 451 | 173 | 122 |
| 45 degrees | 188 | 281 | 110 |
| 90 degrees | 357 | 191 | 209 |
| 135 degrees | 745 | 553 | 123 |
| 180 degrees | 362 | 550 | 516 |
| 225 degrees | 1284 | 326 | 581 |
| 270 degrees | 422 | 334 | 380 |
| 315 degrees | 307 | 570 | 254 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 576 | 499 | 472 |
| 45 degrees | 348 | 482 | 333 |
| 90 degrees | 469 | 374 | 450 |
| 135 degrees | 430 | 366 | 423 |
| 180 degrees | 394 | 455 | 438 |
| 225 degrees | 497 | 383 | 455 |
| 270 degrees | 396 | 472 | 397 |
| 315 degrees | 415 | 390 | 415 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 5, 2002

- INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #38299 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).
- INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.
- FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.4 to + 6.6 = 11.0 45 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -7.8 to + 4.8 = 12.6 90 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -6.0 to + 1.4 = 7.4 135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -12.0 to + 2.2 = 14.2 180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.4 to + 1.4= 3.8 225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.0 to + 2.6 = 3.6 270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.0 to + 5.0 = 5.0 315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.0 to + 10.0 = 10.0 The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -12.0 to +10.0 or 22.0 gauss.

GAUSSMETER READINGS OF WHEEL #38299 (on axle #6767)






Wheel 49933 Tread Metal Build Up

J

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST





F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 49933 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 4, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 338 | 073 | 154 |
| 45 degrees | 058 | 066 | 137 |
| 90 degrees | 499 | 066 | 303 |
| 135 degrees | 125 | 069 | 145 |
| 180 degrees | 115 | 137 | 150 |
| 225 degrees | 155 | 086 | 314 |
| 270 degrees | 099 | 103 | 158 |
| 315 degrees | 070 | 231 | 075 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | . 486 | 491 | 591 |
| 45 degrees | 415 | 453 | 513 |
| 90 degrees | 400 | 494 | 332 |
| 135 degrees | 529 | 589 | 540 |
| 180 degrees | 494 | 610 | 479 |
| 225 degrees | 442 | 585 | 612 |
| 270 degrees | 424 | 569 | 455 |
| 315 degrees | 458 | 518 | 486 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 4, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #49933 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.5 to +0.2 = 0.745 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.9 to +2.0 = 2.990 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.9 to +0.2 = 2.1135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.1 to +0.1 = 1.2180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.2 to +0.3 = 1.5225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.4 to +0.9 = 1.3270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.6 to +0.9 = 1.5315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -0.5 to +0.6 = 1.1The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -1.9 to +2.0 or 3.9 gauss.



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST





Wheel 50469 Minor Tread Build Up

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST







F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 50469 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 4, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 050 | 358 | 065 |
| 45 degrees | 156 | 174 | 091 |
| 90 degrees | 114 | 052 | 074 |
| 135 degrees | 136 | 141 | 090 |
| 180 degrees | 151 | 060 | 079 |
| 225 degrees | 129 | 070 | 040 |
| 270 degrees | 203 | 238 | 048 |
| 315 degrees | 151 | 259 | 057 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 567 | 387 | 522 |
| 45 degrees | 442 | 540 | 499 |
| 90 degrees | 524 | 546 | 575 |
| 135 degrees | 444 | 567 | 472 |
| 180 degrees | 513 | 518 | 491 |
| 225 degrees | 537 | 497 | 489 |
| 270 degrees | 535 | 448 | 517 |
| 315 degrees | 474 | 508 | 508 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 4, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #50469 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to + 0.1 = 3.445 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.6 to + 0.0 = 4.690 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.7 to + 0.5 = 5.2135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.5 to + 0.3 = 4.8180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.6 to + 1.9 = 5.5225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.4 to + 0.7 = 6.1270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.4 to + 0.0 = 5.4315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.8 to + 0.0 = 5.4315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.8 to + 0.8 = 5.6The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -5.4 to + 1.9 or 7.3 gauss.



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST





Wheel 51124 Tread Metal Build Up

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST



F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 51124 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 4, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outor rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| Doodton | riour mange | Center | Incal outer min |
| 0 degrees | 107 | 060 | 071 |
| 45 degrees | 089 | 069 | 105 |
| 90 degrees | 120 | 050 | 060 |
| 135 degrees | 054 | 101 | 080 |
| 180 degrees | 065 | 071 | 061 |
| 225 degrees | 130 | 064 | 119 |
| 270 degrees | 046 | 061 | 062 |
| 315 degrees | 046 | 068 | 094 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 375 | 373 | 433 |
| 45 degrees | 448 | 438 | 455 |
| 90 degrees | 591 | 423 | . 444 |
| 135 degrees | 497 | 487 | 405 |
| 180 degrees | 441 | 496 | 429 |
| 225 degrees | 408 | 441 | 448 |
| 270 degrees | 455 | 456 | 447 |
| 315 degree | 367 | 398 | 439 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 4, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #51124 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.3 to +0.1 = 2.445 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.7 to +2.3 = 6.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.5 to +0.1 = 3.6135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -5.2 to +0.1 = 5.3180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.4 to +0.7 = 3.1225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +1.1 = 3.6270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.0 to +0.0 = 4.0315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -4.0 to +0.2 = 4.2The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -5.2 to +2.3 or 7.5 gauss.







Wheel 53168 Built Up Tread



Wheel #53168 a tread damaged wheel at Progress Wheel Shop

F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST SURFACE CONDITION and HARDNESS READINGS WHEEL # 53168 Progress Rail Services Corp., Sidney, NE June 4, 2002

SURFACE CONDITION READINGS of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 069 | 110 | 089 |
| 45 degrees | 065 | 053 | 065 |
| 90 degrees | 079 | 052 | 084 |
| 135 degrees | 069 | 047 | 118 |
| 180 degrees | 067 | 060 | 060 |
| 225 degrees | 153 | 104 | 097 |
| 270 degrees | 107 | 104 | 048 |
| 315 degrees | 125 | 093 | 065 |

HARDNESS READINGS (HB) of TREAD FACE

| Location | Near Flange | Center | Near outer rim |
|-------------|-------------|--------|----------------|
| 0 degrees | 391 | 413 | 314 |
| 45 degrees | 439 | 407 | 329 |
| 90 degrees | 466 | 393 | 347 |
| 135 degrees | 366 | 427 | 408 |
| 180 degrees | 433 | 421 | 351 |
| 225 degrees | 386 | 417 | 370 |
| 270 degrees | 394 | 433 | 344 |
| 315 degrees | 387 | 533 | 499 |

TRANSPORTATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER, INC. Non-destructive Testing Report

DATE: June 4, 2002

INSPECTION SUBJECT: TEST WHEEL #53168 This is a test of a wheel which was selected at the Progress Wheel Shop in Sidney, NE for use on the F.R.A. TREAD BUILD-UP TEST (A1K1T1).

INSPECTION METHOD(S): This test was conducted using an RFL Industries, Model 750A, Gaussmeter, to determine if there is any residual magnetism in the various areas around the wheel.

FINDINGS: The gaussmeter charts are on an attached page, and show a chart for each of the following locations:

0 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.1 to +0.7 = 3.845 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +3.7 = 7.090 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.9 to +0.5 = 3.4135 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -1.3 to +0.9 = 2.2180 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.6 to +0.2 = 3.8225 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.5 to +0.8 = 3.3270 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -2.4 to +0.0 = 2.4315 degrees. Flange rim around to tread rim. Gauss range read: -3.3 to +0.0 = 3.3The maximum range of gauss in this wheel was from -3.6 to +3.7 or 7.3 gauss.









-10.0 -20.0 -30.0

-20.0

سلىسى 0.0 ; .] _____) })

)

 $\Big)$