

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Railroad Safety Accident and Analysis Branch

Accident Investigation Report HQ-2014-1032

Canadian Pacific Railway Company (CP) Skyline, MN November 27, 2014

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report, including this one, made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT									ile #R4-2014-1032	
	L		TRAIN SU	M	MARY					
1. Name of Railroad Operating	Train #1			1a. A	Alphabetic Code	1	b. Railroa	d Accident/II	ncident No.	
Union Pacific Railroad Compa	ny		1	UP		1	114TC01	1		
2. Name of Railroad Operating	Train #2		1	2a. A	Alphabetic Code	2	b. Railroa	d Accident/II	ncident No.	
Canadian Pacific Railway Com	ipany			СР		1	00014870	4		
			GENERAL INF	0	RMATION					
1. Name of Railroad or Other E	intity Responsible for	Track Mai	ntenance		1a. Alphabetic Code	;	1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.			
Union Pacific Railroad Compa	ny				UP		1114TC011			
2. U.S. DOT Grade Crossing Id	lentification Number				3. Date of Accident/I	ncident	4. Tin	ne of Accider	t/Incident	
					11/27/2014		2:31 AM			
5. Type of Accident/Incident							-			
Side Collision										
6. Cars Carrying	7. HAZMAT Cars		8. Cars Releasing		9. People	10		10. Subdivision		
HAZMAT 0	Damaged/Derailed	0	HAZMAT ()	Evacuated	0 N		Mankato		
11. Nearest City/Town		12. Mi	lepost (to nearest tenth)	13	State Abbr.	14. Coun	ty			
Skyline			88.2	Ν	ЛN	BLUE EARTH				
15. Temperature (F)	16. Visibility	-	17. Weather			18. Type of Track				
0 °F	Dark		Clear			Main	Main			
19. Track Name/Number	2	20. FRA	Frack Class			21. Annual Track Density			22. Time Table Direction	
Single Main Track		Freight T	rains-60, Passenger Trains-		(gross 12	ss tons in millions)		North		

0	U.S. Department of Transportation
	Federal Railroad Administration

FRA File #R4-2014-1032

OPERATING TH	RAIN	ĺ # 1
---------------------	------	--------------

1. Type of Equipment Co	nsist:							2. Was Equipment Attended?					Train Number/Symbol		
Freight Train								Yes MNPVP 24							
 4. Speed (recorded speed, R - Recorded E - Estimated 	, if avail 1	able) 7 MPH	Code 4	5. Trailing T 4792	ons (gross e	xluding po	ower units) 6a	ts) 6a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive? Code 0 = Not a remotely controlled operation 1 = Remote control portable transmitter 2 = Remote control tower operation 3 = Remote control tower operation 0							
6. Type of Territory								5 – Remote contr			fore man one	remote cont			
Signalization:															
Signaled															
Method of Operation/Au	thority f	or Moveme	ent:												
Supplemental/Adjunct C	odes:														
7 Principal Car/Unit		a Initia	1 and Num	her h Pos	ition in Train	c I	oaded (ves/no)	8 If railro	ad employe	e(s) tested for	drug/	Alcohol		Drugs	
(1) First Involved	,	U	IP 8758		1		no	alcoho	l use, enter t	he number th	at were	0	0		
(derailed, struck, etc.) (2) Causing (if mecha	c.) mical,				-			9. Was th	is consist tra	consist transporting passengers?				N	
cause reported)			NA		0		no							No	
(Exclude EMU, DMU, and	d Cab	a. Head End	Mi	d Train	Rear	End	(Include EMU,	, DMU, and Cab	Loaded		Emj	Empty			
Car Locomotives.)		Liid	b. Manua	1 c. Remote	d. Manual	e. Remote	Car Locomotiv	ves.)	a. Freight	b. Pass.	c. Freight	d. Pass.	e. Ca	lboose	
(1) Total in Train		3	0	0	0	0	Consist	Equipment	39	0	19	0		0	
(2) Total Derailed		3	0	0	0	0	(2) Total De	erailed	2	0	2	0		0	
12. Equipment Damage T	his Con	sist	1	13. Track, Sign	al, Way & Str	ucture Dan	nage					·			
982	44				210567										
14. Primary Cause Code															
H603 - Train on main	ı track i	inside yaı	rd limits, o	excessive spe	ed										
15. Contributing Cause C	Code														
H199 - Employee phy	ysical c	ondition,	other (Pr	ovide detaile	d description	n in narrat	ive)								
16. Engineers/Operators	17. F	Nur iremen	nber of Cre	ew Members 18. Cond	uctors	19. E	Brakemen	20. Engineer/Or	erator	Length of	Time on Du 21. Co	ty nductor			
1		1			1		0	. 3		. 31		3		31	
Casualties to:	22. F	Railroad Er	nployees	23. Train	1 Passengers	24	. Others	Hrs: 25. EOT Device	<u>M</u>	ins:	Hrs: 26. Was E	EOT Device I	Mins Properly Ari	med?	
										Yes				Yes	
Fatal		0			0		0	27. Caboose Oc	cupied by C	rew?					
Nonfatal		0			0		0							N/A	
28. Latitude				29. Longitu	de	-							1		
44.155720000 -94.039181000															

0	U.S. Department of Transportation
-	Federal Railroad Administration

FRA File #R4-2014-1032

OPERA	ING	TRA	IN	#2
--------------	-----	-----	----	----

1. Type of Equipment Co				2. Was Equipment Attended?				3. Train	3. Train Number/Symbol						
Freight Train										Yes			470 26		
 4. Speed (recorded speed R - Recorded E - Estimated 	l, if avail {	able) 8 MPH	Code R	5. Trailing T 11920	ons (gross e	kluding po	ower units)	s) 6a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive? Cod 0 = Not a remotely controlled operation 1 = Remote control portable transmitter 0 2 = Remote control tower operation 3 = Remote control portable transmitter _ more than one remote control transmitter 0						Code 0	
6. Type of Territory								<u> </u>		or portable t			remote com	Tor dunishing	
Signalization:															
N/A															
Method of Operation/Au	uthority f	or Moveme	ent:												
Supplemental/Adjunct C	Codes:														
7. Dringing Con/Unit		o Initio	1 and Num	abor b Doo	ition in Troin	o I	and ad (was/ma)	<u> </u>	Q If noting	ad amularia	a(a) tastad for	dan o/	Alcohol		Druge
(1) First Involved					1	C. L	Voc)	8. If railroad en alcohol use,		he number th	at were	t were		O
(derailed, struck, et (2) Causing (if mech	tc.) anical	50	0118808	>	1		yes	positive in the			e in the appropriate box.				0
cause reported)	unicui,		NA		0		no	y. Was this consist transporting passengers.							No
10. Locomotive Units (Exclude EMU, DMU, an Car Locomotives.)	nd Cab	a. Head End	M: b Manua	id Train	Rear I	End e Remote	11. Cars (Include EMU, DMU, and Cab			Loaded		Em	Empty		aboose
(1) Total in Train		4	0	0	0	0	(1) Total in	in Equip	ment	88	0	16	0		0
(2) Total Derailed		0	0	0	0	0	(2) Total I	Derailed		4	0	0	0		0
12. Equipment Damage T	This Con	sist		13. Track, Sign	al, Way & Str	ucture Dan	nage					I	1		
385	533				0										
14. Primary Cause Code															
H603 - Train on main	n track i	inside yar	d limits,	excessive spe	ed										
15. Contributing Cause (Code														
H199 - Employee ph	ysical c	ondition,	other (Pr	rovide detaile	d descriptior	in narrat	ive)								
16 Engineers/Operators	17 5	Nur	nber of Cr	rew Members	hatom	10 1	ualraman .	20 E	n cin con/Or	onaton	Length of	Time on Du	ty		
10. Engineers/Operators	17. г	o		18. Conc		19. 0	o	20. E	ngineer/Op	erator	16	21.00	onductor		16
I Cognetting to:	22 6	U Pailroad Er	nnlovoos	22 Troit	1 Passangars	24	0 Others	Hrs:		<u>M</u>	ins: 46	Hrs:	0 FOT Daviaa	Min Properly Au	40 mod2
Casualities to.	22. P	Valli Oau El	npioyees	23. 11ali	i rasseligers	24	. Oulers	23. E	OI Device	- 2	Vaa	20. was 1	SOT Device		Vec.
Fatal		0			0		0	27. C	aboose Oc	cupied by C	rew?				1 es
Nonfatal		0			0		0								N/A
28. Latitude				29. Longitu	ıde	1								I	
44.154631000				-94.0429	73000										

CROSSING INFORMATION

Highway User Involved						Rail Equipment Involved				
1. Туре				5. Equipment						
2. Vehicle Speed (est. mph at impa	tion (geo	ographical)			6. Position of Car Unit in Train					
4. Position of Involved Highway U					7. Circumstance					
8a. Was the highway user and/or ra in the impact transporting ha	d				8b. Was there a hazardous materials release by					
8c. State here the name and quantit	ty of the hazardous m	aterial re	eleased, if any.							
 9. Type of Crossing Warning 1. Gates 2. Cantilever FLS 3. Standard FLS 6. Audible 	cks 10. ns 11. an 12.	Flagged by cree Other (spec. in None	ew n narr.)	10. Signaled Cr	Crossing Warning 11. Roadway Conditions					
12. Location of Warning			13. Cros	sing W	I arning Intercont	nnected with Highway Signals 14. Crossing Illuminated by Street Lights or Special I				
15. Highway User's Age	16. Highway User's	Gender	17. Highwa and Str	y User uck or	Went Behind or was Struck by S	l or in Front of Train y Second Train				
19. Driver Passed Standing Highw	ay Vehicle	20. Vi	ew of Track Ob	oscured	l by (primary o	obstruction)				
Casualties to:	Injured	21. D	river was			22. Was	Driver in the Vehicle?			
23. Highway-Rail Crossing Users		24. H	ighway Vehicle est. dollar dama	Property Damage		25. Total (includin	Number of Vehicle Occupants			
26. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights?						27. Locomotive Auxilian	ry Lights (Operational?	-	
28. Locomotive Headlight Illumina			29. Locomotive Audible Warning Sounded?							

10. Signaled Crossing Warning

_

- 1 Provided minimum 20-second warning
- 2 Alleged warning time greater than 60 seconds

3 - Alleged warning time less than 20 seconds

4 - Alleged no warning

- 5 Confirmed warning time greater than 60 seconds
- 6 Confirmed warning time less than 20 seconds

7 - Confirmed no warning

N/A - N/A

- Explanation Code
- A Insulated rail vehicle
- B Storm/lightning damage
- C Vandalism
- D No power/batteries dead
- E Devices down for repair
- F Devices out of service

G - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to accident-involved train stopping short of the crossing, but within track circuit limits, while warning devices remain continuously active with no other in-motion train present

H - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to track circuit failure (e.g., insulated rail joint or rail bonding failure, track or ballast fouled)

J - Warning time greater than 60 seconds attributed to other train/equipment within track circuit limits

K - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to signals timing out before train's arrival at the crossing/ island circuit

L - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to train operating counter to track circuit design direction

M - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to train speed in excess of track circuit's design speed

N - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to signal system's failure to detect train approach

O - Warning time less than 20 seconds attributed to violation of special train operating instructions

P - No warning attributed to signal systems failure to detect the train

R - Other cause(s). Explain in Narrative Description

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File

SKETCHES

HQ-2014-19





FRA Sketch of Collision Site

Page 1 of 1



SYNOPSIS

On November 27, 2014, at 2:31 a.m., CST, a northbound Union Pacific Railroad (UP) train, MNPVP, impacted the side of Car 55 of northbound Canadian Pacific Railway (CP) Train CP 470. The collision occurred in Skyline, Minnesota, at Milepost (MP) 88.2.

Train MNPVP was traveling at 17 mph at the time of the collision. Train CP 470 was traveling at 8 mph at the time of impact. The method of train operation on this territory is yard limits. The timetable directions are north and south on UP's Mankato Subdivision. Timetable direction is used throughout this report. No crew members of either train were injured.

Three locomotives and four rail cars were derailed from Train MNPVP. Train CP 470 had four cars derailed and two damaged. No hazardous material cars were involved and no evacuation was made as result of the collision.

There was a total of \$136,777 in equipment damage. Reportable damage for track and signal is \$210,567.

The weather at the time of the accident was clear and 0 degrees F.

Probable cause of the accident was the excessive speed of Train MNPVP on the Main Track inside yard limits. Prior to the train crew initiating an emergency application of the train brakes, Train MNPVP was being operated at 42 mph in an area that had a maximum authorized speed of 30 mph.

NARRATIVE

Circumstances Prior to the Accident

Union Pacific (UP) Train MNPVP

The crew of Train MNPVP consisted of a locomotive engineer, a fireman-in-training (FIT), and a conductor. They reported for duty at 11 p.m., CST, November 26, 2014, at Union Pacific's (UP) St. James Yard office in St. James, Minnesota. This was the away-from-home terminal for the crew. The conductor and locomotive engineer had a rest period of 29 hours and 42 minutes prior to reporting for duty. The FIT had a rest period of 13 hours and 48 minutes prior to reporting for duty. The timetable directions are north and south on UP's Mankato Subdivision. Timetable direction is used throughout this report.

Train MNPVP consisted of three locomotives, 39 loads, and 19 empty rail cars. The train weighed 4,792 trailing tons and was 3,639 feet in length. Locomotive UP 8758 was the leading and controlling locomotive throughout the trip. Train MNPVP received a Class I air brake test in North Platte, Nebraska, on November 24, 2014.

The method of train operation between Milepost (MP) 120.9 and MP 88.3 is track warrant control (TWC). The track speed of this track segment is 49 mph. The method of train operation between MP 88.3 and MP 86.5 is yard limits. Train MNPVP operated on the Main Track with the authority of TW Number 6449, which gave them authority to proceed from St. James to Mankato on the Main Track.

Train MNPVP was operated by the FIT. The locomotive engineer was seated in the fireman's seat in the middle of the cab. The conductor was seated in the conductor's seat, behind the desk, on the left side of the locomotive cab, throughout the trip.

Canadian Pacific (CP) 470

The crew of northbound Train CP 470, consisting of a locomotive engineer and a conductor, reported for duty at 7:45 p.m., CST, November 26, 2014, at CP's Tracy Yard office in Tracy, Minnesota. This was the away-from-home terminal for the crew. The locomotive engineer and the conductor had a rest period of 24 hours prior to reporting for duty.

Train CP 470 consisted of four locomotives, 88 loaded rail cars, and 16 empty rail cars. The train weighed 11,920 trailing tons and was 6,502 feet in length. Locomotive RCPE 6411 was the lead and controlling locomotive. The short hood of the locomotive was facing forward. The train was to be taken 120 miles to Waseca, Minnesota, over Canadian Pacific's (CP) Tracy Subdivision. The crew coupled the train together and completed a Class I air brake test before departing.

CP uses trackage rights over UP's Mankato Subdivision, for a distance of 8 miles, to operate trains across CP's Tracy Subdivision. The Conductor of Train CP 470 called UP's Mankato Yardmaster as the train passed MP 147 on CP's Tracy Subdivision on radio channel 62. This location was 5 miles west of the spring switch used to enter UP's Mankato Subdivision Main Track. The yardmaster gave Train CP 470 authority to occupy UP's West Industrial Lead and to come out onto the Main Track at the spring switch. Train CP 470 operated from UP's West Industrial Lead, through the spring switch, onto UP's Mankato Subdivision Main Track at MP 88.25, continuing northbound at 8 mph. The engineer was at the control stand on the east side of the locomotive and the conductor was on the west side of the locomotive.

The Accident

Train MNPVP 24

At 2:29:22, Train MNPVP was operating northbound on the Mankato Subdivision at 43 mph. Train MNPVP was being controlled with dynamic braking in throttle position B8. At 2:30:27, the train had passed MP 89 at 42 mph. Maximum authorized speed from MP 89 to the yard limit board at MP 88.3 is 30 mph.

While traveling at 38 mph, the train was placed into an emergency air application by the FIT from the locomotive control stand. At 2:31:44, while operating at 17 mph, Train MNPVP impacted the side of northbound Train CP 470 as it was entering through the spring switch at MP 88.25. The impact caused Train CP 470 to separate between Cars 54 and 55, and derailed Cars 57, 58, 59, and 60. The impact caused Train MNPVP's three locomotives and head four cars to derail to the east of the movement.

Analysis and Conclusions

Analysis - Post Accident Train Air Brake and Locomotive Inspections:

UP and Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) personnel conducted an air brake inspection of all remaining cars of Train MNPVP prior to the train being removed from the collision site. Results indicate that all brakes were operative in the full service brake position.

Conclusion:

There were no problems with the locomotives. Inspections were current and car air brakes were operable. Equipment and braking systems were not a factor in the collision.

Analysis - Post Accident Toxicological Tests:

The crews of Train MNPVP and Train CP 470 were tested under Federal authority.

The results for all tests were negative

Conclusion:

Intoxication was not a factor.

Analysis - Fatigue:

FRA uses an overall effectiveness rate of 77.5 percent as the baseline for fatigue analysis, which is considered equivalent to blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.05. At or above this baseline, the agency does not consider fatigue as probable for any employee. Software sleep settings vary according to information obtained from each employee. If an employee does not provide sleep information, FRA uses the default software settings.

FRA obtained fatigue-related information, including a 10-day work history, for the five employees involved in this accident. This included the locomotive engineer assigned to each train involved, the conductor assigned to each train, and the FIT assigned to Train MNPVP.

Information for the train and engine service employees assigned to Train MNPVP:

Fatigue Conclusions:

1. Locomotive Engineer: MNPVP Sleep setting - Excellent Overall effectiveness = 61.63% Lapse Index = 7.2 Reaction Time = 160% Chronic Sleep Debt = 9.40 Hours of Continuous Wakefulness = 5.53 Time of Day 02:31 Time of Day 02:31 BAC Equivalent = >0.08 Finding: Fatigue was probable for this employee.

- 2. Fireman-In-Training: MNPVP Sleep setting - Excellent Overall effectiveness = 72.29% Lapse Index = 4.4 Reaction Time = 135% Chronic Sleep Debt = 6.58 Hours of Continuous Wakefulness = 7.53 Time of Day 02:31 BAC Equivalent = >0.05 Finding: Fatigue was probable for this employee.
- 3. Conductor: MNPVP Sleep setting - Excellent Overall effectiveness = 75.38% Lapse Index = 3.8 Reaction Time = 131% Chronic Sleep Debt = 5.43 Hours of Continuous Wakefulness = 10.53 Time of Day 02:31 BAC Equivalent = >0.05 Finding: Fatigue was probable for this employee

Information for the train and engine service employees assigned to Train CP 470:

1. Locomotive Engineer: CP 470 Sleep setting - Excellent Overall effectiveness = 64.92% Lapse Index = 6.4 Reaction Time = 153% Chronic Sleep Debt = 8.49 Hours of Continuous Wakefulness = 21.53 Time of Day 02:31 BAC Equivalent = >0.08 Finding: Fatigue was probable for this employee.

2. Conductor: CP 470 Sleep setting - Excellent Overall effectiveness = 65.66%Lapse Index = 6.1Reaction Time = 151%Chronic Sleep Debt = 8.24Hours of Continuous Wakefulness = 21.53Time of Day 02:31 BAC Equivalent = >0.08Finding: Fatigue was probable for this employee

Conclusion:

FRA concluded fatigue was probable for all five crew members assigned to Train MNPVP and Train CP 470.

Analysis - FIT Operating Performance:

The event recorder data indicates UP Locomotive 8758 was operating at 42 mph by MP 89. While traveling 38 mph the FIT placed the train into an emergency air application. Additionally, the data clearly demonstrates that the train was operated for several minutes between 38 and 43 mph prior to impact with the side of Train CP 470.

Conclusion:

The engineer was not in compliance with several UP Operating Rules and Federal regulations. The FIT failed to comply with authorized speed on a Main Track inside yard limits.

Analysis - Engineer Certificate and Training

Locomotive certificates, hearing and vision testing, driver license checks, and other training were current and in compliance with Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations Part 240. The engineer and FIT of Train MNPVP had a current certificate and had received a current monitoring and skills ride.

Conclusion:

Engineer training, monitoring rides, and certification were current and not a factor in the collision.

Probable Cause and Contributing Factors

FRA determined that the cause of the accident was the excessive speed of Train MNPVP on the Main Track inside yard limits.

#