

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Railroad Safety Accident and Analysis Branch

Accident Investigation Report HQ-2017-1224

Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP)

Morrison, MO

August 15, 2017

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report, including this one, made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

SYNOPSIS

On August 15, 2017, at 1:27 p.m., CDT, an eastbound Union Pacific Railroad (UP), mixed-freight train operating under Train QNPINP 14 (Train 1), struck the rear-end of a standing UP mixed-freight train operating under Train MNPPB 14 (Train 2). The rear-end collision occurred on UP's St. Louis Area Service Unit, Jefferson City Subdivision at Milepost (MP) 92.71, near the town of Morrison, Missouri, on Main Track No. 2. The method of operation is a Centralized Traffic Control double main track. Train 1, consisted of two lead locomotives, one distributed power unit (DPU), 82 loads, and 47 empty cars. Train 1 contained 11809 trailing tons and was 8213 feet long. Train 1 struck the rear of a stopped Train 2. Due to the collision, the two lead locomotives and three cars from Train 1, and the rear 13 cars of Train 2, were derailed. There was no release of hazardous materials due to the collision.

The Federal Railroad Administration's (FRA) reportable total damages were \$928,500, equipment damages were estimated at \$850,040, and track, signal and structure damages were estimated at \$78,460.

Train 1's Engineer was injured as a result of the collision. No other injuries were reported.

At the time of the accident, it was daylight and the weather was partly sunny. The temperature was 72° F.

FRA's investigation determined the probable cause of the accident was Cause Code H222 - Automatic block or interlocking signal displaying other than a stop indication - failure to comply.

FRA determined a contribting cause was; H605 - Failure to comply with restricted speed in connection with the restrictive indication of a block or interlocking signal.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Railroad Administration	POI	RT FF	RA File #HQ-2017-1224									
			T	RAIN SU	MI	MARY						
1. Name of Railroad Oper		1	la.	Alphabetic Cod	le	cident/Incident No.						
Union Pacific Railroad Co	1	UP		0817SL021								
2. Name of Railroad Oper	7	2a.	Alphabetic Cod	le 2b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.								
Union Pacific Railroad Co	1	UP		0817SL021								
			GENE	ERAL INF	Ol	RMATION						
1. Name of Railroad or Othe	ack Mainte	nance		1a. Alphabetic (Code 1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.							
Union Pacific Railroad C				UP	0817SL021							
2. U.S. DOT Grade Crossing				3. Date of Accid	lent/Incide	ccident/Incident						
				8/15/2017	1:27 PM							
5. Type of Accident/Incident Rear End Collision	t											
0) 0	2 20 1							0		0. Subdivision Jefferson City		
11. Nearest City/Town	lilepost (to	nearest tenth,	13	. State Abbr.	14. County							
Morrison, MO	7	N	ΛO	GASCONADE								
15. Temperature (F)	17. Weather			18. Type of Track								
72 °F Day Cloudy							Main					
19. Track Name/Number	2	Track Cla	ss			21. Annual Track Dens			22. Time Table Direction			
Main #2		t Trains-60), Passenger	Tra	nins-80	(gross) 141	tons in	millions)	East			

U.S. Department of Transp Federal Railroad Administ												017-1224				
					OP	ERA	TING T	RAI	IN #1							
Type of Equipment Freight Train			2. Was Equipment Attended? 3. Train Number QNPINP 14							-						
4. Speed (recorded sp if available)	eed,			ling Tons (gr ng power uni		0 = N	Remotely Con lot a remotely emote contro	y con	trolled of	peration			'		Code	
R - Recorded E - Estimated 29.	0 MPH	R	11809)		2 = R	emote contro	ol tow	ver opera	tion	more than	one remo	te control	transmi	tter 0	
6. Type of Territory																
Signalization: Signaled																
Method of Operatio Signal Indicati		ity for Mo	vemen	nt:												
Supplemental/Adjus	nct Codes	:														
7. Principal Car/Unit	a. Initi	al and Nu	mber l	b. Position in	Train					oad emplo		ted for	Alcohol I		Drugs	
(1) First Involved (derailed, struck, etc.)	U	JP 7973 1							numbe	r that were		in the	0		0	
(2) Causing (if mechanical, cause reported)		N/A				no 9. W			9. Was th	is consist	transporti	ng passeng	passengers?		No	
10. Locomotive Units (Exclude EMU,	a. Head	Mid	Train]	Rear E	End 11. Cars (Include EMU,				Loa	ıded	Empty				
DMU, and Cab Car Locomotives.)	End	b. Manual		c. d. mote Manua		e. mote	DMU, and Car Locon	l Cab		a. Freight	b. Pass.	c. d. Freight Pass.		C	e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Train	2	0	0	0		1	(1) Total in Consist	in Equipment		82	0	47	0		0	
(2) Total Derailed	2	0	0	0		0	(2) Total D	Derail	led	3	0	0	0		0	
	12. Equipment Damage This Consist 635077 13. Track, Signal, Way & Structure Damage 78460															
14. Primary Cause Co																
H222 - Automatic 15. Contributing Cau		interlock	ing si	gnal displa	ying c	other t	han a stop i	ndica	ation - fa	ailure to	comply.	•				
H605 - Failure to c		ith restri	cted s	peed in con	nection	on wit	th the restric	ctive	indicati	on of a b	lock or i	nterlockir	ıg signal			
Number of Crew Members Length of Time on Duty																
16. Engineers/Operators 17. Firemen 18. Conductors						19. Brakemen 2		20. Engineer/Operator			21. Conductor					
1		0		1			0		Hrs: 1 Mins: 27			Hrs: 1 Mins: 27			27	
Casualties to:	22. Ra Emplo		23	. Train Passe	engers	24. Others		25. EOT Device?				26. Was I	erly Armed?			
Fatal		0		0		0		N/A 27. Caboose Occupied by Crew?							N/A	
Nonfatal		1 0					0 27. Caboose Occupied by								N/A	
28. Latitude 29. Longitude													1,111			

-91.634553920

38.675734260

U.S. Department of Transp Federal Railroad Administr		FR	A FA	CTUAI	\mathbf{R}	AIL	ROAD	AC	CCID	ENT I	REPO	RT F	RA File	#HQ-2	017-1224	
r cuciai Ramona rummisu	ation				OP	ERA	TING T	RAI	N #2							
Type of Equipment Freight Train		2. Was Equipment Attended? 3. Train Number Yes MNPPB 1							ber/Symbol							
4. Speed (recorded sperif available)	eed,			g Tons (gro		6a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive? 0 = Not a remotely controlled operation 1 = Remote control portable transmitter 2 = Remote control tower operation 3 = Remote control portable transmitter - more than one remote control transmitter										
R - Recorded E - Estimated 0.0) MPH	R	6329													
6. Type of Territory																
Signalization: Signaled																
Method of Operation Signal Indication		ity for Mo	ovement:													
Supplemental/Adjur	nct Codes	:: 														
7. Principal Car/Unit	a. Initi	al and Nu	mber b.	Position in '	Train	c. L	oaded (yes/r	no) 8		oad emplo	•	ted for Alcohol		ol	Drugs	
(1) First Involved (derailed, struck, etc.)	CMF	CMHX286034 116					no			er that were oriate box		in the 0			0	
(2) Causing (if mechanical, cause reported)		N/A						9	. Was th	nis consist	transporti	ing passengers?				
10. Locomotive Units (Exclude EMU,	a. Head	Mid	Train	R	ear E	nd	11. Cars (Include E	EMU		Loa	nded	npty	rty			
DMU, and Cab Car Locomotives.)	End	b. Manual	c. Remo	d. te Manual	1	e. mote	DMU, and Car Locor	d Cab	es.)	a. Freight	b. Pass.	c. Freight	d. Pass.	C	e. aboose	
(1) Total in Train	3	0	0	0	(0	(1) Total i Consist	in Equ	ipment	35	0	53	0		0	
(2) Total Derailed	0	0	0	0		0	(2) Total I	Deraile	ed	1	0	12	0		0	
12. Equipment Damag 21496		onsist	13. Trac	ck, Signal, V	-	& Stru	cture Damaş	ge		1	l	I	l			
14. Primary Cause Co							_									
H222 - Automatic l		interlocl	cing sign	al display	ing o	ther t	han a stop	indica	ition - f	failure to	comply.*					
H605 - Failure to c		ith restr	icted spe	ed in conn	ectio	on wit	th the restri	ctive	indicati	ion of a b	lock or ii	nterlockir	ng signal			
Number of Crew Members Length of Time on Duty																
16. Engineers/Operators 17. Firemen 18. Conductors						19. Brakemen		20. Engineer/Operator				21. Cond	uctor	or		
1		0		1		0		Hrs: 2 Mins:			s: 2	Hrs:	2	Mins:	2	
Casualties to:							24. Others 2.		OT Devi	ce?		26. Was 1	erly Armed?			
Fatal		0		0		0		27 (hoese	Occupied b	Yes				Yes	
Nonfatal		0 0					0	iboose C	occupied b	y crew?				N/A		
28. Latitude 29. Longitude															l	

-91.634553920

38.675734260

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File #HQ-2017-1224

SKETCHES

Sketch



FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

FRA File #HQ-2017-1224

NARRATIVE

Circumstances Prior to the Accident

Striking Train UP Train QNPINP-14 (Train 1)

The crew of Train 1 consisted of one Locomotive Engineer and one Conductor. The crew went on duty at 12:00 p.m., CDT, on August 15, 2017, in Jefferson City, Missouri. This is the away-from-home terminal for both crewmembers. Their assignment was to operate Train 1 from Jefferson City, Missouri to Dupo, Illinois, via Union Pacific's (UP) Jefferson City Subdivision. Prior to being called to work, the Engineer was off duty for 13 hours and 31 minutes and the Conductor was off duty for 14 hours and 10 minutes, both more than the required statutory off-duty rest period. Train 1 consisted of two lead locomotives, 82 loads, 47 empties, and one distributed power unit (DPU). Train 1 contained 11,809 trailing tons, and was 8,213 feet long. The air brake inspection was conducted at North Platte, Nebraska, on August 14, 2017. According to the interview, the Engineer performed a locomotive daily inspection before Train 1 departed Jefferson City, Missouri. He did not take any exceptions to the two lead locomotives.

The crew departed on Main Track No. 1 at Control Point (CP) M102. The crew received a diverging clear signal. The Conductor recorded a speed of 39 miles per hour (mph). At Milepost (MP) 97.2, the crew received an advance approach aspect, and the Conductor recorded a speed of 46 mph. A review of the locomotive download indicates the Engineer was stepping up the throttle position during this period with no application of Train 1's brakes. The crew then received an approach aspect at MP 94.8. The Conductor recorded a speed of 40 mph and indicated a "Cab Red Zone" conversation took place between the crew at this point. According to the crew, the trip was uneventful prior to the collision. The Conductor made no radio transmission concerning the approach signal he recorded on his Conductor Report Form. As the crew approached the accident site, they were traveling at a recorded speed of 38 mph, and reported seeing the rear of Train MNPPB-14 (Train 2) on Main Track No. 2. A review of UP's Jefferson City Train Dispatcher audio recordings does not indicate any conversation between the Dispatcher and Train 1 after the train departed from Jefferson City Yard and prior to the collision. According to interviews, there was no communication between Trains 1 and 2 prior to the incident. On UP's St. Louis Service Unit, Jefferson City Subdivision, the method of operation is a Centralized Traffic Control (CTC) double main track. The maximum authorized speed from MP 0.0 to MP 115.6 is 60 mph, as designated in the current St. Louis Area Timetable No. 5, dated May 27, 2013. The maximum authorized speed for Train 1 was restricted to 50 mph, as indicated on the crew's Track Warrant Number 3935.

The signals prior to the collision were clearly visible with no vegetation obstruction.

The railroad timetable and geographic direction is east, and that timetable direction is used throughout this report.

Train MNPPB-14 (Train 2)

The crew of Train 2 consisted of an Engineer and a Conductor. The crew went on-duty at 11:25 a.m., CDT, on August 15, 2017, in Jefferson City, Missouri. This is the away-from-home terminal for both crewmembers. Their assignment was to operate Train 2 from Jefferson City, Missouri to Dupo, Illinois via the UP Jefferson City Subdivision. Both crew members received more than the statutory off-duty period

for rest prior to reporting for duty.

Train 2 consisted of three lead locomotives, 35 loads, and 53 empties. Train 2 contained 6,329 trailing tons, and was 5,810 feet long. According to the interviews of the crew, they were stopped at Morrison Junction (MP 91.1) for about 30 minutes prior to the accident. They reported that their trip was uneventful leading up to the collision.

The Accident

Train 1

A review of the inward-facing camera showed the Engineer sitting at the control stand and the conductor sitting in his seat. No communication between the crew members was observed just prior to the accident. The locomotive event recorder download from the lead locomotive indicated that the Engineer initiated the emergency air brake application at a recorded speed of 38 mph. After initiating the emergency brake application, Train 1 traveled 879 feet before impacting the rear of Train 2 at a recorded speed of 29 mph. Train 1 traveled another 89 feet after impact derailing both locomotives and the first three cars in the train. The locomotives on Train 1 came to rest on their side on Main Track No. 1. The crew had to exit the locomotive via the side window located on the Conductor's side. The Engineer from Train 1 reported leg and back injuries as a result of the collision.

Train 2

According to post-accident interviews, the crew on Train 2 did not feel the collision and only noticed the emergency application of the brakes. Following the collision, the crew of Train 2 heard the Train Dispatcher being called for on the radio and, when the Dispatcher answered, they heard a member of the crew on Train 1 say Train 1 had cars on the ground. A piece of maintenance-of way-equipment was operating by the head-end of Train 2 on Main Track No. 1, and the Conductor got a ride with the Equipment Operator to the rear of Train 2. When he got to the rear, he found that Train 1 had struck the rear of Train 2.

Analysis and Conclusions

<u>Analysis - FRA Post-Accident Toxicological Testing</u>: Post-Accident Forensic Toxicology Reports indicate the crews of both trains had negative test results.

Conclusion: Toxicology did not contribute to the cause or severity of this accident.

<u>Analysis - Fatigue</u>: The Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) obtained fatigue-related information, including a 10-day work history, for the four employees involved in this accident, including the Engineer and Conductor from each train crew. Software sleep settings varied according to information obtained from each employee.

<u>Conclusion</u>: FRA concluded fatigue was not probable for the crews assigned to either train involved in this accident.

Analysis - Locomotive Engineer and Conductor Operating Performance: The lead locomotive of Train 1 was equipped with a speed indicator and event recorder as required. The locomotive was also equipped with an inward-facing camera. The recorder data and camera video were downloaded and analyzed by FRA and UP officials.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The crew of Train 1 was not in compliance with applicable railroad operating and train handling requirements. The crew did not comply with approach indication at MP 94.7 as required by UP's System Special Instructions Item 19: *Block and Interlocking Signals*, which requires the crew to

proceed but be prepared to stop before any part of the train or engine passes the next signal. Freight trains exceeding 30 mph must immediately reduce to 30 mph. The crew of Train 1 also did not comply with General Code of Operating Rules Rule 1.47, *Duties of Crew Members*, which states, in part:

- Communicate clearly to each other the name of signals affecting their train as soon as signals become visible or audible.
- Continue to observe signals and announce any change of aspect until the train passes the signal.
- Communicate clearly to each other the speed of the train as it passes a signal with an indication other than Clear.
- Immediately remind the engineer of the rule requirement if the signal is not complied with.

<u>Analysis - Operational Testing</u>: The test results for the previous six months for the crew of Train 1 were provided to FRA. The Engineer was stop-tested on one occasion with no exceptions.

<u>Conclusion</u>: UP properly monitored its employees in the field, and inadequate operational testing was not an issue.

Analysis-Interviews: The crews of Train 1 and Train 2 were interviewed after the accident by FRA.

<u>Conclusions</u>: The Engineer of Train 1 stated that he felt the primary cause of the accident was inattentiveness of his Conductor combined with the train Dispatcher's neglect in answering the radio when he had been toned up back near MP 104. The Conductor of Train 1 stated that he felt the primary cause of the accident was that the Train 1 Engineer was going too fast and could not stop the train.

The Engineer and Conductor on Train 2 were stopped at the time of the impact and were in compliance with all applicable operating and train handling requirements.

Analysis-Inspection of Train Braking Systems: Train 1 received a Class I air brake test on August 14, 2017. There were no open defects on the lead locomotive.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The locomotive and air brake system on Train 1 worked properly and was not a factor in the collision.

<u>Analysis - Signal Tests/ Inspections Performed and Results</u>: Signal inspection of the last four signal aspects were reviewed by FRA and UP. A brief synopsis was provided by UP for each intermediate signal.

99.1 Intermediate

- At 12:57:12 p.m., Train 2 passes Signal 99.1 with a Green aspect (indication to Proceed)
- At 1:18:32 p.m., Train 1 Passes Signal 99.1 with a Green Aspect (indication to Proceed)

97.3 Intermediate

- At 12:59:55 p.m., Train 2 passes Signal 97.3 with a Green Aspect (indication to Proceed)
- At 1:21:22 p.m., Train 1 passes Signal 97.3 with a Flashing Yellow Aspect (indication to Proceed prepared to stop at second signal)

94.7 Intermediate

- At 1:02:45 p.m., Train 2 passes Signal 94.7 with a Flashing Yellow Aspect (indication to Proceed prepared to stop at second signal)
- At 1:24:26 p.m., Train 1 passes Signal 94.7 with a steady Yellow Aspect (Indication to Proceed preparing to stop before any part of a train or engine passes the next signal)

92.9 Intermediate

• At 1:05:41 p.m., Train 2 passes Signal 92.9 with a steady Yellow Aspect (indication to Proceed

preparing to stop before any part of a train or engine passes the next signal)

- At 1:09:59 p.m., the rear-end of Train 2 passes Signal 92.9, and begins to generate a code to display a steady Yellow Aspect at Signal 94.7
- At 1:24:32 p.m., Train 1 passes Signal 92.9 with a Red Aspect (indication to Proceed at restricted speed)
- The steady Yellow Aspect was generated for 14 minutes and 25 seconds prior to Train 1 passing the steady yellow signal aspect.

<u>Conclusion</u>: FRA concurred with UP that the signal system was working properly and was not a causal factor in the accident.

Overall Conclusion

The railroad was in compliance with UP and FRA standards. The signal system and Train 1's air brake system functioned properly. The data reviewed from the event recorder and the interview process revealed that the crew of Train 1 was not in compliance with applicable railroad operating and train handling requirements. It was determined that the crew of Train 1 was not attentive to their job-related duties pertaining to the requirements of restricted speed.

Probable Cause and Contributing Factors

FRA's investigation determined the probable cause of the accident was Cause Code H222 - Automatic block or interlocking signal displaying other than a stop indication - failure to comply.

FRA determined a contribting cause was; H605 - Failure to comply with restricted speed in connection with the restrictive indication of a block or interlocking signal.