

TABLE OF CONTENTS



D.C. TO RICHMOND SOUTHEAST HIGH SPEED RAIL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SIGNATURE PAGE

PROJECT COMMITMENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1	FINAL EIS READER’S GUIDE.....	1-1
1.1.1	EIS Process Overview.....	1-2
1.1.2	How to Use this Document	1-3
1.1.3	How Comments on the Draft EIS Were Addressed.....	1-4
1.1.4	Use of the Errata Table for the Draft EIS	1-5
1.1.5	Contents of this Document	1-6
1.2	PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	1-7
1.2.1	2002 SEHSR Tier I EIS	1-7
1.2.2	2012 SEHSR Richmond to Hampton Roads Tier I EIS and ROD	1-8
1.2.3	FRA Vision for Higher Speed Rail in America	1-8
1.2.4	Virginia I-95 High Speed Rail Corridor & Service Development Plan	1-9
1.2.5	2017 Virginia Statewide Rail Plan and Related Projects.....	1-9
1.3	PROJECT OVERVIEW.....	1-12
1.3.1	Summary of Project Purpose & Need	1-12
1.3.2	Summary of Project Service Plan.....	1-13
1.3.3	Summary of Project Alternatives Development Process.....	1-14
1.3.4	Summary of Tier II Draft EIS.....	1-15
1.3.5	Project Roles and Responsibilities	1-15
1.3.6	Tier II EIS Planning Dates.....	1-16

CHAPTER 2. OVERVIEW OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION

2.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....2-1

 2.1.1 Project Launch to Public Hearings2-3

 2.1.2 Public Hearings for the Draft EIS2-4

 2.1.3 Public Comment on the Draft EIS.....2-5

 2.1.4 Additional Public Outreach.....2-8

 2.1.4.1 Public Meetings Hosted by Outside Organizations2-8

 2.1.4.2 Small Group Informational Meetings2-9

 2.1.4.3 Town of Ashland/Hanover County Community
 Advisory Committee2-11

2.2 AGENCY COORDINATION2-13

 2.2.1 Agency Meetings.....2-15

 2.2.2 Distribution of the Draft EIS.....2-16

 2.2.3 Additional Agency/Stakeholder Coordination2-17

 2.2.3.1 Task Force Meetings.....2-17

 2.2.3.2 Local Agencies and Officials Coordination2-19

 2.2.3.3 Section 106 Coordination and Consultation2-23

 2.2.3.4 Section 4(f) Coordination.....2-23

2.3 COMMONWEALTH TRANSPORTATION BOARD2-24

 2.3.1 CTB Coordination - Scoping through Draft EIS2-24

 2.3.2 CTB Resolution on Recommended Preferred Alternative2-26

2.4 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON THE DRAFT EIS2-26

 2.4.1 Agencies and Organizations.....2-26

 2.4.1.1 Federal Agencies.....2-27

 2.4.1.2 State Agencies2-28

 2.4.1.3 Local Agencies.....2-29

 2.4.1.4 Section 106 Consulting Parties.....2-31

 2.4.1.5 Operators2-31

 2.4.1.6 Other Organizations.....2-33

 2.4.2 General Public2-35

CHAPTER 3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

3.1 COMMUNITY/CORRIDOR HISTORICAL CONTEXT3-1

 3.1.1 Fredericksburg Area..... 3-2

 3.1.1.1 Abbreviated History of Fredericksburg3-2

 3.1.1.2 Background Review/Summary of Previous Cultural Resource Studies3-4

 3.1.1.3 Discussion of In-Depth Review and Subsequent Analysis of Project Alternatives in Relation to History of the Area, Recorded Resources, and Public Concerns3-8

 3.1.1.4 Summary of Efforts to Avoid Areas of Concern to Assure Preservation in Place and, if Avoidance Was Not Possible, Minimize Any Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources.....3-9

 3.1.1.5 Additional Studies Separate from the DC2RVA Project3-12

 3.1.2 Ashland Area..... 3-13

 3.1.2.1 Abbreviated History of Ashland3-13

 3.1.2.2 Background Review/Summary of Previous Cultural Resource Studies3-14

 3.1.2.3 Discussion of In-Depth Initial Review and Subsequent Analysis of Project Alternatives in Relation to History of the Area, Recorded Resources, and Public Concerns3-16

 3.1.2.4 Summary of Efforts to Avoid Areas of Concern to Assure Preservation in Place and, if Avoidance Was Not Possible, Minimize Any Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources.....3-17

 3.1.2.5 Additional Studies Separate from the DC2RVA Project3-17

 3.1.3 Richmond Main Street Station / Shockoe Bottom Area..... 3-18

 3.1.3.1 Abbreviated History of Richmond and Shockoe Bottom3-18

 3.1.3.2 Background Review/Summary of Previous Cultural Resource Studies3-20

 3.1.3.3 Discussion of In-Depth Review and Subsequent Analysis of Project Alternatives in Relation to History of the Area, Recorded Resources, and Public Concerns3-24

 3.1.3.4 Summary of Efforts to Avoid Areas of Concern to Assure Preservation in Place and, if Avoidance Was Not Possible, Minimize Any Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources.....3-26

 3.1.3.5 Additional Studies Separate from the DC2RVA Project3-30

3.2 REFINED OPERATIONS ANALYSIS MODELING3-31

 3.2.1 Overview of Preliminary Operations Modeling Conducted in the Draft EIS....3-31

 3.2.2 Refined Operations Analysis Modeling Methodology.....3-31

3.2.2.1 Two Phases of Refined Operations Modeling3-32

3.2.2.2 Modeling Scenarios for Phases 1 and 2.....3-33

3.2.3 Refined Operations Modeling Results3-34

3.3 TOWN OF ASHLAND/HANOVER COUNTY COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATIONS.....3-35

3.4 COMMONWEALTH TRANSPORTATION BOARD RESOLUTION3-37

3.5 DC2RVA RECOMMENDATION REPORT.....3-38

CHAPTER 4. SELECTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

4.1 SUMMARY OF SELECTION PROCESS4-2

4.2 OVERVIEW OF SERVICE PLAN.....4-2

4.2.1 Intercity Passenger Rail Service4-4

4.2.2 Other Service in the DC2RVA Corridor4-5

4.3 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE BY AREA.....4-6

4.3.1 Area 1: Arlington (Long Bridge Approach)4-9

4.3.1.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 1B.....4-9

4.3.1.2 Basis for Selecting 1B.....4-11

4.3.1.3 Changes to 1B Subsequent to the Draft EIS4-11

4.3.2 Area 2: Northern Virginia.....4-12

4.3.2.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 2A4-12

4.3.2.2 Basis for Selecting 2A4-12

4.3.2.3 Changes to 2A Subsequent to the Draft EIS.....4-18

4.3.3 Area 3: Fredericksburg4-19

4.3.3.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 3B.....4-19

4.3.3.2 Basis for Selecting 3B.....4-23

4.3.3.3 Changes to 3B Subsequent to the Draft EIS4-23

4.3.4 Area 4: Central Virginia4-24

4.3.4.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 4A4-24

4.3.4.2 Basis for Selecting 4A4-27

4.3.4.3 Changes to 4A Subsequent to the Draft EIS.....4-27

4.3.5 Area 5: Ashland.....4-27

4.3.5.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 5A.....4-27

4.3.5.2 Basis for Selecting 5A4-30

4.3.5.3 Changes to 5A Subsequent to the Draft EIS.....4-31

4.3.6 Area 6: Richmond 4-31

 4.3.6.1 Description of the Preferred Alternative 6F.....4-31

 4.3.6.2 Basis for Selecting 6F4-37

 4.3.6.3 Changes to 6F Subsequent to the Draft EIS.....4-40

4.4 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE DRAFT EIS, BUT NOT SELECTED
AS THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....4-42

 4.4.1 Summary of Build Alternatives Evaluated in the Draft EIS4-42

 4.4.2 No Build Alternative4-42

4.5 CAPITAL AND OPERATING COSTS4-45

 4.5.1 Capital Infrastructure Costs4-45

 4.5.2 Operations and Maintenance Costs.....4-45

 4.5.3 Cost of Rolling Stock4-46

CHAPTER 5. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

5.1 WATER RESOURCES.....5-5

 5.1.1 Surface Waters, Rivers, Streams, and Floodplains.....5-5

 5.1.1.1 Designated Waters.....5-7

 5.1.1.2 Floodplains and Floodways5-8

 5.1.1.3 Stormwater/Drainage.....5-9

 5.1.2 Wetlands.....5-9

 5.1.3 Water Quality5-11

 5.1.3.1 Temporary Effects.....5-11

 5.1.3.2 Long-Term Effects5-11

 5.1.3.3 Impaired Waters5-11

 5.1.4 Drinking Water/Aquifers/Water Supply5-12

 5.1.5 Permits.....5-14

 5.1.6 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Water Resources5-14

 5.1.6.1 Wetlands, Streams, and Water Resources5-14

 5.1.6.2 Floodplains and Stormwater/Drainage5-16

 5.1.6.3 Water Quality5-16

 5.1.6.4 Drinking Water/Aquifers/Water Supply5-18

5.2 TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY, AND SOILS.....5-18

 5.2.1 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Topography,
 Geology, and Soils5-18

5.3 AGRICULTURAL LANDS5-19

 5.3.1 Farmland Soils.....5-19

 5.3.2 Agricultural and Forestal Districts5-20

5.4 MINERAL RESOURCES5-20

5.5 SOLID WASTES AND HAZARDOUS MATERIAL5-20

 5.5.1 Effects.....5-20

 5.5.2 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Solid Wastes and Hazardous Materials5-21

5.6 AIR QUALITY5-22

 5.6.1 Locomotive Operations – NO_x, VOC, and PM.....5-23

 5.6.2 Mobile Source Air Toxics5-24

 5.6.2.1 Regional MSAT Effects5-24

 5.6.2.2 Local MSAT Effects5-25

 5.6.3 Highway Vehicle Operations – CO5-25

 5.6.4 Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....5-27

 5.6.5 Construction Effects.....5-27

 5.6.6 Conclusion5-28

 5.6.7 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Air Quality.....5-28

5.7 NOISE AND VIBRATION5-28

 5.7.1 Noise5-28

 5.7.1.1 Predicted Noise Levels.....5-28

 5.7.1.2 Operational Noise Impacts.....5-29

 5.7.1.3 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Noise5-31

 5.7.2 Vibration.....5-32

 5.7.2.1 Predicted Vibration Levels5-32

 5.7.2.2 Operational Vibration Impacts5-32

 5.7.2.3 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Vibration.....5-34

5.8 ENERGY5-35

 5.8.1 Energy Consumption during Operation5-35

 5.8.2 Energy Consumption during Construction5-36

5.9 AESTHETIC AND VISUAL ENVIRONMENT5-36

 5.9.1 Effects.....5-36

 5.9.2 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Aesthetics and Visual Environment5-38

5.10 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....5-39

 5.10.1 Habitat and Natural Communities.....5-39

 5.10.1.1 Conservation Areas5-41

 5.10.1.2 Invasive Species5-43

 5.10.1.3 Submerged Aquatic Vegetation.....5-44

 5.10.1.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation –
 Habitat and Natural Communities5-45

 5.10.2 Wildlife5-46

 5.10.2.1 Migratory Birds.....5-46

 5.10.2.2 Aquatic and Marine Life5-46

 5.10.2.3 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Wildlife5-48

 5.10.3 Threatened and Endangered Species5-49

 5.10.3.1 Bald Eagle and Golden Eagle Protection Act.....5-54

 5.10.3.2 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation –
 Threatened and Endangered Species.....5-55

5.11 COMMUNITY RESOURCES.....5-57

 5.11.1 Right-of-Way and Relocation Policy and Procedures.....5-57

 5.11.2 Commercial Relocations5-58

 5.11.3 Neighborhood and Community Effects5-60

 5.11.3.1 Residential Relocations and Associated Effects5-60

 5.11.3.2 Community Effects from Changes to the Transportation Network.....5-62

 5.11.4 Community Facilities and Services5-63

 5.11.5 Land Use Planning.....5-64

 5.11.5.1 Changes in Land Use.....5-64

 5.11.5.2 Compatibility with Future Land Use.....5-65

 5.11.5.3 Compatibility with Multimodal Transportation Planning5-66

5.12 TITLE VI AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....5-66

 5.12.1 Corridor-Wide Impacts5-67

 5.12.2 Community-Level Impacts.....5-67

 5.12.2.1 Relocations and Displacements5-67

 5.12.2.2 Noise and Vibration5-68

5.13 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND ABOVE GROUND CULTURAL AND HISTORIC
RESOURCES5-70

 5.13.1 Summary of the Section 106 Process and Determinations of Effect5-70

5.13.2 Archaeological Resources 5-73

5.13.3 Architectural Resources 5-75

5.13.4 Battlefields..... 5-78

5.13.5 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Archaeological
and Aboveground Cultural and Historic Resources 5-79

5.14 PARKLANDS, RECREATIONAL AREAS, AND WILDLIFE REFUGES..... 5-79

5.14.1 Permanent and Temporary Impacts..... 5-79

5.14.2 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Parklands,
Recreational Areas, and Wildlife Refuges 5-81

5.15 TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES 5-81

5.15.1 Regional Scale 5-82

5.15.1.1 DC2RVA Train Service and Ridership 5-82

5.15.1.2 Ridership Effects on Regional Roadways..... 5-82

5.15.1.3 Ridership Effects on Roadway Network at Stations..... 5-83

5.15.1.4 Ridership Effects on Parking Needs at Stations 5-83

5.15.2 Corridor Scale 5-85

5.15.2.1 Preferred Alternative Crossing Improvements 5-85

5.15.2.2 Preferred Alternative Effects on Roadway Connectivity and
Accessibility 5-89

5.15.2.3 Crossing Closure Diversion Analysis (Traffic Operations) 5-92

5.15.2.4 Preferred Alternative Effects on Total Daily Vehicle Delay 5-94

5.16 UTILITIES..... 5-97

5.17 SAFETY AND SECURITY..... 5-97

5.18 PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY..... 5-98

5.18.1 Children’s Health and Safety 5-99

5.19 CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS 5-100

5.19.1 Impacts 5-101

5.19.1.1 Rail 5-101

5.19.1.2 Land Use and Access..... 5-101

5.19.1.3 Air Quality 5-101

5.19.1.4 Noise..... 5-101

5.19.1.5 Water Resources..... 5-101

5.19.1.6 Wildlife and Habitat..... 5-102

5.19.2 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Evaluation – Construction
Impacts 5-102

5.19.2.1 Rail5-102

5.19.2.2 Land Use and Access.....5-102

5.19.2.3 Air Quality5-103

5.19.2.4 Noise.....5-103

5.19.2.5 Water Resources.....5-104

5.19.2.6 Wildlife and Habitat.....5-104

5.20 INDIRECT AND CUMULATIVE EFFECTS.....5-105

5.20.1 Indirect Effects.....5-105

5.20.1.1 Socioeconomics and Land Use.....5-105

5.20.1.2 Parks and Recreation Areas5-106

5.20.1.3 Historic Properties.....5-107

5.20.1.4 Water Resources.....5-107

5.20.1.5 Floodplains5-108

5.20.1.6 Wildlife and Habitat.....5-108

5.20.1.7 Summary of Indirect Effects and Mitigation5-109

5.20.2 Cumulative Effects.....5-109

5.20.2.1 Socioeconomics and Land Use.....5-109

5.20.2.2 Parks and Recreation Areas5-111

5.20.2.3 Historic Properties.....5-112

5.20.2.4 Water Resources.....5-113

5.20.2.5 Floodplains5-115

5.20.2.6 Wildlife and Habitat.....5-116

5.20.2.7 Overall Cumulative Effects5-116

5.21 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM IMPACTS AND LONG-TERM BENEFITS.....5-117

5.22 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES5-118

5.23 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS5-119

CHAPTER 6. FINAL SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION

6.1 INTRODUCTION6-1

6.2 SECTION 4(f) TERMINOLOGY AND METHODOLOGY.....6-2

6.2.1 Types of Section 4(f) Properties6-2

6.2.2 Types of Section 4(f) Uses6-2

6.2.3 Relationship Between Section 4(f) and Section 106.....6-3

6.2.4 How Section 4(f) Properties and Uses were Determined for this Project 6-4

6.2.5 Section 4(f) Avoidance and Minimization Measures 6-5

6.3 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 6-6

6.3.1 Project Background and Approach 6-6

6.3.2 Purpose and Need for the Project 6-7

6.3.3 Project Alternatives 6-7

6.4 DESCRIPTION OF THE 4(f) RESOURCES 6-12

6.4.1 Parks and Recreation Areas 6-12

6.4.2 Wildlife Refuges/Waterfowl Sanctuary 6-14

6.4.3 Historic Properties 6-14

6.5 DETERMINATION OF SECTION 4(f) USES 6-17

6.5.1 Section 4(f) Use of Parks and Recreation Areas 6-17

6.5.2 Section 4(f) Use of Wildlife Refuges/Waterfowl Sanctuaries 6-39

6.5.3 Section 4(f) Use of Historic Properties 6-43

6.5.3.1 Historic Properties with a Section 4(f) Use 6-48

6.5.3.2 Historic Properties with No Section 4(f) Use or *de minimis* Use 6-65

6.6 AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES 6-70

6.6.1 Summary of Section 4(f) Use Determinations 6-71

6.6.2 Entire DC2RVA Corridor 6-71

6.6.3 Preferred Alternative in Area 1 6-71

6.6.4 Preferred Alternative in Area 2 6-72

6.6.5 Preferred Alternative in Area 3 6-72

6.6.6 Preferred Alternative in Area 4 6-72

6.6.7 Preferred Alternative in Area 5 6-72

6.6.8 Preferred Alternative in Area 6 6-73

6.7 MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM 6-74

6.7.1 Richmond, Fredericksburg, and Potomac Railroad (500-0001) 6-74

6.7.2 RF&P Bridge over Occoquan River (500-001-0022) 6-74

6.7.3 Site 44ST1223 (Civil War Campsite) 6-75

6.7.4 Rappahannock River Railroad Bridge and Associated Structures/Platform
(111-0132-0025) and Fredericksburg Historic District (111-0132) 6-75

6.7.5 Site 44SP0187 (Bridge/Marye’s Mill), Site 44SP0688 (Block 49) and
Site 44SP0687 (Block 48) 6-76

6.7.6 Site 44SP0468 (Earthworks/Jackson’s Earthworks) 6-77

6.7.7 Doswell Historic District (042-5448) and Doswell Depot and Tower (042-0093)6-77

6.7.8 Berkleytown Historic District (166-5073).....6-78

6.7.9 Laurel Industrial School Historic District (043-0292) and Main Building/ Robert Stiles Building (043-0292-0001).....6-78

6.7.10 Shockoe Valley and Tobacco Row Historic District (127-0344) and Main Street Station Trainshed (127-0172).....6-79

6.7.11 Seaboard Air Line (SAL) Railroad Corridor (127-6271)6-80

6.8 COORDINATION.....6-80

6.8.1 Parks, Recreation Areas, Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Coordination.....6-80

6.8.2 Historic Resources Section 4(f) Coordination6-83

6.8.3 U.S. Department of Interior6-83

6.9 FINAL SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION6-83

CHAPTER 7. OVERVIEW OF FUTURE STEPS

7.1 RECORD OF DECISION.....7-3

7.2 PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING.....7-3

7.3 CORRIDOR SERVICE DEVELOPMENT PLANNING7-4

7.4 FUNDING7-5

7.5 FINAL DESIGN AND PERMITTING7-7

7.6 CONSTRUCTION, PROPERTY ACQUISITION, AND IMPLEMENTATION.....7-9

7.6.1 Construction Packaging.....7-9

7.6.2 Construction Phasing7-9

7.6.3 Coordination with Railroad Operations.....7-9

7.7 COORDINATION WITH OTHER STUDIES AND PROJECTS7-10

7.7.1 Coordination with Local/Regional Rail Projects7-10

7.7.2 Coordination with Southeast High Speed Rail Corridor Projects7-13

7.7.3 Coordination with Northeast Corridor Projects.....7-15

7.8 OTHER FEDERAL ACTIONS/PERMITS/REGULATORY APPROVALS7-16

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1-1: Public Hearings2-4

Table 2.1-2: Draft EIS Comment Summary – Number of Commenters2-6

Table 2.1-3: Draft EIS Comment Summary – Primary Topics.....2-7

Table 2.1-4: Outside Organization Hosted Meetings2-8

Table 2.1-5: Small Group Informational Meetings2-9

Table 2.1-6: CAC Meetings.....2-12

Table 2.2-1: Cooperating and Participating Agencies 2-14

Table 2.2-2: Interagency Meetings 2-15

Table 2.2-3: Agency Meetings.....2-16

Table 2.2-4: Task Force Meetings 2-17

Table 2.2-5: Local Agency and Official Meetings.....2-19

Table 2.2-6: Elected Official Targeted Outreach2-22

Table 3.1-1: Summary of Additional Studies Separate from the DC2RVA Project.....3-30

Table 3.4-1: CTB Recommended Preferred Alternative.....3-37

Table 4.3-1: Area 1: Arlington (Long Bridge Approach) Preferred Alternative4-9

Table 4.3-2: Area 2: Northern Virginia Preferred Alternative.....4-13

Table 4.3-3: Area 3: Fredericksburg Preferred Alternative.....4-19

Table 4.3-4: Area 4: Central Virginia Preferred Alternative4-25

Table 4.3-5: Area 5: Ashland Preferred Alternative4-28

Table 4.3-6: Area 6: Richmond Preferred Alternative4-32

Table 4.4-1: Summary of Build Alternatives Evaluated in Draft EIS4-43

Table 4.5-1: Capital Infrastructure Costs for the Preferred Alternative4-45

Table 4.5-2: Annual Estimated O&M Costs for Preferred Alternative (Year 2045)4-45

Table 4.5-3: Potential Risk of Rolling Stock4-46

Table 5.0-1: Summary of Preferred Alternative5-1

Table 5.1-1: Stream Resource/Floodplain Effects of the Preferred Alternative5-6

Table 5.1-2: Wetland Effects (acres) of the Preferred Alternative.....5-10

Table 5.1-3: Estimated Area within Drinking Water Protection Zones5-13

Table 5.3-1: Farmland Soils Converted within Preferred Alternative and Farmland Corridor Assessment Score.....5-19

Table 5.5-1: Hazardous Materials Sites within Preferred Alternative LOD.....5-21

Table 5.6-1: Predicted Preferred Alternative Project-Generated Locomotive Emissions.....5-23

Table 5.6-2: Predicted CO Concentrations for the Preferred Alternative.....5-26

Table 5.6-3: Change in Projected CO₂ Emissions in the DC2RVA Corridor by Mode Compared to the No Build Alternative (tons per year)-Year 2045.....5-27

Table 5.7-1: Operational Noise Impact Summary for the Preferred Alternative5-30

Table 5.7-2: Vibration Impact Summary for the Preferred Alternative5-33

Table 5.8-1: Change in Annual Energy Consumption Compared to the No Build -Year 20455-35

Table 5.9-1: Visual Impact Rating by Visual Assessment Unit for the Preferred Alternative5-37

Table 5.10-1: Habitat Impacts (acres) of the Preferred Alternative5-40

Table 5.10-2: Conservation Area Impacts (acres) of the Preferred Alternative5-42

Table 5.10-3: Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Impacts (acres) of the Preferred Alternative5-44

Table 5.10-4: Impacts to Anadromous Fish Use Waters of the Preferred Alternative.....5-47

Table 5.10-5: Potential Preferred Alternative Effect on Federally Listed Species5-50

Table 5.10-6: Potential Preferred Alternative Effect on State Listed Species5-53

Table 5.10-7: Number of Bald Eagle Nests within Buffer Zones of the Preferred Alternative...5-54

Table 5.10-8: Listed Time of Year Restrictions for Threatened and Endangered Species with Potential to Occur in the DC2RVA Corridor.....5-55

Table 5.10-9: Bald Eagle Management Guidelines5-56

Table 5.11-1: Right-of-Way Impacts of Preferred Alternative.....5-58

Table 5.11-2: Commercial Relocations for the Preferred Alternative.....5-59

Table 5.11-3: Residential Relocations by the Preferred Alternative5-60

Table 5.11-4: Land Use Transition for the Preferred Alternative (acres).....5-64

Table 5.12-1: Residential Relocations within Environmental Justice Census Tracts5-67

Table 5.13-1: Summary of Section 106 Project Effect Determinations.....5-72

Table 5.13-2: Summary of Project Effect Determinations on Archaeological Sites5-73

Table 5.13-3: Summary of Project Effect Determinations on Architectural Resources.....5-75

Table 5.13-4: Summary of Project Effect Determinations on Battlefields5-78

Table 5.14-1: Permanent and Temporary Impacts to Parklands for the Preferred Alternative.....5-80

Table 5.15-1: Annual DC2RVA Ridership at Stations for the Preferred Alternative (2025)5-82

Table 5.15-2: Effect on Adjacent Roadways at Stations for the Preferred Alternative5-83

Table 5.15-3: Daily Parking Space Demand by Station for the Preferred Alternative.....5-84

Table 5.15-4: Public At-Grade Crossing Improvements for the Preferred Alternative5-87

Table 5.15-5: Private At-Grade Crossing Improvements for the Preferred Alternative.....5-88

Table 5.15-6: Closure Diversion Analysis Results for the Preferred Alternative5-92

Table 5.15-7: Summary of Total Daily Vehicle Delay for the Preferred Alternative5-94

Table 5.15-8: Total Daily Vehicle Delay Results for the Preferred Alternative, By Crossing5-95

Table 5.16-1: Estimated Utility Relocations and Costs for the Preferred Alternative.....5-97

Table 5.23-1: Summary of Impacts.....5-120

Table 6.3-1: Summary of Build Alternatives Evaluated in Draft EIS6-10

Table 6.4-1: Summary of Section 106 Historic Properties6-15

Table 6.5-1: Permanent Incorporation and Temporary Occupancy of Parks and Recreation Areas of the Preferred Alternative6-18

Table 6.5-2: Permanent Incorporation and Temporary Occupancy of Wildlife Refuges/Waterfowl Sanctuaries of the Preferred Alternative.....6-39

Table 6.5-3: Summary of Section 4(f) Determinations for Section 106 Historic Properties6-43

Table 6.5-4: Preferred Alternative Section 4(f) Determinations for All Historic Properties6-43

Table 6.5-5: Historic Properties with Section 4(f) *de minimis* Determination.....6-68

Table 6.8-1: Parks, Recreation, Wildlife and Waterfowl Refuge Section 4(f) Coordination6-81

Table 7.0-1: Anticipated Dates of Future Steps7-1

Table 7.8-1: Anticipated Future Necessary Permits and Approvals7-16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1-1: Limits of Disturbance Overlaid on 1878 Grey Map of Fredericksburg3-10

Figure 3.1-2: Limits of Disturbance Overlaid on 1912 Sanborn Map of Fredericksburg3-11

Figure 3.1-3: Limits of Disturbance Overlaid on 1835 Bates Map of Richmond3-27

Figure 3.1-4: Limits of Disturbance Overlaid on 1889 Baist Map of Richmond.....3-28

Figure 3.1-5: Current Limits of Disturbance Overlaid on 1905 Sanborn Map of Richmond.....3-29

Figure 4.2-1: Intercity Passenger Train Service for the Preferred Alternative.....4-3

Figure 4.3-1: Preferred Alternative4-8

Figure 4.3-2: Preferred Alternative 1B: Add Two Main Tracks on the West.....4-10

Figure 4.3-3: Preferred Alternative 2A: Add Third or Fourth Main Track4-15

Figure 4.3-4: Alexandria Station Improvements for Preferred Alternative 2A4-16

Figure 4.3-5: Woodbridge Station Improvements for Preferred Alternative 2A4-17

Figure 4.3-6: Preferred Alternative 3B: Add a Third Main Track Through City.....4-21

Figure 4.3-7: Fredericksburg Station Improvements for Preferred Alternative 3B.....4-22

Figure 4.3-8: Preferred Alternative 4A: Add a Third Main Track.....4-26

Figure 4.3-9: Preferred Alternative 5A: Maintain Two Tracks Through Town.....4-29

Figure 4.3-10: Preferred Alternative 6F: Staples Mill Road/Main Street Stations Full Service4-34

Figure 4.3-11: Staples Mill Road Station Improvements for Preferred Alternative 6F.....4-35

Figure 4.3-12: Main Street Station Improvements for Preferred Alternative 6F4-36

Figure 6.3-1: Preferred Alternative for the DC2RVA Project.....6-9

Figure 6.5-1: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Long Bridge Park.....6-23

Figure 6.5-2: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Dog Run Park.....6-24

Figure 6.5-3: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of George Washington Memorial Parkway6-25

Figure 6.5-4: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Mount Vernon Trail6-28

Figure 6.5-5: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Four Mile Run Trail.....6-29

Figure 6.5-6: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Potomac Yard Park6-30

Figure 6.5-7: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Potomac Yard Landbay N.....6-31

Figure 6.5-8: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Rail Park6-33

Figure 6.5-9: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Veterans Memorial Park.....6-34

Figure 6.5-10: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Fredericksburg Spotsylvania National Military Park, Prospect Hill (Area 3).....6-35

Figure 6.5-11: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Fredericksburg Spotsylvania National Military Park, Jackson Shrine (Area 4).....6-36

Figure 6.5-12: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Laurel Recreation Area.....6-37

Figure 6.5-13: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Walkers Creek Retention Basin6-38

Figure 6.5-14: Determination of Section 4(f) Use of Mattaponi State Wildlife Management Area.....6-40

Figure 6.5-15: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of the RF&P Railroad.....6-53

Figure 6.5-16: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of RF&P Bridge over Occoquan River6-54

Figure 6.5-17: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Rappahannock River Railroad Bridge and Associated Structures/Platform.....6-55

Figure 6.5-18: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Fredericksburg Historic District.....6-56

Figure 6.5-19: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Doswell Historic District6-57

Figure 6.5-20: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Doswell Depot/Tower6-58

Figure 6.5-21: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Berkleytown Historic District.....6-59

Figure 6.5-22: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Laurel Industrial School Historic District.....6-60

Figure 6.5-23: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Main Building/Robert Stiles Building/Bluford Office Building.....6-61

Figure 6.5-24: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Shockoe Valley & Tobacco Row Historic District.....6-62

Figure 6.5-25: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Main Street Station and Trainshed6-63

Figure 6.5-26: Section 4(f) Use (Permanent Incorporation) of Seaboard Air Line Railroad Corridor6-64

Figure 6.5-27: No Section 4(f) Use of Rippon Lodge6-66

APPENDICES

A Errata Table for the Draft EIS

B Detailed Responses to Agency and Organization Comments

 B1 Responses to Federal Agency Comments

 B2 Responses to State Agency Comments

 B3 Responses to Local Agency Comments

 B4 Responses to Section 106 Consulting Party Comments

 B5 Responses to Operator Comments

 B6 Responses to Other Organizations and Groups Comments

C Detailed Responses to General Public Comments

 C1 Index to General Public Comments

 C2 Detailed Responses to General Public Comments

D Updated Cultural Resources Reports

 D1 Section 106 Determinations of Effect

 D2 Architectural Reconnaissance Survey Limits of Disturbance Expansion Area

 D3 Architectural Intensive Investigations Report A

 D4 Architectural Intensive Investigations Report B

 D5 Architectural Intensive Investigations Report C

 D6 Section 106 Determinations Of Effect

E Updated Section 106 And Section 4(f) Comments and Coordination

 E1 SHPO, ACHP, DRPT, and FRA Meeting Summaries

 E2 SHPO Technical Study Correspondence

 E3 SHPO Project Effect

 E4 SHPO MOA Correspondence

 E5 Consulting Party and Public Invitations, Emails, Letters, and Other Correspondence

 E6 Consulting Party and Public Meeting Summaries

 E7 *De Minimis* Concurrence Letters

 E8 Temporary Occupancy Concurrence Letters

F Refined Operations Analysis Modeling Technical Memos

G Town of Ashland/Hanover County Area Community Advisory Committee Final Summary Report

H Resolution of The Commonwealth Transportation Board

I DC2RVA Recommendation Report for the Preferred Alternative

J Richmond Area Turning Wye and Service Yard Alternatives Technical Memo

K Section 106 Draft Memorandum of Agreement

L Preferred Alternative Mapbook

M Updated Environmental Resource Mapbooks

 M1 Preferred Alternative – Potential Impacts to Wetlands and Streams

 M2 Preferred Alternative – Potential Impacts to Critical Hazardous Material Sites

 M3 Updates to Draft EIS Noise Analysis Mapbook

 M4 Preferred Alternative – Potential Impacts to Submerged Aquatic Vegetation

 M5 Preferred Alternative Potential Impacts to Bald Eagle Nests

 M6 Preferred Alternative – Potential Impacts to Environmental Justice Communities/Census Tracts