U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION Washington, D. C. 20590

Locomotive Engineer Review Board

Review and Determinations Concerning the Union Pacific Railroad Company's Decision To Revoke Mr. W. J. Huber's Locomotive Engineer Certification

FRA Docket Number EQAL 2010-47

Decision

The Locomotive Engineer Review Board (Board) of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has reviewed the decision of the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) to revoke the locomotive engineer certification (certification) of W. J. Huber in accordance with the provisions of Title 49, Part 240 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R. Part 240). The Board hereby dismisses the petition, without prejudice, for the reasons set forth below.

Background

On September 1, 2010, while operating Train MWMWM-01, Petitioner allegedly exceeded the maximum authorized speed by more than 10 miles per hour in violation of 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(2). On September 24, 2010, Petitioner was notified that his certification was revoked for one month.

On November 4, 2010, the Brotherhood of Locomotive Engineers and Trainmen (BLET), on behalf of Petitioner, filed a petition requesting that FRA review UP's decision to revoke Petitioner's certification. The petition asserts that the revocation was improper.

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R. §240.405(b) and (c), a copy of the petition was sent to UP, and the railroad was afforded an opportunity to comment. UP's response was timely filed, and, as required by 49 C.F.R. §240.405(d)(2), provided Petitioner's representative with a copy of the material submitted to FRA.

UP's Response

UP reviewed the information in its case file and concluded that the incident was of a minimal nature and had no direct or potential effect on rail safety. Consequently, UP stated that it "is changing the record of petitioner to reflect no revocation."

Locomotive Engineer Review Board's Determination

On January 20, 2011, FRA Counsel to the Board sent a letter to Petitioner and his BLET representative regarding UP's response to the petition. FRA asked Petitioner to complete and return an attachment, indicating whether Petitioner wished to pursue or withdraw his petition. In the letter, FRA wrote that "[a]ssuming UP has corrected its records accordingly, there is no revocation incident for the Board to review; if the Board were to review the petition at this time, it would have no choice but to dismiss the petition as UP indicates that there would not be a revocation incident for the Board to review. Thus, FRA requests that [Petitioner] complete the one page attachment." The letter was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested. The receipts indicate that both Petitioner and his BLET representative received the letter. FRA Counsel also offered to accept an e-mail response. FRA did not receive a response from Petitioner or his BLET representative.

Based on the lack of response, the Board hereby dismisses the petition, without prejudice, for lack of jurisdiction in accordance with the provisions of 49 C.F.R. Part 240. The petition fails to articulate a dispute concerning Petitioner's certification status. See § 240.401(a)(stating that the Board may only hear petitions addressing denied certification, denied recertification, or revocation of certification).

The Board is dismissing the petition "without prejudice" to recognize that if UP does revoke Petitioner's certification based on the September 1, 2010 incident, Petitioner may file a new petition requesting the Board's review pursuant to 49 CFR §240.403 (Petition requirements).

APR 0/4 2011 Issued in Chicago, IL on

Richard M. McCord

Chairman, Locomotive

Engineer Review Board

A copy of the Locomotive Engineer Review Board decision in this matter has been sent by **certified mail and return receipt requested** to each person shown below.

SERVICE LIST EQAL 2010-47

Mr. W. J. Huber 4595 Aurora Ave. Winnemucca, NV 89445

Mr. Daniel W. Hannah GC BLET 404 N. 7th St., Ste. A Colton, CA 92324

Mr. Lawrence Brennan, Jr.
Manager, Engineering Certification & Licensing
Union Pacific Railroad Company
1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1010
Omaha, NE 68179

Ms. Christine Hampton Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1030 Omaha, NE 68179

APR 0 4 2011

Diane Filipowicz V

Administrative Specialist

Date

enc: Post LERB Memo

cc: FRA Docket EQAL 2010-47

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X Addre
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailplece,	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Del
or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to:	D. is delivery address different from item 1?
Ոսենետեսենենեն	
Mr. W. J. Huber	3. Service Type
4595 Aurora Ave. Winnemucca, NV 89445	☐ Registered
EDAL 2010-47	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
2. Article Number 7008 323	a 0002 3925 4596
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	teturn Receipt 102595-02-k
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature
Item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X Agent
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of De
Article Addressed to:	D. is delivery address different from item 1? Yes
7, 74100 74010000 10.	If YES, enter delivery address below: No
[[.]]	
Mr. Daniel W. Hannah GC BET	
404 N. 7th St., Suite A	3. Service Type
Colton, CA 92324	☐ Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail ☐ Registered ☐ Return Receipt for Merchal ☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.
EQIAL 2010-47	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
2. Article Number	0 0002 3925 4602
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic F	teturn Receipt 102595-02-l
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X Ager
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Do
Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from item 1?
lillialiata addin dhibibbilat	
Mr. Lawrence Brennan, Jr. UP	
Manager, Engineering Certification & 1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1010	
Omaha, NE 68179	3. Service type ChCertified Mail Express Mail Registered Return Receipt for Mercha
•	☐ Insured Mail ☐ C.O.D.
EQN 2010-47	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)
2. Article Number 7008 323	0 0002 3925 4619

PS Form 3811, February 2004

Domestic Return Receipt

102595-02-M-1540

I	SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
:	 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Signature X □ Agent □ Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) □ C. Date of Delivery	
<u>;</u>	Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from Item 1? ☐ Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: ☐ No	
:	I.IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		
;	1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1030 Omaha, NE 68179	3. Service Type (A. Certified Mall Express Mail (B. Registered Receipt for Merchandise (C.O.D.	
i	EQUAL 2010-47	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	
	2. Article Number 7008 3230	0002 3925 4626	
	: PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	um Receipt 102595-02-M-154	

, ·

•