U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20590

Locomotive Engineer Review Board

Decision Concerning Union Pacific Railroad Company's Revocation of Mr. L. L. Monroe's Locomotive Engineer Certification

FRA Docket Number EQAL 2011-14

Decision

The Locomotive Engineer Review Board (Board) of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has reviewed the decision of the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) to revoke Mr. L. L. Monroe's locomotive engineer certification (certification) in accordance with the provisions of Title 49, Part 240 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R. 240). The Board hereby denies Mr. Monroe's petition because it was filed after the regulatory deadline set forth in 49 C.F.R. Part 240.403(d).

Background

On November 16, 2010, Petitioner was operating UP Train 2IMNG4R-15 when the train passed a stop signal at CPI016 near Dolton, Illinois on Main Track 1 of the Villa Grove Subdivision. Petitioner's certification was revoked for violating a railroad operating rule or practice that involves a failure to control a locomotive or train in accordance with a stop signal indication in accordance with 49 C.F.R. Part 240.117(e)(1).

By petition mailed on May 9, 2011, Petitioner requested that FRA review UP's decision to revoke his certification. The petition asserts that the revocation was improper and attempts to shift responsibility from Petitioner to a manager who was allegedly serving as a pilot. That manager denied being a pilot.

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R. Part 240.405(b) and (c), a copy of the petition was sent to the railroad. UP responded to Petitioner's substantive assertions, but also asserted that the Board should deny the petition as it was not filed by the regulatory prescribed deadline and no excuse was provided for the late filing.

Board's Determination

Based on its review of all of the information submitted, the Board has determined that:

- (1) Petitioner's certificate was revoked by letter dated December 22, 2010.
- (2) Petitioner's appeal of UP's revocation decision was mailed on May 9, 2011.
- (3) 49 C.F.R. Part 240.403(d) states that A[a] petition seeking review of a railroad's decision to revoke certification in accordance with the procedures required by Part 240.307 filed with FRA more than 120 days after the date of the railroad's revocation decision will be denied as untimely except that the Locomotive Engineer Review Board for cause shown may extend the petition filing period at any time in its discretion: (1) Provided the request for extension is filed before the expiration of the period provided in this paragraph (d); or (2) Provided that the failure to timely file was the result of excusable neglect.
- (4) 49 C.F.R. 240.7 defines A file, filed, and filing to mean A submission of a document under this part on the date when the Docket Clerk receives it, or if sent by mail on or after September 4, 2001, the date the mailing was completed. See 67 Fed. Reg. 22 (January 2, 2002). Because the petition involved in this case was mailed after September 4, 2001, it must have been mailed within 120 days of the date that Petitioner's certification was revoked in order to be considered timely by the Board.
- (5) Petitioner's certification was revoked on December 22, 2010; therefore, the petition had to be postmarked by April 21, 2011, which is 120 days after the date of revocation. Instead, the petition was mailed on May 9, 2011, which is 138 days after the date of the railroad's revocation decision and eighteen days longer than the regulation allows. As noted above, Part 240.403(d) allows for an extension of the time period if Petitioner shows good cause for the extension. Petitioner did not offer any explanation for the delay in filing his petition; therefore, the Board finds that the petition was untimely filed.

Conclusion

Based on the findings noted above, the Board hereby denies as untimely Mr. Monroe's petition for review in accordance with the provisions of 49 C.F.R. Part 240. As the Board finds that this petition must be denied as untimely, the Board need not address the other issues raised by Petitioner.

	JAN 3 0 2012
Issued in Chicago, IL on	

Richard M. McCord

Chairman,

Locomotive Engineer Review Board

Please be advised that a party aggrieved by a Board decision to deny a petition as untimely has the option to file an appeal with the Administrator in accordance with 49 C.F.R. 240.411. The appeal must be filed with the Federal Railroad Administrator, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590 within 35 days of issuance of the Board's decision.

SERVICE LIST EQAL 2011-14

A copy of the Locomotive Engineer Review Board decision in this matter has been sent by certified mail and return receipt requested to each person shown below.

SENT CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. L. L. Monroe 14100 S. Longview Lane Plainfield, IL 60544

Mr. R. E. Crow BLET Local Chairman P.O. Box 403 Warrenville, IL 60555

Ms. Christine Hampton Director Training & Quality Assurance Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1030 Omaha, NE 69179

JAN 3 0 2012

Diane Filipowicz Date

Administrative Assistant

enc: Post LERB Memo

cc: FRA DOCKET EQAL 2011-14

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature		
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	l x	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee	
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery	
Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from Item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No		
Mr. L. L. Monroe			
14100 S. Longview Lane			
Plainfield, IL 60544	3. Service Type 2. Certified Mail Registered Insured Mail C.O.D.	ot for Merchandise	
EQAL 2011-14	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes	
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7008 3230	1 0002 3925 7504	_	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Re	turn Receipt	102595-02-M-1540	
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIV	ERY	
■ Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature		
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee	
 so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery	
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from Item If YES, enter delivery address below:	1? Yes	
Mr. R. E. Crow BLET Local Chairman			
P.O. Box 403 Warrenville, IL 60555	3. Service Type \$\sqrt{\text{\$\}\$}}}\$}}}}}}} \$\text{\$\e		
EOAL 2011-14	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes	
2. Article Number 7008 3230 (Transfer from service label)	0002 3925 3155		
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ref	turn Receipt	102595-02-M-1540	
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVE	ERY	
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature	☐ Agent	
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X	☐ Addressee	
 so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	B. Received by (Printed Name)	. Date of Delivery	
1. Article Addressed to:	D. is delivery address different from item if YES, enter delivery address below:	1? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Ms. Christine Hampton Director Training & Quality Assurance Union Pacific Railroad Company			
1400 Douglas Street, Mailstop 1030 Omaha, NE 69179	3. Service Type X Certified Mail Registered Insured Mail C.O.D.	t for Merchandise	
EQAL 2011-14	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes	
2. Article Number 7008 3230 (Transfer from service label)	0002 3925 3148		