U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION Washington, D.C. 20590

Locomotive Engineer Review Board

Decision Concerning Canadian Pacific Railway Company's Decision to Revoke Mr. K. Breecher's Locomotive Engineer Certification

FRA Docket Number EQAL 2011-27

Decision

The Locomotive Engineer Review Board (Board) of the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) has reviewed the decision of the Canadian Pacific Railway Company (CP) to revoke Mr. K. Breecher's (Petitioner) locomotive engineer certification (certification) in accordance with the provisions of Title 49, Part 240 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 C.F.R. Part 240). The Board hereby grants Mr. Breecher's petition for the reasons set forth below.

Background

On June 16, 2011, at approximately 9:15 p.m., while operating Train 170-14, Petitioner allegedly failed to comply with Track Bulletin #E7506 that required Petitioner to stop the train and provide protection at a malfunctioning crossing on the Ottumwa Subdivision near milepost (MP) 298.85, before proceeding through the crossing. Pet. at 1; Tr. Ex. A, B, D. The train crew consisted of Petitioner, a conductor, and a student switchman. Pet. at 1; Tr. at 13; Tr. Ex. D.

On June 28, 2011, the Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation (DM&E), a subsidiary of CP, convened an investigation and hearing. Subsequently, by letter dated July 13, 2011, DM&E dismissed Petitioner from service for violating 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(1) for failing to control a train in accordance with a signal indication that requires a complete stop before passing it, and for violating General Code of Operating Rules 1.1 – Safety; 1.1.2 – Alert and Attentive; and 6.32.2 – Automatic Warning Devices. Pet. at 8. By letter dated July 18, 2011, CP notified Petitioner that his certification was revoked for a period of one year, ending on June 17, 2012, in accordance with 49 C.F.R. §240.117(g)(3)(iii). Pet. at 4.

Petitioner's Assertions

Petitioner timely filed a petition on August 28, 2011, requesting that FRA review CP's decision to revoke his certification. The petition asserts that the revocation was improper for the following reasons:

- At the time of the incident, Petitioner asserts that there were no fixed signals or other visible stop warnings to alert Petitioner that a stop needed to be made. Accordingly, CP incorrectly charged Petitioner with a violation of 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(1) for failing to control a train in accordance with a signal indication that requires a complete stop before passing it. Pet. at 1.
- 2) Petitioner also asserts that in accordance with 49 C.F.R. §240.307(i)(1), CP should not have revoked his certification due to several intervening causes that "prevented or materially impaired" his ability to comply with railroad operating rules or practices. Petitioner identifies the intervening causes as weather conditions, damage to the track, confusion by the dispatcher, and distractions arising from the conductor's instruction of the student switchman. Pet. at 1-2; see 49 C.F.R. §240.307(i)(1).

CP's Response

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R. § 240.405(b) and (c), a copy of the Petition was sent to CP on September 12, 2011, and the railroad was afforded an opportunity to comment. CP timely responded by letter received on October 31, 2011, stating that the railroad had no additional information or documentation to provide in reference to FRA Docket Number EQAL 2011-27.

Board's Determination

Based on its review of the record, the Board has determined that:

- On June 16, 2011, at approximately 9:15 p.m., while operating Train 170-14, Petitioner failed to comply with Track Bulletin #E7506 that required Petitioner to stop the train and provide protection at a malfunctioning crossing on the Ottumwa Subdivision near milepost ("MP") 298.85, before proceeding through the crossing. Pet. at 1; Tr. Ex. A, B, D.
- 2) The train crew consisted of Petitioner, a conductor, and a student switchman. Pet. at 1; Tr. at 13; Tr. Ex. D.
- The crew had received a train specific tabular general bulletin order ("TGBO") that stated there was an Item 1 stop restriction on the main track

at Rochester/Rutledge Street, MP 298.85 Ottumwa Subdivision, due to a crossing malfunction. Tr. at 4-6; Tr. Ex. A.

- 4) On June 16, 2011, at approximately 9:15 p.m., the Superintendent of Engineering who was working in the Rochester Road area on the Ottumwa Subdivision observed Petitioner's south bound train proceed through the Rochester Road Crossing without stopping and protecting. Tr. at 6; Tr. Ex. B.
- 5) Petitioner testified that he did not stop at the crossing. Tr. at 32.

Analysis

When considering legal issues, the Board "will provide 'de novo' review, which means that the Board will not be bound by legal interpretations reached by the railroad in making its decision." 58 Fed. Reg. 18982, 19001 (April 9, 1993).

Based on a *de novo* review, the Board finds that CP's legal interpretation of 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(1) is incorrect and, therefore, Petitioner's first assertion has merit. The Board concludes that CP improperly charged Petitioner with a violation of 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(1) for failing to control a train in accordance with a signal indication that requires a complete stop before passing it. There was no signal indication involved in this incident, but rather a TGBO stop restriction. Tr. at 4-5; Tr. Ex. A. A bulletin or general order is not considered a "signal indication," requiring a complete stop before passing it for purposes of 49 C.F.R. § 240.117(e)(1).

Having decided that Petitioner was improperly charged with a violation of 49 C.F.R. §240.117(e)(1), the Board need not address the substantive issues raised in Petitioner's second assertion.

Conclusion

Based on the above findings and conclusions, the Board finds that the decision to revoke Petitioner's Certification as a locomotive engineer was improper and hereby grants the petition in accordance with the provisions of Title 49, Part 240 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Issued in Chicago, IL on _____FEB 2 1 2012

Richard M. McCord

Chairman,

Locomotive Engineer Review Board

Hild C

SERVICE LIST EQAL 2011-27

A copy of the Locomotive Engineer Review Board decision in this matter has been sent by certified mail and return receipt requested to each person shown below.

SENT CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Kim Breecher 1507 45th Street Moline, Illinois 61265-3545

Mr. Chris Pacha BLET Local Chairman 2300 Railroad Avenue Davenport, IA 52802

Mr. K. E. Johnson Manager, Operating Practices – U.S. Canadian Pacific Railway Metro 94 Business Center 425 Etna Street, Suite 38 St. Paul, MN 55106

FEB 2 1 2012

Date

Diane Filipowicz *O*Administrative Assistant

enc: Post LERB Memo

cc: FRA DOCKET EQAL 2011-27

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature	
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	x	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Delivery
	D. Is delivery address different from	Item 1? Yes
Article Addressed to:	If YES, enter delivery address be	
an triangle of the control of the co		
Mr. Kim Breecher		
1507 45th Street	3. Service Type	
Moline, Illinois 61265-3545	Certified Mail	Mail ecelpt for Merchandis
EQIAL 2011-27	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes
2. Article Number 7008 3230	0002 3925 7559	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Re	turn Receipt	102595-02-M-154
		-
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON D	ELIVERY
■ Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature	5
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	X	☐ Agent ☐ Addressed
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece,	B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Deliver
or on the front if space permits.		
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery-address different from If YES, enter delivery address be	
Mr. Chris Pacha		
BLET Local Chairman		
2300 Railroad Avenue		
Davenport, IA 52802		Mail scelpt for Merchandise
50N 201-37	Insured Mall C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	
CON_ 2011-27		☐ Yes
2. Article Number 7008 3230 (Transfer from service label)	0002 3925 7542	
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ref	turn Receipt	102595-02-M-154
SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON D	ELIVERY
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete	A. Signature	
item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired.	x	☐ Agent
Print your name and address on the reverse	B. Received by (Printed Name)	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, 	B. Received by (Printed Name)	
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	D. 'rs delivery address different from	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: 	b. vs delivery address different from r delivery address b	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr K E Johnson, Canadian Pacific 	b. 's delivery address different from r delivery address b	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. K. E. Johnson, Canadian Pacific Manager, Operating Practices – U.S 	b. 's delivery address different from r delivery address b	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. K. E. Johnson, Canadian Pacific Manager, Operating Practices – U.S Metro 94 Business Center 	©. 's delivery address different from v delivery address b Railway	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. K. E. Johnson, Canadian Pacific Manager, Operating Practices – U.S Metro 94 Business Center 425 Etna Street, Suite 38 	Railway 3. Service Type	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. K. E. Johnson, Canadian Pacific Manager, Operating Practices – U.S Metro 94 Business Center 	Railway 3. Service Type Certified Mail Express	C. Date of Deliver
 Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Mr. K. E. Johnson, Canadian Pacific Manager, Operating Practices – U.S Metro 94 Business Center 425 Etna Street, Suite 38 	Railway 3. Service Type Certified Mail Return F	C. Date of Deliver