APPENDIX D

NATIONAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION SERVICE CPA 106 FORMS

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed by F	ederal Agency)		3. Date	of Land Evaluation I	Request 1/10/0	8	Sheet 1 o	-1-		
1. Name of Project DESERT XPRESS			5. Federal Agency Involved FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION							
			-	6. County and State SAN BERNADINO, CA; CLARK, NV						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 1. Date			ate Request Received by NRCS 2. Pe			erson Completing Form ICK AGUAYO cres Irrigated Average Farm Size				
 Does the corridor contain prime, t (If no, the FPPA does not apply - 	unique statewide or local imp Do not complete additional i	ortant farmland? parts of this form)	YES 🛛 NO 🗆	40,	961	6.	35		
5. Major Crop(s)		Acres: 57	d in Gover	nment Jurisdiction % O	4 Ac	res: AVA	A ABE	efined in FPPA		
FIELD CROPS 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System CALIFORNIA-STORIE:	TUSED SYSTEM	Name of Loca んか	Ske Asse	ssment System	10. Da	te Land Ev	aluation Re	L.A.		
PART III (To be completed by				Alternati Proposep Actio	ve Corridor Fo	And in case of the last of the	nt <u>1</u>	Corridor D		
A. Total Acres To Be Converted [Directiv			0.0	8.4	N				
B. Total Acres To Be Converted I		ervices		1 0.0	686.0		Note:	"Alternative		
C. Total Acres In Corridor	Halloway, at the state of	2000		152.0	175.9_	0				
PART IV (To be completed by	y NRCS) Land Evaluation	n Information	,					this form sented		
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique	e Farmland	April 2 m	LU TIL	٥	617,7					
B. Total Acres Statewide And Lo			W PE	0	76.7		1 -	ent 1A, whic		
C. Percentage Of Farmland in C		To Be Converte	d	٥	1019			Iropped from		
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Go	ovt. Jurisdiction With Same	Or Higher Relat	ive Value	DATA	OT AVA	LABL	furthe	r		
PART V (To be completed by NI value of Farmland to Be Service	RCS) Land Evaluation Infor	mation Criterior	Relative		70			deration in th		
PART VI (To be completed by			Maximum				EIS.	The		
Assessment Criteria (These cr	iteria are explained in 7 0	CFR 658.5(c))	Points				"Prop	osed Action"		
Area in Nonurban Use			15	15	15		repres			
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Us	ie .		10	10	10		1 1			
3. Percent Of Corridor Being			20	0	4		Segn	ent 1.		
Protection Provided By St			20	0	20					
Size of Present Farm Unit			10	0	5					
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable	Farmland		25	0	12					
Availablility Of Farm Supp	ont Services		5	0	5					
8. On-Farm Investments			20	0	12			-		
Effects Of Conversion On	Farm Support Services		25	0	6	_				
Compatibility With Existing	ng Agricultural Use		10	0	3	_				
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSES	SMENT POINTS		160	25	92	. 0		0		
PART VII (To be completed by	y Federal Agency)							· ·		
Relative Value Of Farmland (F	From Part V)		100							
Total Corridor Assessment (Frassessment)	rom Part VI above or a loca	l site	160	0	0	0		0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	0	0	0		0		
Corndor Selected:	Total Acres of Farm Converted by Proje	The same of the sa	3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment U					sed?		
Reason For Selection: Signature of Person Completing	this Parti					DATE				
13					1	VINIE)				
NOTE: Complete a form for	or each segment with	more than or	e Altern	ate Corridor						

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Natural Resources Conservation Service

NRCS-CPA-106

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

PART I (To be completed I	by Federal Agency)		3. Date	of Land Evaluation	Request 1/10/08	B 4. Sheet to	1_1		
Name of Project DESERT XPRESS			5. Federal Agescy Involved FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION						
2. Type of Project HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRAIN			6. County and State SAN BERNADINO, CA; CLARK, NV						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)				Request Received by	RIC	2. Person Completing Form RICK ASUAYO			
 Does the corridor contain printing the EPPA does not ap 	me, unique statewide or local in ply - Do not complete additions		110	YES 🛛 NO 🗆	4 Acre	s Irrigated Averagé	Farm Size		
5. Major Crop(s)		6. Farmable La	nd in Gover	nment Jurisdiction	7. Amó	unt of Farmland As D	efined in FPPA		
FIELD CLOP 8. Name Of Land Evaluation S	8,141 al Site Asse	% ð. ssment System		es: A VAI LA B I					
CALIFOLNIA STOR			NE			107/08	RA		
PART III (To be completed	hy Federal Agencyl			Alternati	ve Corridor For	Segment 3			
O RESOCIATION IN COMPANIES CONTROL				PROPOSED ACTION	And the second of the second o	Corridor C	Corridor D		
A. Total Acres To Be Convert	ed Directly			' 0.01	0.0				
B. Total Acres To Be Convert	ed Indirectly, Or To Receive	Services		18.3	0.0				
C. Total Acres In Corridor			/2//	515.2	514.7	0	0		
PART IV (To be completed	d by NRCS) Land Evaluat	ion Informatio	n						
A. Total Acres Prime And Un	ique Farmland		100	17.91	0	AND PARTY	ENERGE STORY		
B. Total Acres Statewide And	d Local Important Farmland	enn South	-Velle	0.4	0	DESIGNATION OF	TRANSPORT OF		
C. Percentage Of Farmland	in County Or Local Govt, Un	t To Be Converte	ed	0.03	0				
D. Percentage Of Farmland in	n Govt. Jurisdiction With Sam	e Or Higher Rela	tive Value	DATA	NOT AVA	ALLABLE			
PART V (To be completed by				70					
value of Farmland to Be Sei		7		10	0.000.000.00	September 1	E gave		
PART VI (To be completed Assessment Criteria (These		With the same of t	Maximum Points						
 Area in Nonurban Use 			15	15	15				
Perimeter in Nonurban) Use		10	10	10		1		
 Percent Of Corridor Be 	eing Farmed		20 -	0	0		L STATE OF THE STA		
 Protection Provided B 	y State And Local Governmen	t .	20	. 0	0				
Size of Present Farm I	Unit Compared To Average		10	0	0				
Creation Of Nonfarma	ble Farmland		25	0	0				
7. Availablility Of Farm S	upport Services		5	1	1				
8. On-Farm Investments	STORY W. Trooping to see a		20	4	4				
9. Effects Of Conversion	On Farm Support Services		25	0	0				
10. Compatibility With Ex	isting Agricultural Use		10	2	2				
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASS	SESSMENT POINTS		160	32	32	0	0		
PART VII (To be completed	d by Federal Agency)			75.55	100				
Relative Value Of Farmlan	d (From Part V)		100						
Total Corridor Assessment assessment)	(From Part VI above or a loc	al site	160	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	0	0	0	0		
Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Far	mlands to be	3. Date Of	Selection:		Site Assessment Use	ed?		
	Converted by Pro	ect;			-2123C38C69C69C888888				
					YES	□ NO □			
			14		ALES.				
Reason For Selection:					1				
Signature of Person Complete	ing this Part:			DATE					
NOTE: Complete a form	for each segment with	more than on-	e Alternat	te Corridor					
Control of the Contro	The second second second second second	The state of the s	C. A. C.	Car Strategic College					

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

1 Name of Project DESERT XPRESS 5. Federal Agency Involved FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION 2. Type of Project HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRAIN 6. County and State SAN BERNADINO, CA; CLARK, NV	PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)			3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 1/10/08 Sheet 1 of						
2. Type of Project HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRAIN 6. County and State SAN BERNADINO, CA; CLARK, NV 1. Dog Reposed Represents PARCS 2. Person Complete Proposed Represents PARCS 3. Does the control contain grow, usual statewise or local important farmand? 3. More the open of the PPPA does not apply. Do not complete additional parts of this form). 5. Major Conglet 6. Familia and a Covernment Jurisdiction 6. Familia and a Covernment Jurisdiction State	Name of Project DESERT XPRESS			5. Federal Agency Involved						
1. Date Request Repeated by NRCS 1. Date the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important familiant? 1. Date for corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important familiant? 1. Date for corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important familiant? 1. Date for corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important familiant? 1. Date for corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important familiant? 1. Date for corridor contain prime state 1. Date for corridor contain prime state 1. Date for corridor contains 1. Date for corridor cont	2 Type of Project HIGH SPEED PASSENGER TRAIN									
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statework or local important farmland? If no, the FPRA does not apply to not complete additional parts of this form). S. Major Crocks) File LD CROPS S. Manne of Local State Assessment System Acres: 5.5 1 %, C. 4	PART II (To be completed by NRCS)			1. Date I	Date Request Received by NRCS			The state of the s		
If the the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). FS	L Ones the corridor contain name, un	inua statewide or local in	moortant farmlan	42	The state of the s					
Subject Crop(s)					YES 🔀 NO 🗌					
Name of Land Evaluation System Used				A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	nment Jurisdiction	7.	Amount	U 1		
ART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) Alternative Corridor For Segment 2 FREEDERS ACT. 1 Alternative Corridor For Segment 2 FREEDERS ACT. 2 2.2 2.2 3. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly 2.2 3. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6.8 6.8 5. Total Acres In Gerridor A. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6.8 6.8 7. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 6.8 6.8 7. Total Acres Statewards And Local Important Farmland 7. A Total Acres Statewards And Local Important Farmland 8. Total Acres Statewards And Local Important Farmland 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.	FIELD CROPS	lead	Acres: 5	8,141	% O	.4	Acres:	AVAILAB	LE %	
Alternative Corridor For Segment 2 FREEDERS ACTS FREEDERS ACTS FREEDERS ACTS FREEDERS ACTS FREEDERS ACTS FREEDERS ACTS Corridor C Corridor D 2.2 2	CALIFORNIA STORIE	INDEX SYSTE	H . Ivanie or Lor			10				
Notal Acres To Be Converted Directly 2.7, 2.7	MARKET YOU SE YOU	N. A.			Alternativ		r For S	egment 2		
Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services								Corridor C	Corridor D	
Total Acres in Corridor 130.T			Candage				-		-	
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland A. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted D. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Developed In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be D		ilectly, Of 10 Necelve .	SELVINES					0	0	
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction Relative Value C. Percentage Of Farmland In Compared (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) C. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed C. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmland C. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmland C. Percent Of Nonfarmable Farmland C. Percent Of Scale Of Nonfarmable Farmland C. Percent Of Scale Of		IRCS) Land Evaluati	ion Informatio	172	130.1	150		U	The section of	
3. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 2. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govi. Unit To Be Converted 3. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govi. Unit To Be Converted 3. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govi. Unit To Be Converted 3. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govi. Unit To Be Converted 3. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govi. Unit To Be Converted 4. Protection of Combined by MRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative Value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) 4. Average Of Farmland In Compared To Combined By Federal Agency) Corridor 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 7. Availability With Existing Agricultural Use 7. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services 9. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services 9. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services 9. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services 9. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 9. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			on minormatio	**	9.0	9.	7			
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted 0.02 0.0		Company of the Compan	Control of the Control						EL LOS EL SIX	
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value DATE Nat National State National S			t To Be Convert	ad		The second second second	2			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Maximum Points		The state of the s	The second second second	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE				110		
Naximum Points Sassesment Criteria (Those criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Maximum Points	PART V (To be completed by NRC	S) Land Evaluation Info	ormation Criterio	on Relative	1-0-3400	TO THE SE	V. 10.	513.		
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) 1. Area in Nonurban Use 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10. Ceretion Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25. O. Cetation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 26. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 27. Availability Of Farm Support Services 3. On-Farm Investments 28. O. Farm Investments 29. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. ToTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160. 3. O.				·		10			REPORTED A	
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20	[10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10]			100000000000000000000000000000000000000						
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10. 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	 Area in Nonurban Use 			15	8	6				
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10. 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use			10	6	5				
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10. 6 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25. 0 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. 3 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160. 3 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100. Total Corridor Assessment (From Part Vi above or a local site assessment) 160. 0 170. 0 180. 0 1	Percent Of Corridor Being Fa	irmed		20	2777					
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160. 3. D. 3. D.	4. Protection Provided By State	And Local Governmen	t	20	Ö					
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Compatibility With Existing Ag				10						
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. Sacridor Assessment POINTS 160 28ART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 160 17OTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 18 Date Of Selection: 2 Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project. 2 Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project.				25						
8. On-Farm Investments 20	7. Availablility Of Farm Support	Services		5		5				
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10. 3 3 3 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 39 35 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) Corridor Selected: 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 0 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 0 0 0 0 Corridor Selected: 2 Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: YES NO				20	7,000					
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 39 35 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmiand (From Part V) Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) 160 0 0 0 0 100 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) Corridor Selected: 2 Total Acres of Farmiands to be Converted by Project: YES NO	9. Effects Of Conversion On Fa	rm Support Services		25						
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 36 37 160 37 160 37 160 37 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 16										
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 160 0 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO				100000	-	-	5	0	0	
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 0 0 0 0 Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 5. Reason For Selection:	PART VII (To be completed by Fe	ederal Agency)								
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 0 0 0 0 Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 5. Reason For Selection:	Relative Value Of Farmland (Fron	n Parl V)		100						
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 0 0 0 0 Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO 5. Reason For Selection:		Part VI above or a loca	al site	160	0	0		0	0	
Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES NO 5. Reason For Selection:	TOTAL POINTS (Total of above	e 2 lines)		260	100.1	1000		200	lever)	
Converted by Project: YES NO NO S. Reason For Selection:			mlands to be		L 350	USSO.	ocal Site		LIBREAU.	
Signature of Person Completing this Part: DATE	5. Reason For Selection:									
Signature of Person Completing this Part:										
	Signature of Person Completing this	Рап:					DATE			
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor					Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is					