

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Safety Headquarters Assigned Accident Investigation Report HQ-2008-91

CSX Transportation (CSX) Edgewood, FL November 28, 2008

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL RAILF	OF TRA ROAD A	NSPORT DMINIST	ATIC RATI	ON ON	FRA FA	ACT	UAI	LRAI	LRO	AD A	CCI	IDENT R	EPOR	Г]	FRA Fi	le #	HQ-200	8-91	
1.Name of Railroad Operating Train #1										1a. Alphabetic Code					Ib. Railroad Accident/Incident No.					
2.Name of Railroad Operating Train #2										lphabetic	2b. I	2b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.								
3.Name of Railroad Operating Train #3										3a. Alphabetic Code					N/A 3b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.					
N/A		N/A						N/A												
4.Name of Railroad Responsible for Track Maintenance: CSX Transportation [CSX]										4a. Alphabetic Code CSX				4b. 1	4b. Railroad Accident/Incident No. 110386					
5. U.S. DOT_AAR G	drade Cro	ssing Ident	ificatio	on Nur	nber				6. Da	te of Acc	ciden	t/Incident	2008	7.1	7. Time of Accident/Incident					
8. Type of Accident/Indicent 1. Derailment 4. Side collision									7. H	7. Hwy-rail crossing 10. Explosion-dr					onation 13. Other C				Code	
(single entry in code box) 2. Head on collision 5. Raking collision									8. R	R grade	cross	ing 11.	Fire/violer	nt rupt	upture (describe in narrative)					
9 Cars Carrying		3. Rear en	nd colli	ision	6. Broke	n Trai	n coll	lision	9.0	9. Obstruction		12.	Other imp	acts	ets				07	
HAZMAT	0	10. HAZMAT Cars Damaged/Derailed					HAZMAT			ISING N/A		12. Peop Evacuate	ed		13. Div			KSONVI	TIE	
14 Nearest City/Tow	<u>0</u>				N/A	15. Milepost				16. Sta		State		17	17 County			KSUNVI		
14. Itearest enty/10w	" EDO	GEWOOD				(to nearest t			nth) 195.5) .5		Abbr Code N/A FL			17. County		ORANGE			
18. Temperature (F)		19. Visib	ility	(sing	gle entry)	Code 20. W			eather (single e		entr	entry) Co		1	21. Typ	21. Type of Track			Code	
(specify if minus)	(specify if minus) 1. Dawn 3.Dusk							1.	Clear 3. Rain			1 5.Sleet			1. Main 3. Siding			1		
22. Track Name/Nu		23.1	FRA	Z. Track	Ciouu	Code 24		4. Annual Track Density		25. Tir		me Table Direction			Code					
			N	/A			Class	(1-9, X))	(gross tons in millions)			in 18 3	1. Nor			rth 3. East		1	
							(OPERA	ATIN	GTRA	JN #	#1				2. 30ui	11 4.	west		
26. Type of Equipme	ent 1.	Freight tra	un	4. Wo	ork train 7	. Yard	/swite	ching	A. S	pec. Mo	W Eq	uip. Code	27. Was	Equip	oment (Code	28. 1	rain Nur	nber/Symbol	
Consist (single entry) 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(s).																				
3. Commuter train 6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.car 2 1. Yes 2. No 1 PO92-28											2-28									
27. Spece (recorded speed, if available) Code 31. Method(s) of Operation (enter code(s) that apply) 31a. Remotely controlled Locom											mouve?									
E - Estimated 58 MPH R b. Auto train control h. Current									of traf	fic	n. O	ther than ma	in track		1 = Rem	ote con	rol po	ortable		
30. Trailing Tons	gross to	onnage.		- c	. Auto train	1 stop	i. 1	Time tab	ble/trai	n orders	o. P p. O	ositive train	control	(2 = Rem	ote con	rol to trol	wer		
excluding power units) d. Cab J. Track V e. Traffic k. Direct									raffic c	control	1	Code(s)	live)	transmi	tter - m	ore th	an one		
		N/A		f.	Interlocking	3	1.Y	ard limi	iits		e	N/A N	/A N/A	N/A	remote	control	transr	nitter	0	
32. Principal Car/Unit	t	a. Initial a	and Nu	mber	b. Positio	on in T	rain	c. L	.oaded(yes/no)	33.	. If railroad e	employee(s	s) teste	ed for drug	g/alcoho	ol use,			
(1) First involved AMTK204									nc)		the approp	umber tha oriate box.	t were	positive i	n		Alcohol	Drugs	
(2) Causing (if med		0			N/A	4	3	4. Was this	consist trar	nsporti	ing passen	gers? (Y/N)	N/A						
cause reported	rain	0	Rea	r End	101	26 Com				Lo	aded		Emp	ty	Ŷ					
35. Locomotive oni		End	b. Ma	nual	c. Remote	d. Ma	nual	c. Rem	note	50. Cars			a. Fi	reight	b. Pass.	c. Fre	ight	d. Pass.	e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Trair	1	2	(0	0	()	0	((1) Total	in Ec	quipment Co	nsist	0	10	()	0	0	
(2) Total Deraile	d	0	(0	0	()	0	((2) Total	Dera	iled		0	0	()	0	0	
37. Equipment Dama	ige	¢1.000.00	3	8. Tra	ick, Signal, V	Way,		\$0.00	3	39. Prima	ary C	ause			40. Cont	ributing	g Caus	se		
This Consist		\$1,000.00	cof Cro	& Stru	icture Dama	ge		\$0.00	- (Code			M308	th of	Code	Code N/A				
41. Engineer/	42. Fir	emen		43. Co	onductors	44	. Brak	kemen	45 Engineer/Operator					gui oi	46. Conductor					
Operators 2		0			2 0					Hrs 2 Mi 40					Hrs 2 Mi 40				Mi 40	
Casualties to:	47. Railr	oad Emplo	yees 4	48. Train Passengers 49. C				ther	50. EOT Device?					51. Was EOT Device Properly Armed?			Armed?			
Fatal		0		0			3			1. Yes 2. No 2				1. Yes 2. No N/A						
Nonfatal		0			0 1			1	52. Caboose Occupied by Crew?				No	No. N/A						
							OP	FRAT	'ING '	TRAIN	[#2	. 105							<u> </u>	
53. Type of Equipme	nt 1.	Freight tra	in	4. Wo	ork train 7.	Yard/	swite	ching	A Sr	ec Mov	V Ea	uin Code	54 Was	Fauin	ment C	ode	55 T	rain Nun	her/Symbol	
Consist (single en	try) 2.	Passenger	train	5. Sin	gle car 8.	Light	loco((s).	л. э <u>г</u>		л ц	mp. Coue	Atten	ded?	Solution Code 55. Train Number/					
56 Smood is	3.	Commuter	train	6. Cut	t of cars 9.	Main	t./insp	pect.car		1.()	1	N/A	1.	Yes	2. No	N/A		N/	n motive?	
R - Recorded	speed, if	available)	Code	58. a.	ATCS	ы Оре	ratioi g.	11 (<i>e</i> Automa	enter c atic blo	<i>:oae(s)</i> i ock	<i>inat</i> m.Sı	<i>upply)</i> pecial instrue	ctions		58a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive? 0 = Not a remotely controlled					
E - Estimated	\mathbf{K} - Recordeda. ATCSg. Automate blockm.Special instructions $0 = Not a remotely controlled$ \mathbf{E} - Estimated0MPHN/Ab. Auto train controlh. Current of trafficn. Other than main track $1 = \text{Remote control portable}$																			

DEPARTMENT	OF TRA	NSPORT DMINIST	TATIO RATI	ON ION	FRA FA	CTUAL	RAILR	OAD AC	CCIDENT REP	ORT	F	RA File	# <u>HQ-200</u>	<u>18-91</u>			
57. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, excluding power units)					Auto train Cab Traffic	stop i. T j.T k. l	`ime table/ti rack warran Direct traffi	rain orders of t control l c control _	p. Other (Specify in r Code(s)	ol ıarrative)	2 = Remo 3 = Remo transmit						
N/A					f. Interlocking 1.Yard				N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A	remote c	N/A					
59. Principal Car/Unit a. Initial and Nur					b. Positie	on in Train	c. Load	led(yes/no)	60. If railroad emp	loyee(s) tes	ted for dru						
(1) First involved (densiled struck atc) 0				()	1	V/A	the appropriate	er that were box.	that were positive in			Drugs				
(2) Causing (if mechanical								61 Was this const	ist transport	rting passengers? (Y/N)			N/A				
cause reported) 0				(N						N/A					
62. Locomotive Units a. Head End b. Ma		Mid T anual	rain c. Remote	Rea d. Manual	r End c. Remote	63. Cars		Lo a. Freight	b. Pass.	E c. Freigl	mpty nt d. Pass.	e. Caboose					
(1) Total in Train 0		0	0		0	0 0		(1) Total in	n Equipment Consist	0	0	0	0	0			
(2) Total Deraile	ed	0		0	0 (0	(2) Total E	Derailed	0	0	0	0	0			
64. Equipment Dama	age			65. Tra	ck, Signal, V	Vay,	** **	66. Prima	ry Cause		67. Contributing Cause						
This Consist		\$0.00	n of Ci	& S	tructure Dan	age	\$0.00	Code		N/A	Code	h		N/A			
68 Engineer/	69 Fire	men		70. Co	onductors	71. Brak	emen	72 Engin	eer/Operator	Length of	1 me on D	ductor					
Operators 0	09.1110	0			0		0		Hrs 0 M	i 0		Hrs	Mi 0				
Casualties to:	74. Railr	oad Emplo	oyees ′	75. Tra	in Passenger	s 76. Othe	76. Other		Device?		78. Was	EOT Dev	ice Properly	/ Armed?			
Fatal		0			0		0	1. Y	N/A	1.	Yes	2. No	N/A				
Nonfatal		0			0		0	79. Caboo	ose Occupied by Crev				1				
Nomatai		0			0		0 DERATIN	GTRAIN	1. Yes	2. No				N/A			
80. Type of Equipme	nt 1.1	Freight tra	in	4. Wo	rk train 7.	Yard/switcl	ning A.	Spec. MoW	Equip. Code 81.	Was Equipr	nent Co	ode 82	. Train Nun	nber/Symbol			
Consist (single en	<i>try</i>) 2.1	Passenger	train	5. Sin	gle car 8.	Light loco(s).	Speer mon	Liquip: coue	Attended?			NT/A				
3. Commuter train 6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.car								n a a d a (a) 41	N/A	1. Yes 2	2. No	otaly Con	IN/A	motivo?			
R - Recorded greed, if available) Code 85. Method(s) of Operation (enter R - Recorded greed, if available) Code 85. Method(s) of Operation (enter R - Recorded greed gre								olock ⁿ	n.Special instructions		0 = Not a	remotely	controlled	mouve:			
E - Estimated	N/A	MPH	0	b.	Auto train c	ontrol h.	Current of the	raffic ⁿ	. Other than main tra	ck	1 = Remo	ote contro	l portable				
1 c. Auto train stop i. Time table/t 84. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, d. Cab i. Track warrantee								rain orders (p. Other (Specify in)	ol parrative)	2 = Remo 3 = Remo	te control	l tower l				
excluding powe	excluding power units) e. Traffic k. Direct traffi								Code(s)		transmit	ter - more	e than one				
		N/A		f.	Interlocking	1.Y	ard limits		N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A	remote c	ontrol tra	nsmitter	N/A			
86. Principal Car/Un	and N	umber	b. Positi	on in Train	c. Load	led(yes/no)	87. If railroad empl	oyee(s) test	ed for drug	g/alcohol	use,						
(1) First involved (described structure) 0					0		N/A	enter the numb	er that were box.	e positive i	n	Alcohol	Drugs				
(2) Causing (<i>if mechanical</i>					0		N/A	88. Was this const	ist transport	ing passen	gers? (Y/	N)					
cause reported)					<u> </u>			1						10/24			
89. Locomotive Uni	its	a. Head End	b. Ma	Mid T anual	rain c. Remote	Rea d. Manual	c. Remote	90. Cars		Lo a. Freight	b. Pass.	c. Freigl	mpty nt d. Pass.	e. Caboose			
(1) Total in Train	n	0		0	0	0	0	(1) Total in	n Equipment Consist	0	0	0	0	0			
(2) Total Deraile	ed	0		0	0	0	0	(2) Total E	Derailed	0	0	0	0	0			
91. Equipment Dama	age		-	92. Tra	ck, Signal, V	Vay,		93. Primar	y Cause Code		94. Contr	ributing C	Cause	1			
This Consist		& St	ructure Dam	age	\$0.00	N/A Code N/A											
05.5.	06 5	Numbe	r of Cı	rew Me	mbers	08 Pro1	aman	Length of Time on Duty									
95. Engineer/ Operators	96. Fire	omen 0		97. C	0	onductors 98. Brakemen			99. Engineer/Operator				100. Conductor Hrs 0 Mi 0				
Coquelties to:	101 Rail	road Emp	U IIII		Train	103 Oth	ber	104 FOT			105 Was EOT Davias Pro			lv			
Fatal	101. Kan		ioyees	102.	0	105.00	103. Other		1. Yes 2. No N/A 1. Yes 2. No								
Nonfotol				_					106. Caboose Occupied by Crew?								
inomatal		0	••	Ļ	0		U	1. Yes 2. No N/A									
107	Highway User Involved									Rail Equipment Involved							
C. Truck-T	Frailer. F	. Bus	J	. Other	Motor Vehi	cle	Code		3.Train	(standing)	6.Light	Loco(s) ((moving)	Code			
A. Auto D. Pick-U B. Truck E. Van	A. Auto D. Pick-Up Truck G. School Bus K. Pedestrian B. Truck E. Van H. Motorcycle M. Other (spec in parrative) A							1. Train(units pulling) 4. Car(s) (moving) 7. Light(s) (standing) 2. Train(units pushing) 5. Car(s) (standing) 8. Other (spacify in parrative)									
108. Vehicle Speed	-		109.		geographi	cal)	Code	112. Position of Car Unit in									
(est. MPH at impact) 40 1.North 2.South 3.East 4.West 3									1								

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File # HQ-2008-91 FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File # HQ-2008-91												<u>91</u>			
110. Position Code 113. Circumstance													Code		
1.Stalled on Crossing 2.Stopped on Crossing 3.Moving Over Crossing 1. Rail Equipment Struck Highway User 2. Rail Equipment Struck by Highway User													1		
114a. Was the highway user and/or rail equipment involved Code 114b. Was there a hazardous materials release													Code		
In the impact transporting hazardous materials?												4			
1. righway User 2. Kan Equipment 5. Both 4. Netther 114c State here the name and quantity of the bazardous materials released if any															
N/A															
115. Type 1.Gates 4.Wig Wags 7.Crossbucks 10.Flagged by crew 116. Signaled Crossing Code 117. Whistle Ban Crossing 2.Cantilever FLS 5.Hwy. traffic signals 8.Stop signs 11.Other (spec. in narr.) (See instructions for codes) 1. Yes Warning 3.Standard FLS 6.Audible 9.Watchman 12.None 2. No												Code			
Code(s)	01	N/A	N	Í/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A 01 3. Unknown						2	
118. Location of Warning Code 119. Crossing Warning Code 1. Both Sides with Highway Signals 2. Side of Vabiale Approach 1 Yes										12	20. Crossing Illuminated by Street Lights or Special Lights 1. Yes				
3. Opposite Side of Vehicle Approach 1 3. U									2 2. No 3. Unknown					2	
121. Age	121. 122. Driver's Gender Code 123. Driver Drove Behind or in Front of and Struck or was Struck by Second Train 124. Driver 1. Male 1. Male 125. Driver Drove Behind or in Front of and Struck or was Struck by Second Train 124. Driver 1. Male 1. Male 1. Drove around or thru the Gate 4. Stopped on Cross 2. No 2. No 3. Ukknown 2. Stopped and then Proceeded 5. Other (specify in the second train)										 4. Stopped on Crossing 5. Other (specify in 	Code			
21	2. 1 0		1					2	3. Did	not S	top		narrative)	1	
125. Driver Pa	ssed	Cod	e 12	6. Viev	w of Track O	bscured by	(primary ob	struction)	•					Code	
Highway V 1. Yes 2. No	ehicle 3. Unknown	2		1. Pe 2. St	ermanent Str tanding Railr	ucture oad Equipr	3. Passi nent 4. Topo	ng Train 5. graphy 6. l	Vegetation Highway Veh	icle	7. Other (s 8. Not obstru	<i>pecify in r</i> icted	narrative)	N/A	
Casualties	to:	ed	Injured	127. Driv 1. Killeo	7. Driver Code . Killed 2.Injured 3. Uninjured 1				128. Was D	Driver in th es	ne Vehicle? 2. No	Code 1			
129. Highway-Rail Crossing Users 3 1						130. Higl (est.	hway Vehicle dollar damag	Property Da	Property Damage 2000			131. Total Number of Highway-Rail Crossing (include driver) 4			
132. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights? Code 133. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights Operational?												Code			
1. Yes 2. No							1 1. Yes 2. No					1			
134. Locomot	ive Headlight I	lluminate	d?				Code	135. Locor	notive Audib	le Wa	rning Sounde	d?		Code	
1. Y	es	2.	No				1	1.	Yes		2. No			1	

136. DRAW A SKETCH OF ACCIDENT AREA INCLUDING ALL TRACKS, SIGNALS, SWITCHES, STRUCTURES, OBJECTS, ETC., INVOLVED.

Sorry, the report can not display the file.

137. SYNOPSIS OF THE ACCIDENT

On November 28, 2008, at 7:03 p.m., northward Amtrak (ATK) Passenger Train PO92-98 struck an eastbound vehicle at the East Lancaster Road, highway-rail grade crossing. The accident occurred in Orange County, Florida (FL) near the community of Edgewood. The Single Main Track at this location is owned and maintained by CSX Transportation (CSX) and located at milepost (MP) A795.57 on the Sanford Subdivision, Jacksonville Division. The method of operation is by a Traffic Control System (TCS).

The automobile had a total of four occupants. The automobile driver and two passengers were killed as a result of the crash. The fourth passenger in the automobile was critically injured. The Train, PO92-28, consisted of two locomotives and 10 rail passenger cars. There were four crew members, and 186 passengers and service employees on board ATK Passenger Train PO-92-28. There were no injuries to the train crew members or passengers. Amtrak's lead locomotive sustained \$1,000 in damages, there were no track, or signal damages reported. The highway vehicle sustained \$2,000 in damages, and completely destroyed. A third vehicle, properly parked adjacent to the track was struck by debris sustained \$6,000 in damages.

The weather was dark and the sky was clear with a temperature of 62° F.

The probable cause of the accident is the highway user deliberately disregarded crossing warning devices.

138. NARRATIVE

CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT

On November 28, 2008, Amtrak Passenger Train PO92-28 changed crews at the assigned location in Tampa, Florida (FL). The train consisted of two locomotives with 10 passenger coach cars. The crew consisted of a locomotive engineer, qualifying assistant engineer, conductor, and assistant conductor. The crew was regularly assigned to this particular train, with the exception of the assistant conductor. The assistant conductor was an extra board employee who was transported to Tampa, FL the day before the trip for purposes of receiving the required rest. All four crew members were familiar with this track segment. The crew reported for duty at the assigned time at 4:35 p.m. and departed Tampa at 5:33 p.m. The Assistant Engineer was seated on the right (east) side of the lead locomotive cab at the controls. The Engineer was seated on the left (west) side of the locomotive. He was manning the radio and observing the train operation. The conductor was in the baggage car preparing for the next passenger stop, and the assistant conductor was in the eighth passenger car checking passengers.

The track in the accident area is a single main track which is tangent through the highway-rail grade crossing. There is a 1 degree curve about 300 feet south of the highway-rail grade crossing. The grade of the track is practically level. The CSX timetable direction of the train is north. The geographical direction is north. Timetable direction is used throughout this report.

THE ACCIDENT:

ATK PASSENGER TRAIN PO92-28:

ATK Passenger Train PO92-28 was being operated at 58 mph approaching East Lancaster Road. The train crew's view of the crossing was un-obstructed. The assistant engineer said he sounded the horn for East Lancaster Road and out of the corner of his eye he could see a vehicle traveling east on East Lancaster Road at a high rate of speed. He observed the car go over the median of the road, around the gates and in front of the train. He simultaneously initiated an emergency train air brake application about the same time as the

highway vehicle impacted the train. The engineer confirmed the assistant engineer's eye witness account.

On November 28, 2008, at 7:03 p.m., northward Amtrak (ATK) Passenger Train PO92-98 struck an eastbound vehicle at the East Lancaster Road, highway-rail grade crossing. The accident occurred in Orange County, (FL) near the community of Edgewood. The Single Main Track at this location is owned and maintained by CSX Transportation (CSX) and located at milepost (MP) A795.57 on the Sanford Subdivision, Jacksonville Division. The method of operation is by a Traffic Control System (TCS). The automobile had a total of four occupants. The automobile driver and two passengers were killed as a result of the crash. The fourth passenger in the automobile was critically injured. ATK Passenger Train PO92-28 consisted of two locomotives and 10 rail passenger cars. There were four crew members and 186 passengers and service employees on board ATK Passenger Train PO92-28. There were no injuries to the train crew members or passengers. Amtrak's lead locomotive sustained \$1,000 in damages; there were no track or signal damages reported. The highway vehicle sustained \$2,000 in damages and was completely destroyed. A third vehicle, properly parked adjacent to the track, was struck by debris and sustained approximately \$6,000 in damages.

The weather was dark and the sky was clear with a temperature of 62° F.

The probable cause of the accident is the highway user deliberately disregarded crossing warning devices.

The speed was recorded by the event recorder of both locomotives. The maximum authorized speed for the trains was 60 mph, as designated in the current CSX Timetable No.5. The conductor stated that he could hear the horn from his location and the emergency brake application. The assistant conductor said that he could not hear the horn from his location back in the passenger car, but he heard the emergency brake application. Both the conductor and the assistant conductor stated that the stop was very smooth. They commented that most of the passengers were not even aware that anything had occurred. The train stopped approximately 1,150 feet from the point of impact. The rear of the train stopped approximately 300 feet north of the point of impact.

HIGHWAY VEHICLE-1994 BUICK:

The automobile was traveling east to west on East Lancaster road. According to the assistant engineer, the driver never attempted to stop at the crossing. A report filed by the Florida Highway Patrol (FHP) estimated the driver was operating the vehicle at about 40 mph when the collision occurred. The posted speed limit is 40 mph. The point of impact was in the median lane of the highway-rail grade crossing surface. The impact occurred in the center of the crossing with the front area of the Locomotive making contact on the passenger side of the vehicle. The vehicle was thrown northwest from the crossing into the parking lot of a business located at 711 East Lancaster Road. The vehicle made contact with the ground one time as it entered the parking lot, then struck the right side of a parked truck in the parking lot. The vehicle continued to a final stop on its right side and roof facing north-east, about 176 feet from the initial point of impact. The driver and right rear occupant were ejected from the vehicle.

After the train stopped, both the locomotive engineer and assistant locomotive engineer remained on the locomotive; the engineer made an emergency transmission over the radio to the CSX dispatcher. The engineer said he could see the Emergency Medical Responders (EMS) arriving even before he had completed his call to the Dispatcher. The Conductor said he sent the Assistant Conductor back to the accident scene to assist, while he checked the head end crew members for injuries, and the train for damages. The Conductor determined that no one on the train was injured. The Conductor then walked back to the accident scene, and sent the Assistant Conductor back to take control of the train until his return.

Emergency Medical Services (EMS) were notified at 7:04 p.m. and arrived at the scene at 7:07 p.m. The Florida Highway Patrol Officer was notified at 7:10 p.m. and arrived at 7:33 p.m. One of the occupants (the right rear passenger) of the automobile was pronounced dead at the scene. Three of the occupants were transported to Orlando Regional Medical Center where two of them (the driver and the right front vehicle passenger) were pronounced dead shortly after their arrival. The fourth occupant (the left rear passenger) was treated and later released. The train and crew were released to proceed and continue to Jacksonville Florida.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS:

ANALYSIS - TOXICOLOGICAL TESTING:

The automobile involved was a 1994 Buick, mid-sized four door sedan. The driver was a 21-year-old male. The three passengers were two men, ages 22 and 28 and one women age 19. According to FHP, Traffic Homicide Investigator, a toxicological test was performed on the driver and the results were negative. The FHP initiated a homicide investigation into the accident. There were no toxicological tests performed on the train crew. FRA does not require such testing for this type of accident.

CONCLUSION:

Intoxication was not a casual factor in the accident.

ANALYSIS - HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING:

East Lancaster Road is a blacktop four lane county road with a 14-foot painted center median. The road runs east and west. The road intersects the track at an approximate 90 degree angle. East Lancaster dead-ends at South Orange Avenue, about 65 feet east of the Highway-Rail Grade Crossing. There is an advance warning sign posted about 257 feet from the crossing identifying the railroad tracks ahead. There is another advance warning sign posted about 158' from the crossing identifying a distance of 65 feet between tracks & highway. Pavement markings are clearly distinguishable. The active crossing warning system is a Phase Motion Detector (PMD-3) and a Hawk event recorder. The event recorder showed a total warning time of 33 seconds prior to the train arriving at the highway-rail grade crossing with the gates reaching the down position 17 seconds before the train arrived at the crossing. The active warning devices were tested by a CSX signal maintainer on the day of the accident, and found to function as intended. FRA Signal and Train Control Inspector (S&TC) inspected the cross devices on December 4, 2008 with no exception noted. A witness at the scene stated to the Florida Highway Patrol that the vehicle tried to make it across the tracks in front of the train by going around the gates, and that the gates were down and the lights were flashing.

CONCLUSION:

The crossing is in relatively good condition including the pavement and markings. The warning devices functioned as intended.

ANALYSIS - LOCOMOTIVE SAFETY DEVICES:

The lead locomotive was equipped with a headlight, auxiliary lights, and an audible warning device required by Federal regulations and functioned as intended.

CONCLUSION:

The locomotive safety devices were in full compliance with the Federal requirements.

ANALYSIS - LOCOMOTIVE ENGINEER OPERATING PERFORMANCE:

The locomotive was equipped with a speed indicator and an event recorder as required. The relevant even recorder data was downloaded by Amtrak at the accident site, and analyzed.

CONCLUSION:

The analysis of the event recorder data concluded that the engineer was in compliance with all applicable railroad operating and train handling requirements.

ANALYSIS - FATIGUE:

FRA uses an overall effectiveness rate of 77.5 percent as the baseline for fatigue analysis, which is equivalent to blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.05. At or above this baseline, we do not consider fatigue as probable for any employee. Software sleep settings vary according to information obtained from each employee. If an employee does not provide sleep information, FRA uses the default software settings. FRA obtained fatigue related information, including a 10-day work history, for the employees involved in the accident.

CONCLUSION:

FRA concluded that fatigue was not probable for any of the crew members assigned to this train.

PROBABLE CAUSE AND CONTRBUTING FACTORS:

The accident occurred because the driver of the automobile failed to stop at the highway-rail crossing, as required by State of Florida highway traffic laws. The driver of the vehicle deliberately disregarded crossing

warning devices required by State of Florida highway traffic laws. The driver of the vehicle deliberately disregarded crossing warning d