

Federal Railroad Administration Office of Safety Headquarters Assigned Accident Investigation Report HQ-2008-95

Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Devon, MT December 18, 2008

Note that 49 U.S.C. §20903 provides that no part of an accident or incident report made by the Secretary of Transportation/Federal Railroad Administration under 49 U.S.C. §20902 may be used in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report.

DEPARTMENT OF FEDERAL RAILR	OF TRA ROAD A	ANSPORT DMINIST	TATIO RATIO	ON ON	FRAFA	ACT	ſUA	L RAI	LR	OAD A	CCIDE	NT R	EPOF	T.	I	FRA Fi	le #	<u>HQ-200</u>	18-95	
1.Name of Railroad C		1a. Alphabetic Code					1b.	1b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.												
BNSF Rwy Co. [BN 2.Name of Railroad O		2a. Alphabetic Code					2b. 1	MT1208103 2b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.												
BNSF Rwy Co. [BN		BNSF					-	MT1208103												
N/A		Sa. Alphabetic Code N/A					3b.	b. Raılroad Accident/Incident No. N/A												
4.Name of Railroad R BNSE Rwy Co. [BN	4a. Alphabetic Code BNSF					4b.	4b. Railroad Accident/Incident No.													
5. U.S. DOT_AAR G	rade Cro	ssing Ident	ificatio	n Nur	nber				6. l	Date of Acc	ident/Inci	dent		7. 1	. Time of Accident/Incident					
9 T		1 Derail	nent		4 6:1	- 11'- '			Month 12 Day 18 Year 2008						10:25: AM PM					
(single entry in code box) 2. Head on collision 5. Raking collision										8. RR grade crossing 11. Fire/violent rupture (describe in								Code		
	3. Rear end collision 6. Broken Train							rain collision 9. Obstruction 12. Other impa					pacts		09					
9. Cars Carrying HAZMAT		10. HAZMAT Cars Damaged/Derailed					11. Cars Rele HAZMAT			sing		12. People Evacuated			13. Div			I		
	0				0	15 Milepost				0				17	0			Montana	L	
14. Nearest City/Town	n	Devon			(to nearest to			earest te	(nth)) Abbr			Code	1/	. County	TOOLE				
18 Temperature (F)		19 Visih	oility	(sing	gle entry)	entry) Code 20 y			eather (single entry)						21 Type of Trac				Code	
(specify if minus)		1.1	Dawn	3.D	usk					ar 3. Ra	in 5.Sl	5.Sleet			1. Main 3. S			ng		
-7	F	2	Day	4.1	.Dark 2 2					loudy 4. Fog 6.Snow			Densit	2	2. Ya	ard 4. Industry				
22. Track Name/Nut			23.	Class	таск s (1-9, X)	Code	24. Annual Track Density (gross tons in			у	25. Time Table Direction 1. North 3. East			. East	Code				
			IVI2	1111						5	milli	ons)	7	1.01		2. Sout	h 4.	West	3	
	. 1	E 11.		4 117	1	V	1/	OPER.	ATI	NG TRA	IN #1	C 1	127 W	- Equir	mont C		20.1	т : N	1 (0 1 1	
26. Type of Equipment 1. Freight train 4. Work train 7. Yard/switching Consist (single entry) 2. Passenger train 5. Single car 8. Light loco(c)									A.	Spec. Mov	V Equip.	Code	Z7. Wa	ended?	ed?			I'rain Nur	nber/Symbol	
3. Commuter train 6. Cut of cars 9. Maint./inspect.car												9	1	. Yes	2. No 1 BNSF17561				17561	
29. Speed (recorded speed, if available) Code 31. Method(s) of Operation (enter code(s) that apply) 31a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?												omotive?								
K - Kecorded a. ATCS g. Autom										olock raffic	n. Other t	han mai	in track		1 = Remote control portable					
20 Trailing Tops (gross toppage) . Auto train control in Curlent of train control i. Time table/train ord										rain orders	o. Positiv	e train	control		2 = Remo	ote cont	rol to	ower		
excluding power units) d. Cab j.Track v excluding power units) d. Cab j.Track v										t control c control	p. Ouler	(Specif Code(s	y in nar. s)	rative)	s = Remo	tter - m	ore tl	han one		
$N/A = \begin{bmatrix} N/A \\ I. Interlocking \\ I. Yard limits \\ e \\ N/A \\$												mitter	0							
32. Principal Car/Unit	t	a. Initial	and Nu	mber	b. Positio	on in	Train	c. L	load	ed(yes/no)	33. If ra	ilroad e	mployee	e(s) test	ed for drug	/alcoho	l use	·,	I	
(1) First involved (derailed struck e	1		1				yes	ent the	er the n approp	umber tl riate bo:	nat were	e positive ii	n	F	Alcohol	Drugs				
(2) Causing (if med	0					N	J/A	34. W	as this c	onsist ti	ansport	sporting passengers? (Y/N)								
cause reported)					id Train Rear				1	36 Cars			Lo	aded		Emp	oty	N		
55. Locomotive Onit		End	b. Mar	nual	c. Remote	d. M	[anual	c. Ren	note	50. Cars			a.	Freight	b. Pass.	c. Frei	ght	d. Pass.	e. Caboose	
(1) Total in Train	ı	0	()	0		0	0		(1) Total	in Equipn	nent Co	nsist	0	0	0	,	0	0	
(2) Total Derailed	d	0	()	0		0	0		(2) Total	Derailed			0	0	0	,	0	0	
37. Equipment Dama	ige		3	8. Tra	ick, Signal, V	Way,				39. Prima	ry Cause				40. Contr	ributing	Cau	ise		
This Consist		\$50,000.00		& Stru	ucture Dama	ge		\$0.00		Code			H402		Code		,		N/A	
41. Engineer/	42. Fir	emen		43. Co	onductors	4	4. Bra	kemen		45. Engir	neer/Opera	ator	Le	ngtn or	of Time on Duty 46. Conductor					
Operators 1	Operators $1 \qquad 0 \qquad 0$					0			Hrs 3 Mi 25				25	Hrs 0 Mi 0				Mi 0		
Casualties to:	47. Railr	road Emplo	yees 4	8. Tra	U 0 . Train Passengers 49. Other				50. EOT Device?					51. Was EOT Device Properly Armed?						
Fatal		0			0 0				1. Yes 2. No N/A					A	1. Yes 2. No N/A					
										52. Caboose Occupied by Crew?										
Nonratai		0			0			0			1. Yes			2. No					IN/A	
							OP	PERAT	'INO	G TRAIN	#2									
53. Type of Equipmen	nt = 1.	Freight tra Passenger	ın train	4. Wo 5. Sin	ork train 7. Igle car 8	Yard Ligh	1/swite nt loco	ching (s).	A.	Spec. MoW	V Equip.	Code	54. Wa Att	s Equip ended?	ment C	ode	55. 1	ſrain Nun	nber/Symbol	
Consist (single en	3.	Commuter	train	6. Cu	t of cars 9.	Main	nt./ins	pect.car				1	1	. Yes	2. No	1		QCHCPTL313		
56. Speed (recorded a	speed, if	available)	Code	58	. Method(s)	of Op	peratio	on (e	enter code(s) that apply)						58a. Remotely Controlled Locomotive?					
R - Recorded a. ATCS g. Auton E - Estimated 58 MPH R b. Auto train control h. Currer									atic blockm.Special instructionst of trafficn. Other than main track						0 = Not a remotely controlled 1 = Remote control portable					
1				1											1					

DEPARTMENT FEDERAL RAILR	OF TRAI	NSPORT DMINIST	TATIO RATI	ON ION	FRA FA	CTUAI	LRAILR	OAD AC	CCID	ENT RE	PORT	F	FRA Fil	le # <u>HQ</u> -	2008	<u>8-95</u>
57. Trailing Tons (gross tonnage, excluding power units) 4151					Auto train Cab Traffic	stop ^{i. '} j.T k.	Time table/ti Track warran Direct traffi	rain orders of it control l c control	ive train co r (Specify i Code(s)	2 = Remote control tower 3 = Remote control transmitter - more than one remote control transmitter						
				f.	Interlocking	1. Y	ard limits		e	N/A N/A	N/A N/A					0
59. Principal Car/Unit a. Initial and Nu					b. Positio	n in Train	c. Load	led(yes/no)	60. I	f railroad ei	nployee(s) tes	sted for drug/alcohol use,				Denas
(1) First involved (derailed, struck, etc) BNSF4998				8	1			yes	the appropriate box.			N/A			A	N/A
(2) Causing (<i>if mechanical</i> cause reported) 0			0		0		1		61. Was this consist transpor			ting passengers? (Y/N)			N	
62. Locomotive Units a. Head End b. Ma				Mid T anual 1	rain c. Remote	Rea 1. Manual	ur End c. Remote	63. Cars			Lo a. Freight	baded b. Pass.	c. Frei	Empty ght d. Pa	ss.	e. Caboose
(1) Total in Train		2		0	0	0	0	(1) Total in Equipment Consist 51			0	0	0		0	
(2) Total Deraile	d	0		0	0	0	0	(2) Total Derailed 0			0	0	0	C	,	0
64. Equipment Dama	age			65. Tra	5. Track, Signal, Way,			66. Prima	ry Cau	se	67. Cont	67. Contributing Cause				
This Consist	\$	51,500.00	rofC	& S1	tructure Dam	age	\$0.00	Code		Code	Code N/A					
68 Engineer/	69 Fire	men		70. Co	onductors	71. Bra	kemen	72 Engin	eer/On	erator	Lengui oi	11me on Duty				
Operators 1	1 0			, 0. 00	1	/	0		Hrs 2 Mi 25				Hrs 2 M			
Casualties to:	74. Railro	oad Emplo	oyees '	75. Tra	in Passengers	76. Oth	76. Other		77. EOT Device?			78. Was EOT Device Pro				Armed?
Fatal		0			0		0							2.100		
Nonfatal		0			0		0	79. Caboo	es					N/A		
						0	OPERATIN		IG TRAIN #3							
80. Type of Equipment 1. Freight train 4. Work train 7. Yard/switching A. Spec. MoW Equip. Code 81. Was Equipment Code 82. Train Number/Symbol																
Consist (single en	try) 2. H	Passenger Commuter	train train	5. Sing	gle car 8. I	Light loco	(s). Dect car	N/A 1. Yes 2. No N/A N/A								
83. Speed (recorded	speed, if a	vailable)	Code	e 85.	Method(s) of	f Operatio	n (ente	r code(s) th	hat ap	ply)		85a. Rem	otely Co	ontrolled I	Locor	notive?
R - Recorded a. ATCS g. Automatic block									n.Spec	al instruction	ons track	0 = Not a	remote	ly control	led	
E - Estimated	E - Estimated N/A MPH N/A b. Auto train control h. Current of the second									ive train co	ntrol	1 = Remo 2 = Remo	ote cont	rol portab rol tower	le	
84. Trailing Tons	gross ton	nage,		d.	Cab	j.1	rack warran	t control 1	p. Othe	r (Specify i	n narrative)	3 = Remo	ote cont	rol		
excluding powe		e.	Traffic	k.	Direct traffi	c control		Code(s)		transmit	tter - mo	ore than or ransmitter	ne			
N/A t. Interlocking I. Y and limits N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A												IN/A				
86. Principal Car/Unit a. Initial and Nu					b. Positio	n in Train	c. Load	led(yes/no)	ployee(s) test	ed for drug e positive i	g/alcoho	ol use,	abol	Drugo		
(1) First involved (derailed, struck,	etc)	N/A			N	/A		N/A		he appropri	ate box.	e positive i		N	A/A	N/A
(2) Causing (if mechanical N/A				N	A]	N/A	88.	Was this co	nsist transpor	ting passengers? (Y/N) N/A					
89. Locomotive Uni	ts	a. Head		Mid T	ain Rea		ur End	90. Cars	1				loaded E			
		End b. Man		nual c. Remote d		d. Manual	c. Remote			a. F		b. Pass.	c. Frei	ght d. Pa	ss.	e. Caboose
(1) Total in Train	n	N/A	N	I∕A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(1) Total in Equipment Consist			st N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A
(2) Total Deraile	d	N/A	N	//A	N/A	N/A	N/A	(2) Total E	Deraileo	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\	N/A
91. Equipment Dama	age	NI/A		92. Tra	ck, Signal, W	/ay,	NI/A	93. Primar	93. Primary Cause Code 94. Contributing Cause							NT/A
		Numbe	r of Ci	& St rew Me	mbers	ige	IN/A	Length of Time on Duty								N/A
95. Engineer/	96. Fire	men		97. C	onductors	98. Bra	kemen	99. Engineer/Operator 100. Conductor								
Operators N/A	1	N/A			N/A	1	N/A	Hrs N/A Mi N/A Hrs N/A Mi						Mi N/A		
Casualties to:	101. Rail	road Emp	loyees	102.	Train	103. Ot	her	104. EOT				105. Was EOT Device Properly				/
Fatal		N/A			N/A]	N/A		1. Yes 2. No N/A 1. Yes 2. No N 106 Caboose Occupied by Crew?							N/A
Nonfatal			N/A	N/A	1. Yes 2. No N/A											
	olved	Rail Equipment Involved														
107.	Trailer -	. D.		04	Motor V 1	1.	Code	111. Equipment								
A. Auto D. Pick-Uj	p Truck C	. Bus 3. School l	J Bus J	. Other K. Pede	strian	ne		5.1rain (standing) 0.Lignt Loco(s) (moving) 1.Train(units pulling) 4.Car(s) (moving) 7.Light(s) (standing)						1		
B. Truck E. Van	B. Truck E. Van H. Motorcycle M						N/A	2.Train(units pushing) 5.Car(s) (standing) 8.Other (specify in narrative) N/A						N/A		
108. Vehicle Speed 109. geographical) Code (est. MPH at impact) N/A 1.North 2.South 3.East 4.West N/A									112. Position of Car Unit in N/A							

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File # HQ-2008-95 FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT FRA File # HQ-2008-95												.95		
110. Position	110. Position Code 113. Circumstance													
1.Stalled on Crossing 2.Stopped on Crossing 3.Moving Over Crossing 1. Rail Equipment Struck Highway User 4. Trapped N/A													N/A	
114a. Was the	114a. Was the highway user and/or rail equipment involved Code 114b. Was there a hazardous materials release													
in the impact transporting hazardous materials?												N/A		
1. Highway User 2. Kail Equipment 3. Both 4. Neither 1974 1. Highway User 2. Kail Equipment 5. Doin 4. Neither														
114c. State here the name and quantity of the hazardous materials released, if any. N/A														
115. Type 1.Gates 4 Wig Wags 7.Crossbucks 10.Flagged by crew 116. Signaled Crossing Code 117 Whistle Ban													Code	
Crossing 2.Cantilever FLS 5.Hwy. traffic signals 8.Stop signs 10.the geo of control of the signal of the si														
Code(s)	N/A	A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A A N/A A A A A								3. Unknown	N/A			
118. Location of Warning Code 119. Crossing Warning Code 120. Crossing Illuminated by Street 1 Both Sides with Highway Signals Lights or Special Lights												Code		
2. Side of	Vehicle Approa	ach					1. Yes	1. Yes						
3. Opposit	e Side of Vehic	ele Appro	bach		N/A		2. No 3. Unknown		N/A 2. No 3. Unknown				N/A	
121.	122. Driver's	Gender	Code	123.	Driver Drov	ve Behind o	or in Front of	Code	124. Driv	er			Code	
Age	1. Male			-	and Struck o	r was Struc	k by Second	Train	1. Drov	e around or the	hru the Gate	4. Stopped on Crossing		
N/A	2. Female	e	N/A		1. Yes	2. No	3. Unknow	n N/A	2. Stop] 3. Did 1	ot Stop	Proceeded	5. Other (specify in narrative)	N/A	
125. Driver Pa	ssed	Cod	e 12	6. Viev	w of Track C	bscured by	(primary ob	struction)					Code	
Highway V	ehicle			1. Pe	ermanent Str	ucture	Passi	ng Train 5. '	Vegetation	7. Othe	r (specify in	narrative)		
1. Yes 2. No	3. Unknown	N/.	A	2. St	tanding Railı	oad Equipi	ment 4. Topo	graphy 6. l	Highway Veh	cle 8. Not o	obstructed		N/A	
Casualties to: Killed Injured Injured I 27. Driver Code 128									e 128. V A	Vas Driver in t 1. Yes	the Vehicle? 2. No	N/A		
129. Highway-Rail Crossing Users N/A N/A							130. Highway Vehicle Property Damage (cst. dollar.damage) N/A (include driver)					of Highway-Rail Crossin) N/A	g Users	
132. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights? Code 133. Locomotive Auxiliary Lights Operational?											Code			
1. Yes 2. No							N/A 1. Yes 2. No					N/A		
134. Locomot	ive Headlight I	lluminat	ed?				Code	135. Locor	notive Audibl	e Warning So	ounded?		Code	
1. Yes 2. No N/A 1. Yes									2. N	2. No				

<To Devon Teton Shelby Dunkirk Devon Lothair Chester Buelow Station Mile Post 1068.4 1065.4 1056.3 1047.6 1047.6 1035.6 1022.9 1015.8 Point of impact Mile post 1042.8 Train/Hirail Collision 12/18/2008 1043 Devon, Montana Not to Scale Hi rail 17561 Q CHCPTL3 13A MP 1042 Galata road 088043N To Lothair >

136. DRAW A SKETCH OF ACCIDENT AREA INCLUDING ALL TRACKS, SIGNALS, SWITCHES, STRUCTURES, OBJECTS, ETC., INVOLVED.

137. SYNOPSIS OF THE ACCIDENT

Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) Freight Train Q-CHCPTL3-13A collided with a BNSF hi-rail vehicle at mile post 1042.8 on the BNSF Montana Division, Hi Line Subdivision at 10:25 a.m. December 18, 2008. The BNSF train dispatcher and track inspector conducted a job briefing with each other, but failed to communicate the intentions and assignment of the track inspector. The track inspector asked the train dispatcher for a track and time authority permit to occupy the Main Track from Devon, Montana eastward. The dispatcher issued the authority from Devon westward. The track and time authority permit was issued and read back correctly, but the track inspector placed his hi-rail vehicle on the Main Track at Devon and traveled eastward instead of westward. The operator of the hi-rail vehicle was operating outside the limits of his authority.

There were no injuries, no evacuation, and no hazardous materials were released.

The hi-rail vehicle was completely destroyed. Estimated damage was \$50,000. The controlling locomotive on BNSF Train QCHCPTL3-13A sustained \$1,500 in damage but was able to continue to a repair point.

At the time of the accident it was daylight, 7 degrees, with approximately a 20 mph wind. Blowing snow limited visibility to approximately one half mile.

The hi-rail vehicle was equipped with a functional Hi-Rail Limits Compliance System (HLCS) but it was not activated.

The probable cause of the accident was H402 "motor car or on-track equipment rules, failure to comply." The track inspector was operating his hi-rail vehicle outside the limits of his authority.

A contributing factor is the track inspector's failure to use HLCS which is installed in BNSF hi-rail vehicles. This system operates with GPS technology and interaction with the train dispatcher. It sounds an alert when a hi-rail vehicle travels beyond its Main Track Authority. If this system would have been enabled by the track inspector, the accident would have been prevented. Even though BNSF has a rule requiring the system to be used, it is commonly known that employees do not use it.

138. NARRATIVE

CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT

HI-RAIL VEHICLE:

Following an off duty period of approximately 7 hours, a track inspector reported for duty at the Maintenance of Way (MOW) office in Shelby, Montana, at 7 a.m. MST December 18, 2008. The track inspector participated in a conference call and job briefing with the road-master. He then drove his personal vehicle 65 miles east to Chester, Montana, where he changed vehicles to a BNSF one ton, two wheel drive hi-rail truck. The track inspector then drove the hi-rail truck approximately 25 miles back west to Devon. His assignment was to inspect track eastward from Devon to Pacific Junction (near Havre, Montana) a distance of approximately 83 miles.

At 9:48 a.m. the track inspector called the Havre West train dispatcher located in Fort Worth, Texas, and requested track and time authority to occupy the track between the switches at Devon and eastward. The train dispatcher issued track and time permit number 243-62 to the track inspector with authority from the East Siding Switch at Devon to the Eastward Control Signal at Dunkirk until 10:20 a.m. Dunkirk is the first station west of Devon, approximately 9 miles. The track inspector placed the hi-rail truck on the main track at Devon and proceeded eastward instead of westward.

BNSF TRAIN Q-CHCPTL3-13A:

A crew consisting of an engineer and conductor went on duty at Havre at 8:00 a.m. to operate westward BNSF train Q-CHCPTL3-13A from Havre westward to Whitefish, Montana, approximately 257 miles. The crew had been off duty for 25 hours and 50 minutes, which is in excess of the required statutory off duty rest period prior to reporting for this assignment. The crew gathered the necessary paperwork, had a job briefing,

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

completed the required train air brake test, and departed Havre at 8:40 a.m. The trip was uneventful from Havre to Lothair, Montana. The train departed Lothair westbound operating on a clear signal indication. The engineer was at the controls of the lead locomotive, and the conductor was in the back seat on the conductor's side of the locomotive cab (south side). As the train began to traverse the curve at mile post 1042 the engineer noticed the hi-rail truck. Since the train was in a curve the engineer could not ascertain if the hi-rail truck was on the main track, so he did not take immediate action.

Approaching the accident site from the west to east, starting at mile post 1044, the track is a 1-degree 25minute curve to the left for approximately 450 feet. Then the track is tangent for approximately one mile to the point of impact. Continuing eastward, the track remains tangent for approximately another 600 feet and then into a 1-degree 5-minute curve to the left for approximately 3/4 mile. From the west, the track is ascending at a grade of 0.85 to milepost 1043.2 and descends at 1-percent to the point of impact and on to milepost 1042.2. The grade then ascends at 0.79 percent to and beyond mile 1041.

THE ACCIDENT

The hi-rail vehicle continued eastward instead of westward, and the operator saw the train as he was traversing a segment of tangent track just east of mile post 1043. He was traveling approximately 45 mph. Upon observing the train he slowed to approximately 10 mph. and exited the vehicle. He ran to the north side of the track. As the track inspector ran, he heard the train impact the hi-rail vehicle.

The first indication to the engineer that the hi-rail truck was on the Main Track was when he saw the track inspector exit the vehicle. The engineer yelled a warning to the conductor, placed the train air brakes in emergency, and started to get on the floor. He realized he should have activated emergency braking from the rear of the train as well as from the controlling locomotive, and returned to the control stand. He activated a rear end emergency application of the train air brakes, returned to the floor and braced his feet against the front wall of the locomotive cab. The conductor was also on the floor and braced against the front wall. Both crew members reported hearing and feeling the collision.

The train continued westbound approximately a half mile beyond the point of impact. When the train stopped the engineer and conductor got off the floor and activated the 911 emergency call button on the railroad radio several times but did not get a response. The conductor and engineer conducted a job briefing, and the conductor left the locomotive to assess the damage. The engineer, thinking that perhaps the radio on the controlling locomotive was damaged in the collision, went to the second locomotive and initiated another 911 call. The train dispatcher attempted to answer the 911 call several times, before making contact. The conductor went to the hi-rail truck, which was attached to the front of the lead locomotive, and determined there was no one in the vehicle. He then started walking the train on the north side to determine if any train cars were derailed and also in an attempt to locate the track inspector.

The engineer reported the collision to the train dispatcher. At that time, the condition of the track inspector was unknown. The dispatcher initiated emergency response. As soon as the conductor found the track inspector, he reported to the engineer that the track inspector was not injured. The conductor continued inspecting the remainder of the train and discovered that there were no derailed cars.

POST ACCIDENT ANALYSIS

At the accident location, sight distance was limited to approximately one half mile due to blowing snow.

The method of operation is a Traffic Control System (TCS).

The investigation established that the hi-rail truck was equipped with a Hi-rail Limits Compliance System (HLCS) that was not in use. It was left in the N/A position. HLCS is a global positioning system (GPS) based technology that, when in use, interfaces with the dispatcher's track and time authorities, and associates the authority limits with the actual position of the HLCS unit. HLCS units are deployed on most BNSF hi-rail vehicles. When the system is activated the equipment is required to be tested by the hi-rail operator and the train dispatcher. The dispatcher audio recordings establish that the HLCS unit number was exchanged however no test of the equipment occurred. The investigation also established that the track inspector was new to the position and had not been trained on how to activate the HLCS system. The train dispatcher and Maintenance of Way employee (MOW) interview statements revealed that it is not unusual for MOW persons

FRA FACTUAL RAILROAD ACCIDENT REPORT

to leave the HLCS unit in the N/A position. The track inspector stated that during his break-in time with other track inspectors the system had never been activated. The system is designed to provide audio and visual warnings to the operator and dispatcher when the activated system approaches, or exceeds the existing track authority limits. If the system had been activated, it is likely that the operator and the train dispatcher would have been notified that the hi-rail vehicle was outside its authority limits, and the accident would have been averted. Even though BNSF has a rule requiring the system be used, it is commonly known that employees do not use it.

The track inspector's usual job was operating a crane on the rail relay gang. Previously he was a machine operator on a tie replacement gang and also a bulldozer operator. As a bulldozer operator he was conversant with track and time procedures.

The investigation revealed that this was the track inspector's first exposure to HLCS, and that he was not trained on how to use the system.

During his 27 year railroad career he had never worked east of Shelby prior to this assignment. Due to a Union agreement he was assigned to the track inspector position. He was accompanied on his assigned territory five trips before he began inspecting on his own. The accident occurred during his first day inspecting alone. The track inspector stated he did not know Dunkirk was west of Devon.

The track inspector reported having a rules examination in February, 2008. He stated the instruction was based on rules changes. The refresher course did not have a segment on HLCS.

FRA obtained fatigue related information, for a 10-day period preceding this incident including the 10-day work history (on duty/off duty cycles) for all the employees involved. Upon analysis of that information FRA concluded that fatigue was not probable for any of the employees involved.

The accident occurred on an Amtrak route but no passenger train delays occurred.

The track inspector was post accident toxicological tested under BNSF regulations. The results were negative.

PROBABLE CAUSE

The probable cause of the accident was H402 "motor car or on-track equipment rules, failure to comply." The track inspector was operating his hi-rail vehicle outside the limits of his authority to occupy the track.

A contributing factor is the track inspector's failure to use HLCS which is installed in BNSF hi-rail vehicles. This system operates with GPS technology and interaction with the train dispatcher. It sounds an alert when a hi-rail vehicle travels beyond its main track authority. If this system would have been enabled by the track inspector the accident would have been averted. Even though BNSF has a rule requiring the system be used, it is commonly known that employees do not use it.

#